IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND NORTHERN DIVISION  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  v. CRIMINAL CASE NO. AMD-04-029  WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances: On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mattin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201	Case 1:04 er	-00029-RDB	Document 698	Filed 06/19/09	Page 1 of 247
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  v. CRIMINAL CASE NO. AMD-04-029 WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELTY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances: On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  v. CRIMINAL CASE NO. AMD-04-029 WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELTY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances: On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  v. CRIMINAL CASE NO. AMD-04-029  WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Berorted by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street		1.			5
WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	UNITED (	STATES OF A	AMERICA		
WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Recom 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
WILLIE MITCHELL, SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street		V.			
SHELTON HARRIS, SHELLY WAYNE MARTIN, SHAWN GARDNER,  Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	WILLIE 1	MITCHELL,		AMD-0	4-029
Defendants  VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances: On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street			IN,		
VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances: On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	SHAWN GA	ARDNER,			
VOLUME XXVIII OF XXXVII Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances: On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street		Defendants			
Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire  Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse  101 West Lombard Street		Detellaanes	/		
Wednesday, November 19, 2008 Baltimore, Maryland  Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire  Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse  101 West Lombard Street					
Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse  101 West Lombard Street					
Before: Honorable Andre M. Davis, Judge And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire  Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse  101 West Lombard Street			<del>-</del>		
And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street		Darcin	ore, haryrana		
And a Jury  Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street				_	
Appearances:  On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	Before: H			, Judge	
On Behalf of the Government: Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street		Alia	a oury		
Robert Harding, Esquire Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	Appearance	es:			
Michael Hanlon, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street				nt:	
On Behalf of Defendant Mitchell: Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
Laura Kelsey Rhodes, Esquire Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street				tchell:	
Michael E. Lawlor, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Harris: Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
Gerard P. Martin, Esquire Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	N	Michael E.	Lawlor, Esqui	re	
Paul Flannery, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
On Behalf of Defendant Martin: Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street			• •	е	
Thomas L. Crowe, Esquire James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire  Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street			_	rtin:	
James G. Pyne, Esquire On Behalf of Defendant Gardner: Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire  Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
Adam H. Kurland, Esquire Barry Coburn, Esquire Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street			<del>-</del>		
Barry Coburn, Esquire  Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street					
Reported by: Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street			_		
Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	E	sarry Cobur	n, Esquire		
Mary M. Zajac, RPR Room 5515, U.S. Courthouse 101 West Lombard Street	Reported k	эу:			
101 West Lombard Street	Mary M. Za	ajac, RPR			
Daicimore, Maryianu 21201					
	parcimore,	тагутана	∠ 1 ∠ U 1		

(Proceedings at 10:27 p.m.)

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Counsel, for the reasons we discussed on the record last night, Mr. Harris will not be joining us and the other defendants will be joining us in the customary way. I consulted with Deputy Akers this morning, as I did last night, and the arrangement for audio and video display of these proceedings to the Sixth Floor have been completed. And so that's where we stand.

I understand there are a couple of issues. Before I move to counsel, Mr. Harding, I have looked and looked and looked and looked and looked, again and again and again, at that felony murder instruction and it's not making sense to me. Malice aforethought is not an element of felony murder. But as I read your proposed supplemental, you're asking the Court to instruct that malice aforethought is an element of felony murder.

So when you get a chance, if you could just take a look at that. We don't need to --

MR. HARDING: Sure, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- focus on it now. But I think what you're asking, if I understand, is an instruction on first degree murder, first degree premeditated murder, and in the alternative an instruction on felony murder, which is murder committed in the course of the perpetration of one of the predicate felonies. But the whole point of felony murder is that there doesn't need to be an intent to kill.

2.0

2.1

So we'll have time to revisit that. The great Judge Sand may have it wrong, you think?

MR. HARDING: Well, no. He has, if you look at Chapter 41, malice aforethought is included in the felony murder instruction. It's Instruction 41-13. But the way malice aforethought is defined is a killing is done with malice aforethought if it is done deliberately and with the intent to kill another person or if it results from the perpetration or attempt to perpetrate a crime.

THE COURT: That's exactly right, and it's exactly wrong. The more modern view is that, first of all, we've gotten away from malice aforethought altogether, of course. Although, of course, there's still some of that lingering. But even before we got rid of malice aforethought, we got rid of the idea that a murder committed in the perpetration of a predicate felony was a substitute for malice aforethought. That's really 18th century thinking.

But I see what you're getting at. But you didn't give me that entire instruction and maybe that's what confused me.

Your proposal doesn't say malice aforethought can be either this or the other.

MR. HARDING: I probably assumed it was the same malice aforethought instruction that was in the premeditated murder count and I apologize.

THE COURT: That's quite all right. I'll take a look

1 at it. My preference would be not to use malice aforethought at 2 all. 3 MR. HARDING: Okay. THE COURT: And I think that's a correct statement of 4 5 the law. It's simply a foreseeable murder, and in some states 6 not even a foreseeable murder, that arises out of an underlying 7 So I'll work on that. felony. 8 But I think other than that, my instructions, all 124 9 pages of them, are ready to go. And I'll get them to you. 10 Anybody have anything on the verdict sheet? Perhaps Belinda, you 11 should call, I'm not sure the marshal understood that I was ready 12 for him to come down. I thought they were on their way down. 13 Mr. Coburn, welcome back. 14 MR. COBURN: Thank you so much, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Good to see you. Good to have you back. MR. COBURN: Very kind of Your Honor to say that. 16 17 THE COURT: How did those depositions go? 18 MR. COBURN: Not too bad. 19 THE COURT: Is your client pleased? 2.0 MR. COBURN: He is. So far, anyway. 2.1 THE COURT: Good. 22 MR. COBURN: The case is actually a very interesting 23 case, sort of touch and go at the moment. 24 THE COURT: I look forward to you telling me about it.

MR. COBURN: Your Honor, I just wanted to tell you my

mom is still hanging in there, but she seems to be slipping in and out. And I was wondering how you would feel about the possibility of my heading down to Houston after the last closing argument.

THE COURT: You well know my answer to that, Mr.

Coburn. Frankly, I'm glad to have you back today but I would

MR. COBURN: Very kind of Your Honor.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

have excused you today.

THE COURT: But it's good for you to be here.

MR. COBURN: Appreciate it very much.

THE COURT: Certainly. Mr. Martin, I think I know what you're going to address and I think I prefer to wait until the other defendants arrive before we get into that, if you don't mind.

MR. MARTIN: No, I don't mind, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I think we can take care of your issue, Ms. Rhodes.

MS. RHODES: Thank you, Your Honor. A couple of quick procedural things. One is there's an exhibit that the Court will recall that I did not end up introducing that was the, my proposed changes to the transcript of Damita Green. And I'd just like to have that marked as an exhibit.

THE COURT: You mean the marked-up copy?

MS. RHODES: The marked-up copy, exactly.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to give that a number?

2.0

2.1

MS. RHODES: Can that be 5, Ms. Arrington?

THE COURT: I noticed that in that regard the exhibit lists, and I thank the government particularly for the work you put in in finalizing your exhibit list. But I do notice that the, I guess the government list as well as the defense lists seemed to contain, not seem to, do contain exhibits that were marked for identification only. And I suppose there's no way around that. But I would have preferred that if an exhibit were marked for identification only, that that exhibit not show up on the list that's going into the jury.

MR. HARDING: We can take care of that, Your Honor. We already have plans for meeting with Ms. Arrington after court today or at lunch. And we're going to continue working on the exhibit list.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Good. That's right. They're not going to get the exhibits until tomorrow. I would prefer that the exhibits marked for identification not be on the list so that the jury's not looking for them and we don't get notes about where they are, and we have to explain, although I will mention it to the jury. Yes, Mr. Harding.

MR. HARDING: We haven't been working on the defense exhibit list.

THE COURT: No, I understand that. I understand that.

MS. RHODES: I will mark ID only on this exhibit sticker.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yes. Okay. So what I would ask counsel to do tonight is go back with some white-out and just delete the exhibits that are marked for identification only from the exhibit list that we're sending in to the jury. MS. RHODES: Okay. I think Ms. Arrington is already doing that because she gave us a master list last night with everybody's. THE COURT: Great. Yes, Ms. Rhodes. MS. RHODES: Your Honor, there's another exhibit that I realize I neglected to introduce. That was related to Mr. Powers, Coach Powers. It's the Nassau Community College records. And I would ask the Court's permission to mark that for admission at this time, even though the record has closed. THE COURT: Is that the one where he got the A's and B's? MS. RHODES: Yes. How did you guess? THE COURT: Because I saw the other one. Okay. number are you going to give that, Ms. Rhodes? MS. RHODES: Six? Four? Six. It will be Mitchell Six, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And I don't think we need to do that in front of the jury. You think, Ms. Rhodes? It will be on the list and you only have nine or ten exhibits. MS. RHODES: I can just mention it without showing anything.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.0

2.1

MS. RHODES: Thanks, Your Honor. And the other issue,

I don't know if the Court received my e-mail this morning.

THE COURT: I did. Yes. And I want to give you an opportunity now. I don't think Mr. Mitchell needs to be here for you to make that legal argument.

MS. RHODES: Okay. My position, Your Honor, is that because the government had not objected, and I don't know if the government saw my e-mail this morning, I sent the judge an e-mail indicating that I was going to do an oral motion requesting that he reconsider his decision about Davey D as an expert. You did see it? Okay.

My concern is that because the government had not objected to his, to his expertise and the Court had indicated that you were admitting him conditionally as an expert, my understanding was that he was, his expertise was not the issue. It was whether or not the testimony was going to match up with a topic that was, what was consistent with expertise in this, as applied to this case.

THE COURT: Well, if I can interrupt you just very briefly, because I just want to make sure your premise is defensible. I think it was Mr. Hanlon who handled this. Mr. Hanlon, I thought in your written opposition or your motion in limine you did challenge expertise. But I may be wrong about that.

1 MR. HANLON: I certainly understood it that way, Your 2 Honor. And I believe --3 THE COURT: You don't remember what docket number it is, do you? 4 5 MR. HANLON: No, I do not. 6 Let me pull it up very quickly, just so Ms. THE COURT: 7 Rhodes can make her argument on a sound foundation. 8 MS. RHODES: Your Honor, I think when he filed that, 9 they didn't have my client's CV or his proffer. 10 THE COURT: But my point is simply that the government 11 filed a written motion in limine. I made it pretty clear without 12 an express ruling on the government's motion that I was going to 13 permit you to proceed, which is exactly what I did, what we did. 14 And when Mr. Hanlon got up to, after you tendered the witness, I mean, it was pretty clear to him that the Court was not prepared 15 16 at that time to exclude the witness for lack of qualifications. 17 So Mr. Hanlon did a very minimal kind of voir dire of the 18 witness. 19 And I think you and Mr. Hanlon fully understood when I 2.0 said we were proceeding conditionally, that the matter was still 2.1 up in the air. 22 MS. RHODES: As to his qualifications? 23 No. As to the admissibility of his THE COURT:

ostensible expert opinion testimony. Okay? I see what you're

saying, and I don't have a problem with it. But I just want to

24

2.0

2.1

make clear that I did not decline to accept him as an expert because he was unqualified. And I know that's not the whole of your argument.

But remember, I actually said to him, I forget if the jury was still in the courtroom, I actually said to him, I think he does have certain expertise and he is likely to be qualified in the future as an expert. But for purposes of this case, both on the combination of qualifications and on the basis of traditional Rule 702 criteria, and on the basis of Daubert reliability, as well as on the basis of traditional helpfulness to the jury, the Court was not persuaded that he should be accepted as an expert. But I basically gave you 90% of what you wanted by saying I would permit the jury to consider the evidence.

I didn't strike his testimony. I permitted the jury to consider it as lay opinion testimony, which is I think the term I actually used. That's the term of the rule.

So I'm going to let you make your record. I just again wanted to make sure that your premise that the government hadn't objected to qualifications was sound. And I think the government did. But let me just take a moment and pull up the government's motion in limine.

You don't have a hard copy, do you, Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: I belive Mr. Harding might, Your Honor.

MS. RHODES: I think, Your Honor, my concern is

2.0

2.1

regardless of what they said in that, at that time they were not addressing, they were not addressing Mr. Cook's qualifications.

They didn't have his CV yet.

(Defendants Mitchell, Martin and Gardner enter the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I see your point. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harding.

Okay. You're right. Part of the government's argument is that you hadn't turned over the material required by the rules. So anyway, why don't you go ahead and make your motion?

MS. RHODES: I guess I gather from what you said a minute ago was part of your decision was based on his qualifications. That was part of the mix?

THE COURT: It was certainly part of the mix based on what I heard in the courtroom. Now, if you want to now by proffer bolster -- and the record will reflect that the defendants except Mr. Harris have entered the courtroom -- I'm happy to have you do that, if you can do it briefly, and I'm sure you can. But yes, it's always a part of the mix.

There is no hard, clear solid line between a proposed expert's qualifications and traditional Rule 702 factors, Daubert factors, and helpfulness to the jury. There is obviously a lot of overlap, as you well know. And so an expert, a witness can be an expert in a number of different fields but his or her expertise doesn't fit the issues in a particular proceeding.

2.0

2.1

I had a mechanical engineer several years ago who was a brilliant Ph.D. professor who claimed to be able to talk about snow blowers. He didn't know anything about snow blowers. He's a brilliant mechanical engineer but that doesn't make him, that didn't make him a proper expert in a case where the issue was what went wrong with a particular snow blower.

He never even operated one. He never built one. He never designed one. And his only experience with snow blowers was testifying in a couple of snow blower cases. So that's an extreme example, I think. But you see what I'm getting at?

So if you've got additional writings and things you want to put in the record that bolster Mr. Cook's expertise, you certainly can go ahead and do that. But I think my ruling was pretty clear. It was 90% of his testimony was not helpful to the jury, 80% of his testimony was based on anecdotal, impressionistic, real world experience in the industry, not study, not examination. There was a significant mixture of political issues. And of course, I don't mean that as criticism. When one talks about the politics of culture, the culture of politics and the entertainment industry, it all mooshes together.

And if this were a suit by Jay-Z against Def Jam or something, he may well have plenty to say. But this is a criminal case in which the government has never suggested that Shakedown Entertainment was anything other than a legitimate record producing company. The government's never disputed that.

2.0

2.1

It's, it was a legitimate Maryland corporation. Mr. Mitchell was the CEO, as Tony Montana described it. I wish one of you asked him, what is a CEO?

So it's a legitimate company that actually produced rap songs, and at least the beat of some of them wasn't all that bad.

Of course, you say that about any rap song because the beat's the same in all of them, it seems.

So that's not what this case is about. As I said, I admitted the rap lyrics as coconspirator statements. And I just don't know what, what Mr. Cook could have said about any of that.

So I permitted you to put it on. I called him a teaching expert. The jury learned something about the business. But I don't think what they learned needed to come from an expert. Everybody knows whether you're going to open a 7-Eleven or a gas station or Ma and Pa store, you need capital, you need to get customers, you need to have a good product, you need to be aggressive, you need to talk to the right people. That's what you do when you go into business. So juries don't need to be educated about those kinds of factors.

When it came to the lyrics, that's when we got into -this was his testimony. I assumed that rap lyric are false. And
then, of course, he was cross examined by Mr. Hanlon on many
instances in which people did sing about the actual crimes they
committed.

So I don't want to prolong this. If you've got

2.0

2.1

additional qualifications to bolster his expertise, you go ahead and state what they are. The Court's ruling was actually based on the actual testimony as it was received by the Court.

MS. RHODES: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. As to the issue of his saying "I assume", I think as somebody with his expertise if he says "I assume they're all false", he means as an expert, based on his experience in the field. He's not just saying "I'm guessing." So I don't take that, his use of the word "assume" in that context as something that is I'm guessing, because he repeated that sentiment over and over when asked about a variety of lyrics.

On the issue of his credentials, I would like to, which I really did not believe were at issue yesterday in light of the fact that the government, after voir dire, did not continue to object, after its own voir dire, did not continue to object at all, and previously had not objected based on his credentials. Clearly had had his information in time to prepare because they have looked at writings of his.

One thing I want to quote from is the book he mentioned to Mr. Hanlon that he was a contributor to. It's called <a href="Be a">Be a</a>
<a href="Father to Your Child">Father to Your Child</a>, BAF, edited by April R. Silver. And it is published by Soft Skull Publications.

His contribution here is in the context of an interview. And the introduction by the editor is as follows:

There are few people who know as much about the history

2.0

2.1

and culture of hip-hop as journalist, activist, and media expert, Davey D. Talking with him is like diving into the encyclopedias of hip-hop and black urban culture. He is a forward thinking scholar and I thought it best to have someone with his scope of knowledge and wisdom to illuminate some oft overlooked perspectives on this topic.

He has been a father figure in his own household and within his own community. He is respected for his cutting edge, unapologetic analysis and his ability to connect dots that most people can't even see, a perfect foundation for setting up the context of these collected works.

That's one person's take on his level of expertise.

He also, Your Honor, in addition to some of the things he mentioned, he has spoken at Harvard University and Oberlin University. He's been featured on several shows on BET. He was asked by Essence Magazine to write an article for them on hip-hop in 2006, which was published. That was based on readings of thing he had done and hearing him, parts of his speech in DC at a previous conference in 2005 on African-American perspectives, where he was on a presentation with Paul Porter, who's a program director at BET.

He's also been asked to be a guess curator on a hip-hop, on a hip-hop museum presentation in California, the largest exhibit that was ever held at that museum.

He's been at the university of Madison and has

2.0

2.1

consulted with their Hip-Hop in Academia program, spoken as part of that program as well.

He's appeared with Chuck D of Public Enemy and others on various panels. And when the hip-hop archives of Stanford University, which he had worked with, was moved to Harvard University, he continued working with that program. And one of the professors he worked with at Stanford has asked him to co-teach her class on hip-hop culture and politics at San Francisco State starting next semester.

He's worked at the Smithsonian or as a consultant with the Smithsonian on hip-hop culture. He's spoken there. He has spoken in Beirut, Lebanon on issues regarding hip-hop culture and the African-American experience with hip-hop in America.

He has been a contributor to white papers put out by The Future of Music Coalition on net neutrality and on payola and urban music.

He is speaking later this week at the State of the Black World Conference in New Orleans, which is a three-day conference. He'll be on the future leadership panel and on the hip-hop panel.

He's also spoken on a panel for the black congressional caucus in 2004 or 2005 regarding hip-hop issues.

THE COURT: If he had a Ph.D., I think we'd call him a cultural anthropologist. That's what he is. With a, with a subspecialty in hip-hop and rap music. Which would be great. In

1 the right case, I'd hire him as an expert. But he had nothing to 2 offer in this case of value to the jury beyond some background. 3 He didn't even tell the jury what BET is. MR. LAWLOR: Who doesn't know that? 4 5 MS. RHODES: He's not TV. 6 THE COURT: Believe me, there are lots of people out 7 there who don't have any idea what BET is. 8 MR. MARTIN: I bet you there's nobody on that jury --9 we'll poll them when the trial is over. 10 THE COURT: All right. We'll do that. We'll do that. 11 His testimony was full of jargon and lingo. Look, I'm not being 12 critical. I'm really not being critical. 13 MS. RHODES: Yes, you are. THE COURT: No, I'm not. No, I'm not. 14 15 MS. RHODES: Yes, you are. 16 THE COURT: No, I'm not. You know, lots of people have 17 spoken at Harvard University. 18 MS. RHODES: I haven't. 19 THE COURT: I'm impressed that he has but that doesn't 2.0 qualify someone to be an expert. 2.1 MS. RHODES: But Your Honor, Your Honor made a 22 comparison to someone who's a GM plant manager. He's got a 23 certain expertise. Okay? 24 THE COURT: Exactly. And I stand by that, by that.

MS. RHODES: But a GM plant manager who is expert in

1 what he does is not also asked to consult nationally on how 2 plants run. This, this person has been. He is a nationally 3 known consultant and expert in this field. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Rhodes, I think you've made your 4 5 record. 6 MS. RHODES: All right. Thank you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martin. Mr. Kurland. 8 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, I just want to make sure that 9 deletion on Gardner Number Three is done before the stuff goes to 10 the jury. We talked about that. That's blotting out, the 11 government agreed, blotting out the reference to the State v. 12 Gardner state case. That hasn't physically been done yet. But I 13 just want to make sure that --14 THE COURT: The only way we're going to be sure it's 15 done is when you look at the document before Ms. Arrington sends 16 it in to the jury. 17 MR. KURLAND: Okay. I will do so at the next break. 18 Thank you, Judge. 19 THE COURT: While you're there, Mr. Kurland. I didn't 2.0 understand your e-mail. The fourth superseding indictment --2.1 MR. KURLAND: Oh, the discussion --22 THE COURT: You were looking at the third superseding. 23 MR. KURLAND: No, I wasn't. But in our discussion last 24 night when there was some discussion and some disagreement

concerning the term "Mitchell enterprise", there was some

1 reference I believe the Court made saying that in the fourth 2 superseding indictment, in Paragraph Three --3 THE COURT: Right. MR. KURLAND: -- Shakedown is listed. That was the 4 5 case in the third superseding indictment. It is not in the 6 fourth superseding indictment. 7 THE COURT: Yes, it is. 8 MR. KURLAND: Judge, it isn't. I'm looking right at 9 the --10 It says, Paragraph Three. THE COURT: 11 MR. KURLAND: Yes. 12 THE COURT: The Randallstown/Park Heights organization, 13 including its leadership, members and associates, constituted an 14 enterprise, and so on. That is a group of individuals and an entity associated in fact. What do you think the entity is 15 16 there? It's Shakedown Entertainment. 17 MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, two things. The first is, in 18 the third superseding indictment the government specifically put in that list Shakedown. I disagree that the reference to an 19 2.0 entity associated in fact means Shakedown. They are referring 2.1 t.o --22 THE COURT: What entity, what entity is the indictment 23 referring to if not Shakedown Entertainment, Limited?

MR. KURLAND: I believe, consistent with the language

in the statute, the reference to an entity associated in fact is

24

the Randallstown/Park Heights organization. And I believe the government would agree as well.

THE COURT: No. Mr. Kurland, that makes no sense whatsoever syntactically, grammatically, logically. That is --right?

MR. KURLAND: Shakedown is --

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: And constituted an enterprise, comma, that is. In other words, the meaning of the enterprise is, quote, "a group of individuals and an entity associated in fact. And so my instructions tell the jury, as I told you I would do last night -- in fact, I should probably read it to you although I didn't print out a copy -- my instructions say specifically that the enterprise here is the Randallstown/Park Heights organization. Specifically, Shakedown Entertainment Limited is a Maryland corporation; thus, a formal legal entity. Shakedown Entertainment Limited is not the enterprise charged in this case. That's what I tell the jury.

So whether you want to call it an affiliate or an associate, it's up to you. But it clearly, clearly, this language in Paragraph Three says the enterprise consisted of the individuals, including the defendants, but not limited to the defendants, and the entity. And the only entity having any relevance whatsoever to this case is Shakedown Entertainment Limited.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, I don't have the 1961, the

2.0

2.1

1961 in front of me. But I believe the references to organizations that are actually incorporated are not referred to in the statute as entities associated in fact.

THE COURT: I'm not suggesting the word "entity" -- and you keep, keep divorcing, and I understand why, you keep divorcing the words "entity associated in fact" from the words "a group of individuals and." You want to separate those but it's one phrase. And that's the enterprise. A group of individuals and an entity associated in fact.

MR. KURLAND: Well, again, I don't believe, I'm not sure that it's going to effect the way I argue the case. But for the record, I want to make an objection that it's our position that that is an incorrect statement of the law and that Shakedown Entertainment, which was taken out of the third superseding indictment, is not what is referred to as an entity associated in fact.

I just want that on the record. I hope it doesn't ever end up at the Fourth Circuit but I wanted to make that clear. I don't believe that it will affect --

THE COURT: I don't think so. I don't think so. You want to say anything about that, Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Flannery, good morning.

MR. FLANNERY: Good morning, Your Honor. Very briefly. Regarding your concern about exhibits that were marked for

2.0

2.1

identification only, Mr. Martin and I noticed that we think there are, we have a couple of exhibits on our list, in this final list here that we believe were admitted but are what looks like here probably thought at this point to be identification only. So if we could just take that up with Ms. Arrington at the break.

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. FLANNERY: Your Honor, if you remember yesterday, when Mr. Cook, prior to him taking the stand, I had proffered that I had premarked several sets of lyrics and a cartoon. I had those here and would like to just submit those for

THE COURT: Sure. What's the number?

identification. They're marked for identification only.

MR. FLANNERY: Premarked Harris 10 through 14.

THE COURT: Harris 10 through 14 for identification only. All right.

MR. FLANNERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Martin.

MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, not to prolong this any more, but I just wanted to put something on the record about last night.

THE COURT: Of course. And Mr. Martin, here's what I would ask.

MR. MARTIN: That's the next thing I was going to get at, I think what you're going to get at right now, which is what we say to the jury, if anything.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: Not only what we say to the jury, and I've got some thoughts on that but, frankly, what we say on the record in open court. Because what I anticipate is that Mr. Harris will not be joining us again until we get a verdict. And so I tried to be careful a few minutes ago when I first came out to limit my remarks, basically incorporated what was said last night when we were the only ones here.

MR. MARTIN: Can I come to the bench, Your Honor, and do this?

THE COURT: Perhaps that's best. Yes.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Why don't you and Mr. Harding come to the bench?

(Bench conference on the record.)

MR. MARTIN: This is more in the nature of a plea to you as opposed to -- and you're probably right. I shouldn't say it in front of the other guys. What I was going to say was that, to try to, I spent a lot of time trying to put this in perspective.

I got a client, as you know, hasn't talked to me for three years except occasional banter in the courtroom. But I do know that, that he believes, and he doesn't understand what you and I understand, I understand, I know he believes that he's being railroaded here. That's what he thinks. It's obvious. You see the way they react, they all believe that.

2.0

2.1

And so yesterday is kind of a culmination, I know he didn't feel good, number one. He wasn't feeling well. Number two, he, he sat here and he watched, I'm not criticizing, he watched you let the expert testify and you didn't say beforehand that he wasn't going to be an expert. And then after he testified, without any input from anybody, you did what you did. And I'm not saying you're wrong; I'm just saying you did it.

They hear that and they say, Here he goes again, he's going off on us, he's doing this, he's trying to railroad us.

You know, he doesn't want the jury to hear anything that we have.

Then we had the arguments yesterday afternoon. I think at some point you and I got into a little bit about what I think the film shows and you think the film shows. And they heard your comments on that.

I just want to say, Your Honor, that I think they were all upset when they left the courtroom yesterday. I heard them uttering. You may have heard it. I don't know. But I heard it.

And the marshals were pushing them pretty hard when they got out of here because they were muttering under their breath. Railroad, you know, kangaroo court, stuff like that.

So I would just make a plea to Your Honor if you could give it a shot to let him come back and sit here. I, of course, don't want him to come down and swing. I'll probably be the first one he hits. But I just think it, it just makes a terrible burden for me to sit here without him. Whatever you say can't be

good and I don't know how you say anything to them.

2.0

2.1

And you're right, I probably should not have said what I just said to you in front of these other people, but they might just, might just beat him up even more. I'm just making the plea to you. And if you don't do it, I understand. I understand how you would do it.

THE COURT: I was more worried, frankly, I wasn't worried about the defendant. I was worried about the fact that we have spectators. And since I'm not going to charge the jury until tomorrow, I am just concerned about word getting out that Harris isn't here because won't let him back in.

MR. MARTIN: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything you want to say, Mr. Harding, on this point?

MR. HARDING: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I hear what you're saying, Mr. Martin, and I feel your pain. I really mean that. But I started this trial with a zero tolerance position. I think I have bent over backwards. I appreciate that the defendants don't feel that some of my rulings have been fair and balanced or whatever.

MR. MARTIN: Actually, Your Honor, they don't think any of them were.

THE COURT: Okay. But to go up there and lose control and swing at a marshal and fight the marshals to the point where he has to be Tased, tells me that it would be wholly

irresponsible of me to bring him back down here. And I'm not going to do it.

2.0

2.1

He's comfortable upstairs. They've set up the video and audio feed. Deputy Akers tells me that he's expressed some concern about other prisoners hearing the case. I don't know what that's about. We're at closing argument. Maybe he doesn't fully appreciate all that's going to happen for the balance of the trial. It's just people talking about him. But maybe that is his concern.

I will tell the jury, subject to your editing whatever I say, that Mr. Harris suffered some minor injuries -- I don't want to lie to the jury -- suffered some minor injuries last night and is not able to be with us today. That's it.

MR. MARTIN: That's perfectly acceptable to me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That's what I will say. I will say they are not to draw any adverse inference from Mr. Harris's absence and proceed as they have throughout the trial. Okay?

MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm not going bring him back.

MR. HARDING: Judge, can't you just instruct the jury that they're not to speculate as to the reasons for his absence. I don't think that it's fair to the government to create sympathy for Mr. Harris. And I think that Your Honor's present instruction may simply be a sympathy --

```
1
                 THE COURT: I don't think so. They know he's got
 2
       epilepsy.
 3
                 MR. HARDING: That's the problem.
                 THE COURT: Well, I don't think that's going to
 4
 5
       generate sympathy for him. I appreciate it.
 6
                 MR. MARTIN: With all the evidence in this case, that's
 7
       not an issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 8
 9
                 (End of bench conference.)
10
                 THE COURT: Okay. I think we're ready for the jury,
11
      Mr. Hanlon. I saw you look at your asides pretty quickly there.
12
      Are you concerned at all about the felony murder business?
13
                 MR. HANLON: That's what I was playing with, Your
14
      Honor. I tried to make it a little neutral.
15
                 THE COURT: Do you need --
16
                 MR. HANLON: I fixed it. What I did was I went into my
17
       felony murder slide, I took out malice aforethought.
18
                 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we're obviously well behind
       the schedule that we set. Mr. Hanlon, your best guess.
19
2.0
       Obviously, you can use up to four hours. But how much do you
2.1
       expect?
22
                 MR. HANLON: It will not exceed, Your Honor, I have to
23
       actually get, it will not exceed the three hour time frame. My
24
       goal is to really try to make it less than that. But I can't
25
       guarantee that.
```

1 THE COURT: All right. So I think --2 MR. HANLON: I have no problem taking a break. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. We're going to have to, obviously. MR. HANLON: No problem with that. 4 5 THE COURT: It's approximately 10 after 11. I would 6 imagine we'll go until pretty close to 1:00 and then break for 7 lunch and then come back. And what I anticipate is that we may 8 not get to you today, Mr. Pyne. I assume you don't have a 9 problem with that? 10 MR. PYNE: No. I prefer to go first thing in the 11 morning as opposed to 4:00 this afternoon. 12 THE COURT: Would you, would you prefer to break yours 13 up to 20 or 25 minutes today and 30 minutes? 14 MR. PYNE: No. I probably prefer to do it all in one. THE COURT: Prefer to do it all in one? 15 16 MR. PYNE: Yeah. First thing in the morning if that's 17 possible. 18 THE COURT: All right. Well, now, that raises a question of whether I should instruct first. But I quess we 19 2.0 really aren't in a posture to do that. Okay. We'll see how it 2.1 goes. It may be that possibly Mr. Lawlor and Mr. Martin will 22 take less time than they've been given. If you can start before 23 four, you'll go today. 24 MR. PYNE: If we can see how it goes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll see how it goes. But really, if I

can get you on by 3:45 or so, I'd like to have you on today.

MR. PYNE: That's fine.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: All right.

(Jury enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, good morning. You're tied of hearing me say it, but thank you so much for your patience and your cooperation. We're ready to continue.

You, of course, will notice right away, I'm sure, that Mr. Harris is not with us. The Court's decided to proceed in his absence. He suffered a minor injury last night and is not able to be with us today. But under the circumstances of the case, we have decided to proceed. And Mr. Harris is still, of course, ably represented by Mr. Martin and Mr. Flannery.

We're now ready for closing arguments. And Mr. Hanlon will proceed first. At some point during Mr. Hanlon's closing argument we're going to break for lunch. And then we'll resume after lunch. And then you'll hear from Mr. Lawlor.

I think I mentioned that Ms. Rhodes was going to do the closing for Mr. Mitchell. Instead, they've decided that Mr. Lawlor will do the closing. And then you'll hear from Mr. Martin later this afternoon on behalf of Mr. Harris. Whenever you're ready, Mr. Hanlon.

MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. At this beginning of this trial, now two months ago, Mr. Harding told us and told you that what you would

2.0

2.1

see during this trial would be a descent into an inferno, a descent into the underworld. And that is exactly, ladies and gentlemen, what you've been witness to during the course of this case, what you've heard about, what you've seen, and what you've reviewed testimony of.

The underworld of drug trafficking, robbery, an organization of a group of friends, individuals working together to deal drugs, to commit robberies when profitable, and ultimately a group and a series of activities that carries us into 2002 and the murders of five human beings — this is the decent into inferno that you were told to expect and it is what this trial's brought to light.

What I'm going to try to do this morning and probably for a little while after lunch, I will try to be as brief as possible, is to go over the details of the evidence that we've seen in this case. It's been a great deal of it and it's been over a two month period that you ladies and gentlemen have seen it. I've had to go back and review myself to make sure that I have things straight. I'm sure all of my colleagues on the prosecution and defense side have as well.

The goal is to help and see how the evidence fits in in its whole and also as to individual counts. That's what I'll attempt to do this morning. And I'll begin by discussing the individual counts of the indictment. What are the actual charges that our defendants, Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Harris, Mr. Martin, and

2.0

2.1

Mr. Gardner are facing in this case? And then I'll discuss how the evidence fits in completely.

Generally speaking, the evidence that we've seen in this case has shown that from 1994 and on, the defendants and others worked together in various capacities, but always as a unit, always as a group, to deal drugs, to commit robberies, as a means of resupplying themselves and getting a ready, cheaper supply of drugs, as a means of eliminating rivals. They have protected each other when it was necessary to do so, helped each other shake down customers for money, helped each other resolve beefs on the streets and protected one another.

During 1990's and thereafter, and in particular when we see that happening in the 2002 time frame, of course ultimately the conspiracy, the drug trafficking conspiracy and the racketeering enterprise that's been at issue in this case culminates in the 2002 murders and then continues to carry on past that date with obstruction of justice, courtroom antics, and everything else that you've heard during the course of this trial.

In discussing individual counts, and there are many of them, the indictment roughly deals with the drug trafficking and the violence and the murders in two rough categories. That's probably the best way to look at it.

The first category begins with Count One, and that is our racketeering conspiracy charge. You've heard references,

2.0

2.1

ladies and gentlemen, to an enterprise at various points of time. It's occasionally been the subject of examination of attorneys. Was this an enterprise? Was this a conspiracy? A lot of that language comes from Count One. And then following off of Count One, Counts Two through Seven are a series of murders done in aid of that racketeering, that were part of that racketeering activity, the five murders that you've heard about in this case.

After the racketeering counts, the indictment also charges in Count Eight conspiracy to distribute narcotics. So if the first set of counts has to do with the racketeering enterprise, the second set of counts has to do with a drug conspiracy.

Enterprise in the racketeering context and conspiracy are related concepts. They both involve associations of people. And in this case, the evidence of one is also going to prove the evidence of another. But they are distinct, legal ideas.

In this case you may find that there was a conspiracy and not an enterprise. On the other hand, you might find there was an enterprise but not a conspiracy. You're not required in this indictment to find both, although I submit you will find that there was both a racketeering enterprise and a conspiracy in this case, even though you only have to find one or the other. They do not depend on one another.

As I mentioned, they are related to one another in this case in the sense that the evidence is really going to be the

2.0

2.1

same proving those two things. And the image that emerges from the evidence in this case of our defendants and other people is that they functioned as a group, helping each other when necessary and reliably in drug trafficking and in robbery.

Helping each other in various ways I'm going to talk about.

And there have been particular terms that we've occasionally heard from the witness stand to describe this.

William Montgomery talked about it as a family. That's the word he used. Ernest Reynolds, who was involved in drug trafficking early on with Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner in the '93/'94 time frame talked about being part of a unit. And in some of Mr. Harris's rap lyrics, we hear references to an alliance, a thug alliance.

Any one of these terms is an accurate description of how this group operated. May be informal, it may be unsophisticated, but it was a group and it was a unit, one that constitutes both an enterprise for racketeering purposes and a conspiracy under the law.

So let's begin by looking a little bit at Count One. We get into legalese a little bit. I'll try not to be too dry. And I apologize if I do become a bit dry as we go through this.

Count One charges racketeering conspiracy, a conspiracy to engage in the affairs of an enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity. All four defendants are charged in Count One.

What are the elements of Count One? The elements,

2.0

2.1

ladies and gentlemen, are the things that the evidence must prove beyond a reasonable doubt. This is the only thing, these are the only things that the evidence must prove beyond a reasonable doubt. And although the indictment lays out the basic theory in rough form about how the racketeering conspiracy operated in this case, ladies and gentlemen, you do not have to find that each and every word of the indictment has been proven if you find that the evidence in this case has established beyond a reasonable doubt these five elements.

They are, number one, that an enterprise existed; that the enterprise, number two, affected interstate commerce; third, that the defendant, as to each defendant you will be asked to make this assessment individually as to each one, as to each defendant whether that defendant was associated or employed with the enterprise -- employed by the enterprise; and fourth, whether or not each individual defendant, again, you make an assessment as to each one, whether each defendant became a member of the conspiracy to engage in the affairs of this enterprise through racketeering activity.

What's an enterprise? I've been talking about it all morning. You've been hearing the term for two months. What is an enterprise in this case?

We've heard the term before. It gives mind to rise of a corporation or a company or some kind of business. But under the law, the concept of enterprise is much, much broader. It is

2.0

2.1

essentially a group of individuals coming together for a common purpose where they have some kind of organization. It could be as formal or informal as it needs to be. There is no requirement of a particular tight structure or chain of command. Any organization can satisfy the requirement of an enterprise. And you must also find, ladies and gentlemen, that there was some continuing unit that was part of this racketeering enterprise.

You need not find that every single defendant or that every single person who was ever employed by the enterprise was employed for the entire time that the enterprise was in operation. As we've discussed in this case, the evidence shows that Mr. Harris did not become a member of the enterprise until somewhat later.

But there is, and you will find, that there was a continuing unit that was always there. Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner, always there. Mr. Mitchell, always there, even leaving aside the brief period of time that he was in college during the 1990's. These individuals, as well as William Montgomery, as well as Darryl Bacon, form a core group which is always part of this enterprise. Those are the elements of this first part of a racketeering conspiracy.

The membership can change over time. It does not have to be rigid. The membership may not necessarily be the same in 1994 as it is in 2000, as it is in 2002. Again, Mr. Harris joins later. Does not have to be involved with any kind of separate

2.0

2.1

legal entity or corporation. There doesn't have to be a Mitchell/Harris/Martin/Gardner Incorporated in the back of this. And although Shakedown Entertainment is alleged to be part of the racketeering enterprise at some point, it is not the equivalent of the racketeering enterprise. The law doesn't require it to be and it's not alleged to be in this case. Shakedown simply is a part of the group, just like the defendants are, and just like their associations.

Very importantly, ladies and gentlemen, the law does not require that the enterprise have a particular name or title or chain of command or color. There's been plenty of testimony in this case and plenty of argument about, well, did you have a flag? Did you have a name? And what was your territory? And who was your president and who was your CEO and who was the boss? There have been references occasionally to the Corleone family from The Godfather and chains of command and all this other kind of stuff. None of that is required under the law. None of it is. It can be as informal as it need be.

There's been plenty of testimony and plenty of argument here about the phrase "Randallstown/Park Heights organization."

That's come up again and again and again. The indictment does not allege that that was the name of the group given by the defendants. That's simply a label put on for investigative purposes. And you do not need to find that there was any name to the defendants' organization and the organization they were

members of with their other associates.

2.0

2.1

In this case, we see the informal organization carrying itself out and actually existing in, number one, the pattern of engaging in particular operations. These defendants were there for each other when they needed to be. When there was a problem, they reacted to it, whether it was dealing with a customer, identifying a new supplier, going to New York to pick up drugs at the same time and providing common protection, or carrying out the various crimes, beginning with the McCaffity murder in 2002. Individual operations show us the structure of this group. And it is a tight structure, a family, an alliance.

Although leadership is not a requirement of a RICO enterprise, in this case we have leaders emerging in the individual operations. A leader for the day, if you will. We see that with McCaffity, we see it with Wyche, we see it with the Spence murder as well.

Again, there is structure. The most fundamental element of the structure is that the defendants and their other coconspirators were there for each other to provide assistance when they needed it. That's the heart of a RICO enterprise.

It's also the heart of a conspiracy.

Another element of a RICO conspiracy, ladies and gentlemen, is that there be some effect on interstate commerce, that the enterprise operator have an effect on interstate commerce in some way.

2.0

2.1

This is an easy one. Drugs come into the United States from outside the United States. They're shipped into Maryland from outside Maryland. We've heard testimony here about trips to York, trips to Pennsylvania. We've heard testimony about the trading of guns and loaning of guns which are not manufactured in the state. Clearly, this is a group that affected interstate commerce in a way that satisfies the law.

As to each individual element, each individual defendant, ladies and gentlemen, you must find the defendant was associated with the enterprise and that he agreed to become a member of the particular conspiracy to engage in that, the affairs of that enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity. So let's talk about association first.

The association as to each defendant is an individual thing. And you ask yourselves, ladies and gentlemen, was the defendant connected to the group in some way? Did he know of the group's existence? He may not have known of everything that the group did, just as a person who works for a corporation may not know what's going on on the Sixth Floor or the Fifth Floor. But they're aware of the corporation and they work for it. The same is true here.

If the defendants had a general understanding of the existence of this group, then that is a part of what constitutes an association. And importantly, it not required under the law that all of the defendants have been associated with the group

2.0

2.1

during its entire existence. We're talking about a period from 1994 to 2006 for the racketeering count.

You need only find, ladies and gentlemen, as to each individual defendant that he became a member of the enterprise for some period of time charged in the indictment. They do not have to be involved for the whole duration. Again, as to Mr. Harris, he wasn't. He doesn't meet Mr. Mitchell until much later, in the 2000/2001 time frame at the Hickey School.

Membership, specific membership. That is an agreement to engage in the racketeering conspiracy, is the last element. And the specific agreement here, ladies and gentlemen, it gets a little tricky because, again, we're bringing in another legal element. It's an agreement to participate in the affairs of an enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity. Pattern of racketeering activity is another legal term.

It is not required that the defendants all have agreed to participate in each and every criminal act that the conspiracy or the enterprise engaged in. That's not required at all. That would be rather absurd. Again, like a person becoming a member of a corporation and somehow individually committing him or herself to everything that goes on. That's not how the real world operates.

The requirement here is that the defendant agree to participate in the engagement of a pattern of racketeering activity. You may not know everything that's going on but you

know generally what's going on. That's the scope of the agreement in this case.

2.0

2.1

Now, it does raise the issue, what is a pattern of racketeering activity? It sounds like something vaguely criminal, but what does it actually mean?

A pattern of racketeering activity means that the defendants have agreed to engage in this pattern, even without necessarily agreeing to engage in each individual thing. A pattern of racketeering activity is defined as the committing of two or more acts of racketeering by the enterprise as a whole during the course of the life of the enterprise. That's what's required to show a pattern of racketeering activity. And it gets a little complicated here, ladies and gentlemen. But the important part here is that although the evidence must prove two or more acts of racketeering by the whole enterprise, the evidence does not have to prove that each individual defendant committed two acts of racketeering. That's not required under the law.

Again, what's required is that the enterprise engaged in this pattern and that the defendants each individually agreed to participate in the pattern, not necessarily the individual acts. It's a little complicated, but there's a distinction there.

At the end of the day in this case what you will be asked to decide is did this enterprise engage in two or more acts

2.0

2.1

of racketeering activity? Eight are charged in the indictment, the murders and the armed robberies that have been alleged and which have been proven by the evidence.

You don't have to find that the individual defendants here participated personally in all those acts, only that the acts occurred as part of the enterprise and that each individual defendant agreed to be involved in the pattern, not necessarily the individual actions. In fact, the evidence is going to show with an abundance and beyond any reasonable doubt, in fact all of the defendants were involved in all or most of the acts in this case, so it won't be a difficult problem here.

The individual racketeering acts -- and we begin to move a little closer to the evidence now -- are nine total.

Eight of them are listed on your screen. They correspond essentially to the murders of Oliver McCaffity, Lisa Brown,

Darryl Wyche, Anthony Wyche, Tonya Jones Spence, and also the planned robberies that you've heard about. In some instances, the racketeering acts are the murder itself. In other instances, they're murder and conspiracy to commit murder, a conspiracy simply being an agreement to carry out the act, which is a separate crime from murder itself.

Armed robbery is also alleged as part of this, the armed robbery, to carry out a robbery of Ms. Spence and also the armed robbery of the Wyche brothers. And I could see I'm drawing on a bit, ladies and gentlemen, because half of our audience is

leaving. I'm going to take that as a sign to try to speed up a little bit.

2.0

2.1

The ninth racketeering act is the conspiracy to engage in drug trafficking in this case, a conspiracy to possess and to distribute narcotics, which also corresponds --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Hanlon. Perhaps we should wait. We were joined by some high school students this morning, ladies and gentlemen, from a local school. It's often beneficial for them to visit court and to observe the proceedings.

Thank you, Mr. Hanlon. Sorry for the interruption.

MR. HANLON: Certainly, Your Honor. Again, ladies and gentlemen, I do take that as a soft sign here. I am doing my best to move through the indictment. And I promise you, we're still on Count One. Not all 19 counts are this complicated, so we are going to move through it a bit more quickly.

Racketeering act nine, which is part of Count One, is also charged as Count Eight of the indictment. The conspiracy to distribute narcotics not only stands on its own as one of the theories combining the activities of the defendants and their partners, but in fact it's also part and parcel of the racketeering count. It's only one of the various racketeering acts that you can consider in assessing whether the pattern's been shown.

And importantly, and as I mentioned before, ladies and gentlemen, you only have to find that two of these nine

2.0

2.1

racketeering acts have been proven to be convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the enterprise engaged in racketeering activity. Once you've been convinced of these racketeering acts, any two of them, the only related issue is whether or not the individuals defendants charged in this case conspired to participate in this pattern.

With respect to the specific acts of racketeering, we get into a little bit of state law here. When we talk about murder and conspiracy and armed robbery being charged as racketeering acts, what does that mean? These are common sense terms that we all have a walking around understanding of, but they're also legal terms.

Murder, as it's mentioned in these racketeering acts, includes under state law, Maryland state law, first degree murder and second degree murder, one or the other. You only have to find one or the other, ladies and gentlemen, to be convinced of that particular racketeering act. You have an option.

First degree murder is simply that a murder is carried out, that is the killing of a human being with an intent to kill, that it was deliberate and premeditated. I'm going to get into this a little bit more about what all that means. But it really kind of boils down to someone was killed and the person that killed them intended to do so and thought about it a little bit beforehand.

As to the McCaffity and Brown murders, the Wyche

2.0

2.1

murders, and also the murder of Ms. Spence, as charged as a racketeering act of first degree murder and also a racketeering act of second degree murder. This one is simply the intentional killing of a human being. That is the defendant or someone else involved in the enterprise killed the victim and intended to kill them. There's no requirement of premeditation. Both second degree and first degree murders are charged as to all of the murders in the racketeering counts in this case. You need only find one.

Some of the murder counts also charge separately the conspiracy to commit the murder. That is also part of the racketeering act. The conspiracy is simply the agreement to carry out the crime. As you've heard, the murder of Mr.

McCaffity, Ms. Brown, the Wyche brothers, and Ms. Spence were all carefully planned and orchestrated crimes. Before those murders ever took place, there was an agreement involving these defendants and other people in this case to commit those crimes. That conspiracy is an act of racketeering by itself.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, the racketeering acts charged as to the armed robbery, attempted armed robbery of the Wyche brothers and also Ms. Spence. Robbery is essentially what you probably came into court before this trial began understanding robbery to mean. That is the taking of property from another person through force or the threat of force, with the intent to deprive the victim of the property. In this case,

2.0

2.1

they were armed robberies, done with a weapon. That was clearly the motivation of both the Wyche murder and the Spence murder.

Importantly here, it is these elements of Count One which you must consider in determining whether or not guilt has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. You need not find anything other than the elements of a racketeering conspiracy for the defendants to be guilty. And the evidence does prove, as I'll mention in a little while, by beyond any doubt, much less a reasonable doubt, that this racketeering enterprise existed and that the defendants were part of it and conspired to engage in this racketeering activity.

There's been a lot of talk in this case about other stuff, the Randallstown/Park Heights organization as a name, and Shakedown Entertainment, and who was the leader and what was the color and what neighborhood they lived in.

You don't have to worry about that at all, ladies and gentlemen. You can be uncertain as to the location. There may not be evidence of a name. And you may decide that Shakedown Entertainment really isn't all that important in this case. The racketeering conspiracy is proven if the elements are proven beyond a reasonable doubt. All the other stuff, while it's evidence, is not required for Count One to be satisfied in total or as to the individual defendants in this case.

Now, let's move to Count Eight, which is the other legal theory.

2.0

2.1

Count Eight charges a conspiracy to distribute narcotics. As I mentioned, this is not only part of the racketeering conspiracy, but it's also a separate count by itself, Count Eight, and has some substantive counts charged along with it.

A conspiracy is an agreement between two or more people to carry out a crime; in this case, the distribution and the possession with the intent to distribute narcotics. That's what a conspiracy is. The elements of conspiracy are that the conspiracy existed and that, as to each of the defendants, you consider each one individually, whether or not they joined, that is knowingly became a member of the conspiracy. A lot of the evidence is going to coincide with the evidence of the racketeering count, although the legal standards are a bit different.

If anything, ladies and gentlemen, conspiracy is a broader concept in a lot of respects than RICO enterprise.

Enterprise, as I mentioned, doesn't require any formal structure, doesn't require any formal organization. A conspiracy can be any time two or more people come together to form an agreement.

Forget about organization. Forget about continuing unit. Forget about structure. Conspiracy doesn't require anything like that. It's two or more people with a mutual understanding to commit a crime.

And that certainly is shown in this case from the

2.0

2.1

defendants' conduct together during the 1990s, sharing suppliers, trading weapons, being arrested with narcotics, working together, planning armed robberies, and then carrying out the 2002 murders, certainly shows this mutual agreement and support.

And one of the realities of conspiracy law is that the conspiracy is often unspoken. It is often unwritten.

Coconspirators don't typically get together, write out the terms of the conspiracy, and listing the members. That's how business operates, that's not now criminal conspiracy operates.

So conspiracies are typically defined by the conduct and the acts of the members. And that's what we have here.

Conduct proves the existence of the conspiracy in this case.

Agreements in operation, criminal conspiracy in particular, are shown by evidence that defendants help one another, that they assist one another, them and other co-conspirators, to achieve any kind of a common goal. However light, however informal it might be, it is that mutual assistance, it is that conduct that demonstrates and proves the existence of a conspiracy.

One of the issues that comes up in this case that you may hear about is the notion of withdrawal. There has been testimony and evidence in this case about whether a defendant was in jail and whether or not a defendant was in college. We heard about a great deal about the last couple of days from, with respect to Mr. Mitchell.

2.0

2.1

Very important to understand in this context, ladies and gentlemen, what does withdrawal from a conspiracy really mean? Under the law, a conspiracy, once it exists, once defendants have formed that agreement, a conspiracy will continue to exist, frankly, forever theoretically until defendants withdraw from the conspiracy.

And withdrawal doesn't just mean that he's not around much. Withdrawal doesn't mean that you're out of town for a year. Withdrawal means more than that.

A withdrawal requires real affirmative conduct by a member of a conspiracy to defeat or disavow the purposes of this conspiracy. It's not enough to just kind of disappear and then come back or disappear and not even involve yourself in the affairs of the conspiracy at all. You actually have to defeat or disavow the activities of the conspiracy.

There's evidence in this case that sometimes people were in jail, sometimes people were in college. But there's been no evidence, and there is, in fact, no evidence, that anybody, once a member of this conspiracy, did anything to withdraw.

So in effect, from the beginning of the conspiracy and going on, the conspiracy continues to exist unless evidence is shown of withdrawal. Even an arrest, even imprisonment, does not necessarily bring a conspiracy to an end. In fact, we've seen a conspiracy in this case continuing long after defendants and accomplices were arrested.

2.0

2.1

In real life, conspiracies thrive on silence, and actions have to speak louder than words. That's how conspiracies are proven. You're never going to get a contract of conspiracy. You're never going to get a guide book and rules and regulations for the Park Heights/Randallstown drug trafficking organization. They don't exist. It is the conduct that defines a conspiracy.

In showing that an individual defendant is a member, this simply involves evidence of whether or not they joined the conspiracy or have any understanding of its goals. Do they have a stake or interest in the outcome? Are they helping other members of the conspiracy? Do they have knowledge of it? Are they recruiting other people into the conspiracy?

Coconspirators within a broad conspiracy do not have to know everyone else who's in a conspiracy. The law does not require that. There may be conspirators they never work with. There may be conspirators they do not know. Each individual defendant, each individual member of the conspiracy need not know everything that is going on in the conspiracy. A person's participation in a conspiracy can be minimal and they are still a member of the conspiracy under the law.

Conspiracy activity in this case, ladies and gentlemen, includes dealing drugs. It includes buying drugs during trips to New York. It includes transporting drugs from New York and other places. It includes robbing people in order to obtain drugs and for money to buy drugs. And it includes using violence as part

2.0

2.1

of that drug trafficking business. We've heard that over and over again in this case. And the relationship between this drug trafficking and violence is an important one because violence and murders are charged in this case as substantive counts done in furtherance of this drug trafficking conspiracy.

What does violence have to do with drugs in this case? The drugs trafficking conspiracy needed drugs and needed money to maintain itself. They picked out targets like the Wyche brothers and Tonya Jones Spence's husband, Darius Spence, because they were an easy source for money and an easy source for narcotics if they were found on any.

Drug traffickers rob people, other drug traffickers, in order to maintain their business. We heard about that from Special Agent Ellington and we heard about that from the various cooperating witnesses or accomplice witnesses who testified that you often carry a gun if you're a drug dealer precisely because of the concern for robbery. There is an intimate connection in this case between violence and drug trafficking, which is an important part of the indictment.

The substantive counts essentially relate to each.

Individual murders, ladies and gentlemen, as I turn to them, and
I assure you it starts to move a little faster now, there are two
legal concepts that you should be aware of. Number one, there's
a concept called coconspirator liability. And there's a concept
called aiding and abetting. As we consider the various murder

2.0

2.1

counts in this case, it's important to know that the law does not require that for a person to be guilty of a murder or any other crime that they actually carried out themselves or by themselves. In fact, the person can be guilty of a crime that they did not commit personally under two circumstances.

Number one is conspirator liability. If you're a member of a conspiracy or if the defendant is found to be a member of a conspiracy, and if a murder or a robbery is carried out in furtherance of that conspiracy and such a crime is reasonably foreseeable to the defendants, and that's certainly the case here -- all of the murders were part of the conspiracies charged in this case and advanced the goals of the conspiracy -- then the individual defendants who are members of the conspiracy are guilty even if they didn't do it themselves. The evidence is going to prove the defendants were all personally involved where they're charged, but the law doesn't require that.

If they're members of the conspiracy and the crime's committed, they're responsible under conspiracy liability. It's essentially a rule that recognizes that once you join the club, you're responsible for what the club does. It's a common sense proposition that the law recognizes.

Another concept is aiding and abetting, which is charged in the indictment. This is another way that the individual defendants could be guilty of these substantive murders even if they didn't do it themselves.

2.0

2.1

Aiding and abetting simply means you may not be, the defendant may not be the murderer, but he's helping the murderer. Helping the murderer by providing guns. Helping the murderer by doing surveillance. Helping the murderer by setting up the crime. Helping the murderer by being there when the murder takes place. And helping the actual murderer to escape.

An example of this is probably the Spence murder. It's been a lot of discussion about whether or not Mr. Gardner was the shooter in that crime. Well, ladies and gentlemen, all the evidence in this case is that Aaron Holly, the red hat guy, was the shooter who executed Tonya Jones Spence that day. Mr. Gardner's guilty, however, under both coconspirator liability and by aiding and abetting the crime. He helps plan it. He helps do surveillance. He's there to back up Mr. Holly. He's there to help Mr. Holly escape and ditch the evidence in the woods. And he provided the guns. That's aiding and abetting and that's quilt under the law.

Let's turn to the murder in aid of racketeering counts.

Counts Two through Seven charge the defendants variously with specific murders done in aid of racketeering. The elements of these, which I think I can move through a bit quickly, are, number one, that an enterprise affecting interstate commerce existed.

Secondly, that the enterprise engaged in racketeering activity. We've already talked about those concepts in the

context of Count One.

2.0

2.1

Third, that the defendant, each individual defendant had a position or was seeking a position in the enterprise.

That's part of the counts.

Fourth, that the defendant committed the charged act of violence. In these cases, it's murder and conspiracy.

And fifth and finally, that the general purpose of the murder was for the defendant to maintain that position within the racketeering enterprise. Let's talk about each of them in turn.

First of all, what is this notion of a position in the enterprise and maintaining that? Essentially, what this boils down to is if you find, ladies and gentlemen, that the defendants in this case committed these murders or aided and abetted their commission because they believed they held a position in this enterprise, a position in this group, and it was expected of them in a group committed to drug trafficking, racketeering, murder and robbery to participate in those kinds of acts, then that establishes that the purpose of these racketeering murders was for the defendants who participated to maintain their position. That's all it is. Really, it's essentially whether or not the murder in some way advanced the goals of the organization. That's really what it boils down to.

The crimes of violence charged in an individual count are basically as follows: Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris, Willie Mitchell and Shelton Harris, are charged in Counts Two, Three,

2.0

2.1

and Four with murders and conspiracy relating to the murder of Oliver McCaffity, the conspiracy to murder Oliver McCaffity, and the murder of Lisa Brown. We've already heard evidence about that. I'm going to talk about it in a bit more detail. These three counts are the substantive murders in aid of racketeering.

The victims are charged, are mentioned in separate counts and the conspiracy is mentioned separately.

The elements of those various things, murder, conspiracy, and murder are also mentioned there. For each of the murder counts you have three alternative theories, three types of murder which are charged inside the count -- first and second degree murder, which I already talked about, and then something called felony murder, which is something we haven't talked about yet.

First degree murder we talked about, ladies and gentlemen. And second degree murder we talked about. I don't want to spend too much time or draw on about this already. But the elements are essentially the same as with the racketeering acts we talked about.

Felony murder is new. To prove a felony murder, the requirements under Maryland law are that there be proof that the defendant participated in a felony. In this case, the various felonies that sort of resonate throughout these counts are planned robberies, planned murders, and planned conspiracies.

Number two, that the defendant or another participant killed the

2.0

2.1

victim or caused him to be killed. And finally, three, that the killing occurred during the commission of the felony.

Basically, once you decide to commit one felony, whether it's a robbery or whatever it may be, and during the course of that felony someone gets killed, that's felony murder. That's what it boils down to.

The next two counts, Counts Five and Six, relate to the Wyche brothers. And all four defendants, Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Harris, Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner, are all charged here. Count Five charges the murder of Darryl Wyche. Again, all three types of murder are alleged. And Count Six charges the murder of Anthony Wyche, all three types.

You don't need to find that all three types of murder occurred, ladies and gentlemen. You only have to find one type in your deliberations. If you're convinced beyond a reasonable doubt as to first or second or felony murder and you're in agreement on that count, then that satisfies the element. You only have to find one. All three are charged but you have the option of reaching a unanimous decision on any of the three.

Mr. Gardner is charged by himself in Count Seven of the indictment. This is the racketeering murder of Tonya Jones Spence on June 7th, 2002. Again, all three types of murder are alleged. The felony in this case, of course, would be that Ms. Jones Spence was murdered during the course of a planned robbery of her husband, Darius Spence, in their apartment.

2.0

2.1

The remaining substantive counts, at least dealing with the violence in this case, are Counts 9 through 16. These follow off from Count Eight, the conspiracy count, for distribution of narcotics, and also incorporate Count One, the racketeering count. The statute is a statute that makes it a crime to possess a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence or a drug trafficking crime under federal law. The crime of violence is essentially the racketeering murders that we've already talked about and the drug trafficking crime is the conspiracy to distribute.

So for each one of these counts, ladies and gentlemen, and again, they correspond with the murders we've seen. In each instance, the related defendants are charged with possessing a firearm in furtherance of two things — in furtherance of the racketeering murder that we already talked about, and in furtherance of the drug trafficking conspiracy charged in Count Eight. So there's two predicate felonies.

You only have to find one of these predicate felonies for every one of these counts. Even if you're unconvinced as to the racketeering stuff, if you find there was a drug trafficking conspiracy and that the weapons were possessed in furtherance of that, you can find the defendants guilty of these other counts.

Likewise, if you're not sure about the conspiracy to distribute narcotics but you're convinced as to the racketeering count, you can still find the defendants guilty of these firearms

2.0

2.1

counts. You only have to find one and reach a unanimous decision as to that one predicate crime.

In addition to the possession of a firearm offense, for all of the charges involving murder there's also another statute, which makes it a crime if a defendant is committing a 924(c) offense, that is a possession of a firearm in furtherance charge, and all that stuff I just got done talking about, and during the course of the commission of that offense the defendant causes or aids and abets the death of a person through the use of that firearm, and if the defendant acted with malice aforethought and with premeditation, then we have the crime of premeditated murder under federal law. It's a different statute.

Essentially, if you commit murder during one of those firearms possession charges, then this is another violation of the law. And it can be premeditated murder, laid out here, which is sort of like first degree murder, or it can be felony murder, which we already got done talking about, committing a murder during the course of a felony.

For the murder counts following out of Count Eight, there is both a felony murder and a premeditated murder predicate.

The firearms counts dealing with Mr. McCaffity and Ms. Brown are Counts 9, 10 and 11. Count 9 charges the possession of a firearm in furtherance of the McCaffity racketeering murder and Count 8, the drug conspiracy.

2.0

2.1

Count 10 charges the possession of a firearm in furtherance of the Brown murder, the murder of Ms. Brown, and also drug conspiracy, Count 8. So each of those counts you have a gun charged and being in furtherance of two of these predicate felonies. And Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris are charged here.

Count 11 charges the murder of Lisa Brown during the course of Count 10. In other words, Count 11 says that while the defendants were committing the violation of the firearms possession statute in Count 10, they killed Lisa Brown. So it's charged as a separate murder in Count 11.

Counts 12, 13 and 14 are sort of a similar structure with respect to the Wyche murder. Count 12 is the possession of a firearm against all of the defendants, all four during the in-furtherance of the Wyche racketeering murders and the drug conspiracy count.

Count 13 charges the murder of Darryl Wyche during the course of Count 12, which is the firearms possession count.

Count 14 charges the murder of Anthony Wyche during the course of Count 12, which is the firearms possession counsel.

You see how all the counts sometimes incorporate each other and build off of each other. It gets confusing, ladies and gentlemen, which is why it takes some time.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, the Spence counts on

June 7th of 2002. Mr. Gardner is charged by himself here. Count

15 charges the possession of a firearm in furtherance of the

2.0

2.1

racketeering murder of Tonya Jones Spence, and drug conspiracy. And specifically, the guns there, ladies and gentlemen, we've identified. The .40 caliber and .357 revolver found in the woods. And then Count 16 charges the murder of Tonya Jones Spence during the course of Count 15.

Let's actually turn to the evidence and actually start to talk about what the evidence has proven and get out of the law a little bit.

What has the evidence proven in this case? We start really with evidence relating to the drug trafficking conspiracy and the racketeering conspiracy.

In establishing that the defendants were working together from the early 1990's and on, one of the first things you heard about, ladies and gentlemen, were the series of specific arrests where the defendants were arrested, sometimes in pairs, and sometimes by themselves, with narcotics. We went through a series of arrests, which I'll go through quickly here.

October 18th of 1996, Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner were arrested together in Baltimore City by a detective. You remember this, this evidence is one of the first things we heard about. Detective Phipps testified he was doing surveillance. He sees Mr. Gardner take something out of a red car, then go down the block. Mr. Martin was about a block away. And they did hand-to-hand transactions with some customers. If memory serves, Mr. Gardner would hand the drugs, Mr. Martin was taking the

money, or vice versa.

2.0

2.1

He arrests them both, finds the marijuana in the red car. Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner identified this day dealing drugs. They both, as it happens, give fake names. Mr. Gardner identifies himself as Gary Bair, if memory serves, and Mr. Martin identified himself as Donte Mitchell. But this is the first time we see evidence of the defendants, at least in this courtroom, is this 1996 arrest.

January 9th of 1997, a few months later, Mr. Martin's arrested with about 78 grams of cocaine in individual vials, about 39 vials. He's also got a bunch of money on him.

MR. PYNE: Your Honor, if I could object. That's just an incorrect amount indicated on that slide.

THE COURT: All right. The jury's recollection of the evidence and the exhibits will be reflective. Go ahead, Mr. Hanlon.

MR. HANLON: Ladies and gentlemen, your memory serves. If I've got it wrong, I apologize.

September 15th of 1997, Mr. Gardner is arrested with a small quantity of heroin in three individual gel caps. October 15th of 1998, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Bacon are arrested. Darryl Bacon is not one of the charged defendants, ladies and gentlemen, but he is a member of this conspiracy and he's a member of this enterprise. This is one of the times that he actually comes up being involved in enterprise and conspiracy activity, together

with Mr. Gardner. And you heard testimony about this.

2.0

2.1

A firearm is found in the car, \$1700 cash, and a few grams of heroin. On November 6th of 1998 Mr. Gardner, Mr. Bacon are again arrested together. This time a Taurus 9 millimeter firearm is found on the passenger seat arm rest of the vehicle. I believe Mr. Bacon was the passenger and the gun was a bit closer to him in this instance. And this is just within a few months of the October arrest. Mr. Bacon testified about that arrest as well.

On December 1st of 1998, Mr. Harris, Shelton Harris, is arrested. Now, this is before his entry into this conspiracy and this racketeering group. He meets up with Mr. Mitchell in 2000, 2001 at the Hickey School. But this arrest is relevant in showing that Mr. Harris was already, by the time he hooked up with Mr. Mitchell, an experienced drug trafficker and had knowledge of the drug trade.

He's arrested by a detective during the course of a search warrant being executed in Baltimore City. A bag and a number of gel caps are found totaling up 55 or 60 grams of crack cocaine.

On June 18th of 1999 Mr. Martin is arrested with a few razor blades and some powder cocaine residue on him. On May 7th of 1999, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin are arrested in what's been referred to in this case as the I-95 stop. Maryland State troopers testified about this one.

2.0

2.1

Trooper Forrester and Trooper Bond testified that Mr.

Martin is arrested in a car because of a seat belt violation. A

search is conducted of the car and a quantity of crack cocaine is

found inside a hidden compartment in the center console. It

weighs out to more than 50 grams of crack cocaine. You heard

testimony from the lab technician that did the examination in

this case.

Mr. Martin was actually driving the car where the drug are found. Mr. Gardner, Shawn Gardner's arrested down the way a little bit. This is on I-95. He was waiting for his friend, the testimony shows. There were no drugs in Mr. Gardner's car, at least no crack cocaine. But because of the relationships and the proximity, they're both arrested.

Mr. Gardner is advised of his Miranda rights that day by a trooper named Wooden, then Corporal now Sergeant Wooden, who testified. In evaluating the guilt of Mr. Gardner in this case, ladies and gentlemen, you can, you should consider that Mr. Gardner confessed to the crime. Mr. Gardner told the corporal, yeah, the drugs are mine. I went and bought them that day. I have a relationship with a Dominican drug dealer named Poppi in New York. I buy from him all the time. I bought four ounces from him. But he shorted me a little bit so we were getting together today and he gave me this additional amount because he shorted me what he owed me.

That was Mr. Gardner's confession, which you can

2.0

2.1

consider in evaluating Mr. Gardner's guilt on that day, and Mr. Gardner's membership in this drug trafficking conspiracy.

I note, ladies and gentlemen, that one of the elements of the conspiracy count and one of the things you will be asked to find is how much drugs were involved. The conspiracy count, Count Eight, alleges 50 grams or more of crack cocaine. This arrest by itself gets us over the 50 gram mark. And of course there's been an abundance of evidence in this case from various witnesses who did business with this group about how much crack cocaine was trafficked over time, including these various arrests and through other testimony.

January of 2000, Mr. Mitchell gets arrested as a result of his activities up in Pennsylvania. Testimony from the Duganne sisters in this case, who both testified that they did business with Mr. Mitchell. They had a shop up in Pennsylvania in which he was acquiring crack cocaine, dealing it up, packaging it into gel caps and whatever else worked, and distributing it out of a house in Altoona, Pennsylvania. They get busted in early 2000 as a result of that.

We heard testimony from Natasha Wyche in this case, the wife of Darryl Wyche, that he must have had some involvement in this, in this case because there was bad blood between him and Mr. Mitchell over something that went bad in Altoona, Pennsylvania. The evidence there, when you consider the relationships, is that Mr. Wyche is the supplier to Mr. Mitchell

2.0

2.1

during all of this Pennsylvania activity. Certainly, this is important evidence in Mr. Mitchell's involvement in the terms of the amounts.

You heard testimony from the Duganne sisters about the quantities of crack cocaine that were being distributed. There were ounce quantities or halves or quarters of ounces. There were numerous dozens of gel caps being packaged up. Certainly, ladies and gentlemen, this is more than 50 grams, which is the relevant quantity for the Count Eight conspiracy count.

It also is worth noting, ladies and gentlemen, that during the same time frame there's testimony that Shawn Gardner is also distributing coke, narcotics in another part of Pennsylvania, totally different part of the state it should be. I believe it's Hanover, if memory serves, which is nowhere near Altoona.

But it's notable, ladies and gentlemen, that at precisely the same time Mr. Gardner and Mr. Mitchell, according to this evidence, are both pursuing identical business opportunities in the same state for the same reasons, to get the advantage of small town deals. And when you look at the timing, given Mr. Wyche's involvement and Mr. Mitchell's Pennsylvania trafficking, it's certainly an easy inference that Mr. Wyche was one of the suppliers Mr. Gardner would have been relying on at the same time. At a minimum, it shows some relationship.

We have some additional arrests involving Mr. Mitchell

2.0

2.1

in January and April of 2000, in each instance being arrested with some crack cocaine. One instance it was some heroin.

Another instance -- again, this is all further evidence of involvement.

Search warrants are executed at various locations during the course of the case. We're moving past the arrests now. We have a search warrant of Amity Street on June 21st of 2002, which was the residence at that time of Mr. Harris.

There's a substantial quantity of crack cocaine found during the course of that search warrant. We heard testimony from literally everyone who was living in the house at that time, including his mother, his sister, and his girlfriend, all confirming that the drugs came out of Mr. Harris's room and that they belonged to Mr. Harris.

The search of Seamon Avenue somewhat later, a couple of years later, January 22nd, 2004, this is after some of his associates had been arrested, leads to the recovery of a .45 caliber Llama hidden in a kitchen furnace closet, along with some other items, including the rap lyrics that there's been so much testimony about.

Now, all of that is fine and it shows a series of arrests and it shows that the defendants made their living to a very great degree as drug dealers during the times charged in the indictment. We have 1996 arrests, '97, '99, 2000. And then we have some search warrants even later. We have Pennsylvania. We

2.0

2.1

have I-95. We have Baltimore City. That the defendants are operating as drug dealers is clear just from the arrest patterns, ladies and gentlemen.

But more is required to prove a conspiracy or an enterprise under the law, as the defense has pointed out many times and as they pointed out during cross examination of the various police officers. More is required. And what is required is that the activity was taking place as an enterprise and, for purposes of the conspiracy count, as a conspiracy. So what is that evidence? Stepping beyond the arrests and just the police activity, what is the enterprise and conspiracy evidence? Well, let's turn to that now.

And what it boils down to here is the evidence proving that the defendants operated, not just individually, but as an alliance and as a family. And those are words I'm quoting from the evidence in this case, "alliance" from lyrics, and the word "family" from Mr. Montgomery's testimony.

There is a core group that emerges from the body of the evidence in this case, ladies and gentlemen. I'll discuss where the core group comes from and how they're structured. But the evidence by way of summary includes Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin, but not only them, and later on Mr. Harris. In fact, it also includes Darryl Wyche. It includes Will Montgomery. It includes Darryl Bacon. To a lesser extent it includes Aaron Holly, who frequently distributes on behalf of the group. Later

2.0

2.1

Mr. Harris. And it also ultimately includes the entity known as Shakedown Entertainment, which we know was an incorporated company under Maryland law, and Mr. Mitchell was one of the incorporating figures. He held the position either as president or incorporating person, if memory serves.

So all of these individuals and, ultimately, Shakedown Entertainment are part of this core group.

There's been a lot of talk about Shakedown and Sheistyville, ladies and gentlemen. Just so it's very clear, they're not synonymous with the RICO enterprise and the conspiracy in this case. They are not the same thing. The rap company in this case is only part of the RICO enterprise. They are not equivalent. They are not charged that way. And you don't need to find that they're charged that way. Indeed, we don't hear any evidence about rap activity in this case until after the RICO enterprise has already been operating for a period of time.

Your Honor, may I set up this easel?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I'm afraid I'm going to block the Court's view a little bit.

THE COURT: I understand. Sure.

MR. HANLON: Ladies and gentlemen, if anybody has any difficulty seeing that board, I hope you'll raise your hands and I can fiddle with it. This is a display that Mr. Harding showed

2.0

2.1

you at the beginning of this case. It showed the government's theory of how the group operated. It is exactly what the evidence ultimately showed in this case.

The core group members that I just mentioned are seen on the easel. They include our defendants, who are at the distribution level. They also include various suppliers like Mr. Wyche, Poppi, who is the New York figure, Willie Fryson, who's also known as Card, and others.

This is the group, ladies and gentlemen, and ultimately the rap entity as well, that formed part of this RICO conspiracy.

And how do we know that? What is the evidence proving that?

Well, there have been testimony from various members of the core group who did business with this organization, who are part of the conspiracy, who are part of the enterprise, or who did business with the enterprise, who came to court to testify about it.

One of the practical realities of conspiracy and racketeering law, ladies and gentlemen, is to prove the existence of a conspiracy it's often necessary to get into the conspiracy and bring its members to court to testify.

Conspiracies and racketeering organizations thrive on silence. They thrive on secrecy. They don't write down or record their activities. In this case, we have about as close as you get to a recording of the activities of this group, courtesy of Mr. Harris. But that's not enough.

2.0

2.1

To break up a criminal conspiracy, you got to bring people to court to talk about it. And that's what was done in this case.

You heard from witnesses on that witness stand, including Darryl Bacon, Ernest Reynolds, who dealt with the defendants, Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin early on. You heard from Natasha Wyche, the wife of Darryl Wyche, who is certainly a member of this unit. You heard from Dwayne Denham, who is one of the close associates of Mr. Wyche. You heard from William Montgomery, who is certainly a member of the racketeering enterprise in the conspiracy. You heard from them. And you also heard from two individuals who were associated, but maybe not core members. You heard from Rodney Hayes. And you heard from Chris Dobropolski, who was approached, who was recruited or attempted to be recruited in the organization after Mr. Harris and Mr. Mitchell were locked up for a while.

All of these witnesses testified about their involvement. All of them have admitted to their involvement in the affairs of this enterprise and in the affairs of this conspiracy. In fact, it was the first thing they talked about.

Darryl Bacon is a drug dealer. He testified about that. He testified about doing business with this organization and with this conspiracy.

He describes being involved with Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner early on, but very importantly also describes being

2.0

2.1

involved with Mr. Mitchell early on. What emerges from Mr. Bacon's testimony is not just that these are individual drug dealers operating and looking out for just themselves on the street, but, in fact, they benefited from being involved with each other. They supported each other's activities.

Mr. Bacon gave specific examples of this during his testimony. It included sharing suppliers like the Wyche brothers and Card, Willie Fryson, and Poppi in New York.

Mr. Bacon testifies, as does William Montgomery, about taking trips to New York. Mr. Bacon described traveling to New York with Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin. He described a trip that Mr. Mitchell took to New York but did not testify it was drug related. Mr. Bacon did not say that.

Mr. Montgomery described a drug-related trip that Mr. Mitchell took to New York to purchase narcotics, along with Mr. Martin and along with Mr. Gardner. But clearly, we have New York travel. And of course, there's testimony that the New York dealer is who? According to Mr. Bacon, it's a Poppi. Poppi, the New York supplier.

And in assessing Mr. Gardner's guilt individually, ladies and gentlemen, separating him out from the other defendants for a moment, ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Gardner confessed that after the I-95 stop that his Dominican supplier was a guy named Poppi in New York. That's exactly what Mr. Bacon testifies to and it's consistent ultimately with Mr. Montgomery's

testimony.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Bacon provided a couple of specific examples of how the group actually operated. He, for instance, described doing business with Avon, who is another member of the distribution level of this, sometimes below the suppliers, sometimes above the suppliers, who are the defendants in this case.

Mr. Bacon described one instance where he had some crack on him that he had purchased from Willie Mitchell, who had purchased it from Darryl Wyche. One of the reasons Mr. Bacon talked about that, had to do it that way is because he didn't have such a great relationship with Mr. Wyche but he could rely on Mr. Mitchell for contacts with Wyche.

And of course, during the same time frame and throughout the life of this conspiracy, Mr. Bacon described Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin buying from Darryl Wyche.

So Mr. Bacon's got this crack that he bought from
Darryl Wyche. And then he cooks up with Mr. Gardner, Goo, and
they're going out to buy some marijuana from Avon. And on that
day Mr. Bacon gets arrested with the crack. This is one of the
criminal charges that he has in his background, and only one of
many examples in which Mr. Bacon described the conduct with this
organization. Conspiratorial conduct, not just individual
conduct. Sharing suppliers, sharing resources, looking out for
one another. Trading guns as part of the business.

You hear about that both from Mr. Bacon and also from

2.0

2.1

Mr. Montgomery. Going to New York, protecting one another, sharing your suppliers. All of this are the way that the members of this conspiracy are able to operate and they're able to support each other's activities.

Ernest Reynolds describes a similar picture. At an earlier stage of the affairs, of course, he was the individual who introduced Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin to the business. At the time Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner got involved, Mr. Reynolds had his own unit working with Card, Mr. Fryson, and another individual named NA. They had some success. And it was at this time that Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin began to run shops.

Ultimately, Mr. Reynolds gets locked up. Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin, we know from the testimony of the other members of this group, continued on. And we know from Mr. Bacon that Card is one of the people that emerges as a common supplier to this group. Card, Poppi, and Darryl Wyche feeding all of the group at the same time during the course of the life of this conspiracy. Maybe not the entire time, but certainly throughout the conspiracy at various times.

Natasha Wyche confirms Mr. Bacon's testimony powerfully only from the Wyche end of the conspiracy. Mr. Bacon testifies that Willie Mitchell, Shawn Gardner, and Mr. Martin are all customers of Darryl Wyche.

Well, we hear confirmation of that from Natasha Wyche, Mr. Wyche's wife. She described a specific instance in which Mr.

2.0

2.1

Wyche picked up money from Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin on the street. She describes numerous instances in which Mr. Mitchell would purchase drugs from Mr. Wyche. She wasn't always present for the transactions, ladies and gentlemen. She's not standing there while the transactions take place. But they would visit each other at the Bryant grocery, which was open during the 1997/'98 time frame. And they would go in the back and they would do a deal and they would leave out, which shows at a minimum that Mr. Mitchell is carrying on with Mr. Wyche at least as early as that, if not earlier, and continuing on into '99, 2000, 2001 and thereafter, as we know from the testimony of Ms. Wyche, who continued to be aware of her husband's interaction with Darryl Wyche, and also Dwayne Denham and, also, frankly, the evidence of the phone calls between Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wyche in connection with the Wyche brothers' homicide.

Mr. Montgomery is another testifying individual who provides us a great deal of insight into not just the drug trafficking organization, but the fact that the defendants worked as a unit, as a group. Mr. Montgomery is, for lack of a better term, a hit man. There's really no getting around that, ladies and gentlemen. He testified about that himself as one of the first things he testified about. He's a hit man. He's a drug trafficker and he's done violence and murder in the service of his drug trafficking activities. And he's a member of this group.

2.0

2.1

What Mr. Montgomery testifies to is on point with what Mr. Bacon testified to in almost every respect. Consistent drug trafficking activities with Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin, Mr. Mitchell. Mr. Harris shows up later, that's to be sure. But the first three defendants under the Wyche distribution ring, sometimes making use of the New York supplier as well.

Mr. Montgomery, in fact, specifically describes an instance in which they travel to New York, all of them, Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin and Mr. Mitchell, for the purpose of engaging in drug transactions up in New York.

From Rodney Hayes and Chris Dobropolski -- these are individuals I'll discuss in a bit more detail later because they provide specific information related to the Wyche brothers and McCaffity murders. But they provide similar information, we've moved into 2002 at that point, because they're providing information that's specific to particular counts, as we'll see in a little while.

In assessing all of these cooperators, ladies and gentlemen, it's important to bear in mind that they all bring a great deal of baggage into this courtroom. They have their criminal records. They have the crimes that they've been arrested for. In some instances they have crimes hanging over their head, which they were cross examined about, of course. Every one of them brings that into this courtroom.

Mr. Montgomery impeaches himself as powerfully as any

witness could. He's admitted to numerous murders and he's admitted to numerous criminal offenses.

2.0

2.1

You should consider all of that in weighing the credibility of those witnesses, of course. But it's important to bear in mind one other thing, ladies and gentlemen. The issue in assessing these, these accomplice witness's testimonies is not whether or not they are good citizens and not whether or not they received an award for citizen of the year. The critical issue is whether or not they're telling the truth from that witness stand. That's the important question.

They're not nice people. You might not want to have lunch with them. But are they telling the truth? In assessing that, that ladies and gentlemen, compare their testimonies against one another.

Mr. Bacon and Mr. Montgomery, consistent on so many points -- the identities of the suppliers, the activities of the organization, how the defendants operated and helped one another.

They're consistent with how the organization operated in terms of its use of guns and they're consistent not only by implicating the defendants in our case, but they implicate each other as well as themselves. And they testify about their own criminal records.

It's also worth pointing out, ladies and gentlemen, that many of the witnesses who testified in this case provide information in the grand jury before they were ever prosecuted

2.0

2.1

for anything. That goes for Mr. Hayes, by way of example, as well as Mr. Bacon. And in the case of some other witnesses, such as Mr. Dobropolski, they began as cooperating witnesses with a plea or some expectation of benefit. But Mr. Dobropolski was very clear on that stand. At this point he's been prosecuted over and over again and he's really not getting anything for testifying. Assess that in weighing the credibility of these witnesses as well.

Ultimately, the image there emerges as an enterprise, a group of these defendants with a common purpose, which is drugs, robbery and murder, an organization that is comprised of the individual operations of the group culminating in the 2002 murders, but then continuing. They share resources together, such as suppliers. And they help each other when they need to. And there is a continuing unit. There is a core group of people, including Montgomery and Bacon, as well as our charged defendants, ultimately joined by Mr. Harris, that unquestionably demonstrates that this is a racketeering enterprise.

Now, specific examples of how they work together come up in really three ways -- the drug business, the robbery business, and the protection business. Looking at the drug business first.

The defendants worked together in numerous ways to maintain their businesses. Number one, they shared and exchanged drug suppliers in order to maintain a common supply. That

2.0

2.1

includes Darryl Wyche, it includes Card, it includes Poppi in New York. You will hear that from Mr. Montgomery and from Mr. Bacon, who were party to these transactions.

It includes operating together and actually helping each other in specific transactions. The trips to New York, which I've already talked about. Distributing and operating together and pulling money together in order to buy drugs. They buy from each other when it's appropriate.

We have Darryl Bacon buying from Mr. Mitchell. We have, according to Mr. Bacon's testimony, Wayne is providing narcotics to Mr. Mitchell. That is Mr. Martin, Wayne, Shelly Wayne Martin is providing drugs to Mr. Mitchell for a period of time during the 1990's. They share the same distributors when it's common. Occasionally, Avon and Aaron Holly are obtaining for members of this group. In some instance, you have it flip-flopped where Avon is providing marijuana to Mr. Bacon. It's whatever is convenient and it's whatever works. And that is how you maintain a consistent, reliable drug trafficking organization. Flexibility and function is what characterized this organization.

When it was appropriate, they would go to great lengths in order to get money for each other's attorneys. That was one of the key motivations in the June 7th, 2002 murder of Tonya Jones Spence.

We also know, ladies and gentlemen, that in the 2002

2.0

2.1

time frame, Darryl Bacon contacted Mr. Martin and asked him for assistance in obtaining a lawyer. Mr. Martin sent him a couple of thousand dollars, according to Mr. Martin, according to Mr. Bacon's testimony. So getting money for each other's attorneys, which is considered an important part of beating the charge, is part and parcel of how this group operates.

They provide each other with ready opportunities when they get released from jail. We have Mr. Harris singing later on in the case, celebrating how the Shakedown and Sheistyville group is going to carry on even after everyone gets out of jail, ladies and gentlemen. But we also have Mr. Bacon's letter written to Shelly Wayne Martin, in which he talks about opportunities after Mr. Bacon comes home.

Mr. Bacon talks about being willing to ski mask it up, being willing to break down doors, and knowing that he's going to be able to get an eighth from his friends, that's a drug, a drug comment, when he comes home. Ready opportunities when you get out of jail. Waiting for your friends to get out of jail.

Getting money together for your friend's attorney so they can come back from jail. Only one of many ways that this organization operates and maintains itself.

Trading guns is something that we've seen over and over in this case. Mr. Bacon testified about trading guns both with Mr. Martin and with Mr. Gardner during the course of their interactions in the '90s and thereafter.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Montgomery testified about how, in preparation for the Spence murder, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin were getting together .40 caliber, but expressed concern about a .40 caliber having bodies on it already.

We know from Mr. Hayes that there were exchanges of guns involving him and Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris before, during and after the McCaffity and Wyche murders. So trading guns, which are a tool of the drug trade, is an important part of this.

Robberies are an important part of how this business operates. We know that from the testimony of Mr. Bacon, we know that from the testimony of Mr. Montgomery, both of whom testified about planning robberies, doing home invasions. We hear the same thing from Mr. Hayes later on in the 2002 time frame, by which time Mr. Harris has joined the enterprise and has become a member of the conspiracy.

There are specific examples where Mr. Bacon and Mr. Montgomery testified that they were with the defendants -- Mr. Martin or Mr. Gardner's names come up a great deal -- and they would jump out on people. Sometimes somebody would wait in the car. Maybe the other two guys would jump on somebody. They shake them down for some money. Sometimes this had to do with a simple robbery. Sometimes it had to do with collecting a debt.

Probably the best evidence of the use of robberies in furtherance of this conspiracy in this enterprise are, of course, the 2002 murders, which really are the heart of the case, the

2.0

2.1

Wyche murders, the Spence murder, which were both planned as robberies, and, of course, the planned robbery of Goose, which we heard about during Mr. Montgomery's testimony.

Ultimately, this is a family and an alliance that looks out for itself. The members look out for each other. Drug dealer relying on people for protection, relying on people because they cannot go to the police like ordinary citizens.

That's the heart and soul of this organization, as laid out on that map. The drug distribution, the advantages, the guns, all of that. But the self-protection of the organization is a critical, critical part of how it operated.

And it needs to operate that way. If nothing else, this is what brings drug traffickers together. The Rice organization has been sort of put out in this case as sort of the hallmark of all drug trafficking organizations, like something out of the movies. But even its own members, Travis Golder and Eric Clash, who testified in this case, described it as nothing more than a couple of friends who got together and dealt drugs. But they were there for each other. Ernest Reynolds, who had great success dealing heroin with NA and with Card, described that they operated very independently. But they were a unit when they needed to be. A beef with one of them meant you had a beef with all three. And that was an advantage.

And recall the testimony of Special Agent Ellington in this case, the DEA agent. He testified that the need of drug

2.0

2.1

dealers to protect one another and rely on one another for protection to resolve street beefs, to obtain guns, and so forth is a critical part of how the organizations operate.

That's what this is about, protecting one another. And that's one of the parts of the enterprise in a conspiracy used in this case. And it does bring us, ladies and gentlemen, into the various murders that are charged in this case.

Mr. Bacon, Mr. Montgomery, for their own parts, discussed specific examples of relying on their fellows, relying on their friends to help with turf battles. An individual named Bubbles and Tuffy in the case of Mr. Bacon. And in Mr. Montgomery's case he described having a dispute with a drug dealer named Corey Smith and Tyree Stewart. In both instances, they were able to call on Mr. Gardner, and in Mr. Bacon's case he called on Mr. Martin as well to help him out, and the defendants were both available.

No better example of this protection, of this coming together to offer assistance in this case, possibly the best example, is the Hammerjacks case, in the wake of the Hammerjacks incident. Mr. Mitchell decided rightly or wrongly that his life was in danger because there was a contract out on him. So he called on his friends to help him. We have Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner during that time frame going and warning Darryl Bacon, look out, Willie's got, Bo's got somebody after him. And, of course, we have all of the evidence about carrying out that

murder.

2.0

2.1

The evidence, in fact, is that Mr. Harris and Mr. Mitchell carried out the murder of Oliver McCaffity together. And all of this is later celebrated in Shelton Harris's rap lyrics.

The Claudus Lassiter case is another example. In the wake of the Wyche murder, Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner become aware that Claudus Lassiter is ratting them out, that he's putting them in the case with the police and he's getting them into trouble.

So the response per Rodney Hayes, a different witness, but corroborating Darryl Bacon? Rodney Hayes reports and testified that during this time he became aware of a letter sent from Mr. Mitchell to Mr. Harris, care of TM, Tony Montana -- I'm going to talk about his testimony in a moment -- asking Harris, who by that point was an experienced hit man, to kill Claudus Lassiter. Protection.

So we've got all of the defendants involved in the Claudus Lassiter situation. Gardner, Mr. Gardner, Mr. Martin warning Darryl Bacon. Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Harris discussing a possible hit. Just like with Oliver McCaffity.

Loose structure, flexibility and function. That is how real conspiracies operate. They don't operate with flow charts and graphs and they don't fly flags. They stay quiet, they stay discrete, but they stay loyal. Loyalty above all, no dishonor, never talk to homicide, it's just us against the wall. That's

2.0

2.1

the language of this group and that's how it operated. And it is a real conspiracy and a real enterprise in every sense of the word.

And ultimately, of course, that brings us to the murders of five human beings in the year 2002. And let's talk about that, the real heart and soul of this case.

The murder of Oliver McCaffity and Lisa Brown in February of 2002 begins with the aftermath of this Hammerjacks fight. For the first couple of weeks of this trial, ladies and gentlemen, you may have wondered if we weren't trying a knife charge in this case. It was all of this talk about a fight and a hit and all this other kind of stuff. What all of that was relevant to was motive.

We start here with a party for Kevin Lyles at Def Jam. Things go bad on the dance floor. There's a knife fight. People get hurt. And there's bad blood between Willie Mitchell and the Rice organization now. The bad blood is so serious, in fact, that Mr. Mitchell absolutely believes he's going to be hit. He believes there's a contract out on him.

How do we know that Mr. Mitchell felt this way? For starters, there was real discussion of actually doing something to Mr. Mitchell amongst the Rice group's members. We heard that from Mr. Golder. We heard that from Mr. Clash. It doesn't appear that, to their knowledge, they don't know whether it went anywhere. But it's very clear that this was discussion, that

2.0

2.1

this was something being taken seriously within the Rice group and on the street.

And most importantly and, in fact, this demonstrates as powerfully as anything could, we know that Mr. Mitchell was concerned about this because Jaquetta Smith said he was, his girlfriend, the mother of his children. Testified, reluctantly, that during this time frame Willie Mitchell did believe his life was in danger, did believe that there was a contract out on him, and identified Woody as the person he believed was coming after him. That's proof positive of Mr. Mitchell's concerns about this. And of course that's the background of the murder itself.

Mr. McCaffity and Ms. Brown are found dead inside Hasim Rahman's car. You remember the scene, ladies and gentlemen. I don't have use of the DOAR system right now. But you remember the scene. A man and a woman shot dead in a crashed car. The car itself was smashed up against a tree and a piece of concrete barricade at the end of a hill on Edgecombe Circle.

A child looking at this crime scene would be able to conclude that this was a trap. Mr. McCaffity and Lisa Brown are both shot in the rear right in Mr. McCaffity case, and in the rear left in Ms. Brown's case. Ms. Brown's a passenger, Mr. McCaffity was in the driver's seat.

The angle of the fire, combined with the fact that all of the doors and the windows to the car were shut when the fire department and police arrived, prove beyond any doubt that the

shooter was sitting in the back seat when this happened.

Couldn't have been from outside the car because if it had been there would have been damage to the exterior of the car. There

4 was no such damage.

2.0

2.1

The angle of the shots makes it clear that the shooter was in the back seat. Absolutely crystal clear.

And that, combined with the environment in which the car was found. This is a residential section of Baltimore, ladies and gentlemen. But you've seen photos and you can see it there. This is sort of a wooded area that's separated from some homes. The car was isolated from houses. Although it's a residential area and it's in the city, it's actually somewhat remote, particularly in the middle of the night, which is when the evidence shows Mr. McCaffity and Ms. Brown were killed.

So they're in an isolated area. They evidently are going to meet somebody who gets into their car and shoots them both in the head. This is an execution and it's a trap.

Recovery of the bullet fragments from the car indicate that a .380 or a .357 weapon are used. And there are no shell casings, which suggest a revolver was used because a revolver would retain the shell casings.

So we have a trap and we have a shooter killing two people in a car in the back seat and leaving them to die after the execution. That's the scene.

Who set the trap? That's, of course, the real question

for us. The evidence is Mr. Mitchell, with the assistance of Mr.

Harris. Start with motive.

2.0

2.1

We've already talked about Mr. Mitchell's motive. He believed Oliver McCaffity was going to kill.

What is Mr. Harris's motive? Ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Harris's motive is Willie Mitchell's motive because Mr. Harris, Hard Roc, is Bo's man. He sings about it. Bo's his general. And his music and his family have testified in this case and make it very clear that Mr. Harris is loyal to Mr. Mitchell above all. He's a hit man in training, that's what the evidence in this case shows. And this is part of his training. So Bo's motivation is Mr. Harris's motivation. That's the heart of this group, the conspiracy and the racketeering enterprise.

How do we know that they set the strap, leaving aside motivation? Well, for starters, the phone contacts between Mr. Mitchell and Mr. McCaffity. Let me put this thing on the screen one more time.

Throughout the evening, ladies and gentlemen, we have Mr. McCaffity and Mr. Mitchell on the phone together, throughout the night, in fact, beginning at 5:00 and continuing on until after, until right around the time, well, frankly, until Mr. McCaffity loses his life. The evidence in this case, including cell phones that were recovered from the car, indicate that Bo Mitchell was the last person that Mr. McCaffity ever called. Mr. McCaffity did talk to someone else on the phone. He talked to

2.0

2.1

his uncle that evening. But Mr. Mitchell was the last person that Mr. McCaffity ever called. And they're on the phone together all that night, intermittently talking to one another. And it's consistent with two people getting together to meet for some reason.

It's like you're going to meet somebody for dinner, you're going to meet somebody for a meeting, so you're on the phone. Where are you going to get there? When are you going to be here, so forth? That's what the evidence shows, they were getting together.

Perhaps the most powerful evidence of that is the GPS data. As it happens, Hasim Rahman's car had a GPS device attached to it. So we can actually track its movements in the hours leading up to Mr. McCaffity's death. And of particular note here, ladies and gentlemen, is in the last few minutes before Mr. McCaffity died, a series of GPS hits that correspond with his last couple of phone calls with Mr. Mitchell, you actually see a series of hits, 2320, 2322, 2324, 2324 and a few seconds, ending at 2338, in other words, showing that Mr. McCaffity is driving around, looking around the neighborhood before he ends up at the incline, which is where he and Ms. Brown are murdered.

He's driving around the neighborhood and he's engaging in phone calls that night, getting ready to meet who? He's getting ready to meet Bo. And in particular, when you consider

2.0

2.1

the last phone call with the GPS data, it's very clear that Mr.

McCaffity's being led some place and he's being led some place in order to meet someone in particular. That person is Willie Mitchell. We know that from the phone calls. The GPS data and the phone calls correspond exactly.

In addition, ladies and gentlemen, we also know from Rodney Hayes that during this same approximate time Mr. Harris -- I'm sorry -- know from, yes, Mr. Rodney Hayes, who testified approximately the same time, Shelton Harris is admiring Mr. Hayes's .380 caliber handgun, looking at it. Looking at it for some reason. And of course it goes missing right around that time, right around the time that Mr. Hayes is coming home and sees police investigating the McCaffity murder scene on Edgecombe Circle.

That's evidence of who Mr. McCaffity was going to meet, the man that had a motivation to kill him. And Mr. McCaffity may not have had any idea that Bo Mitchell suspected that. And of course evidence that the hit man is in the process of obtaining a .380 caliber weapon, or at least reviewing one in advance of the murder.

In addition to that, ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris have done us the courtesy of effectively admitting to go this crime, both in words and in music in Mr. Harris's case. The words are their statements, in effect, their confessions to Chris Dobropolski. The music is the Shakedown

lyrics. Let's begin with Mr. Dobropolski first.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Dobropolski makes contact with Mr. Mitchell and with Mr. Harris while they're locked up together. For whatever reason, Chris Dobropolski has this reputation as a tough guy. He didn't really seem to quite understand the reputation himself, but it's out there.

We know from the Shakedown lyrics that Mr. Harris is an admirer of Chris Dobropolski. He talks about, my nigga, Chris D. He cracks spines. He has Chris Dobropolski's name and phone number written on documents taken out during the search of his home at Seamon Avenue. For whatever reason, Mr. Harris's great admiration for Mr. Dobropolski, and he's got this reputation as a hit man, ironically enough, in the Park Heights community.

Mr. Harris recruits and approaches Mr. Dobropolski and tries to get him interested in becoming part of this group, part of this unit. And as part of that allure, to bring him in, to interest him, he brags about the murder of Oliver McCaffity. And Mr. Harris provides detail of that murder that only the murderer could have provided.

Some of it may have been known. Mr. Harris is dead on spot about everything, but some of it maybe he could have picked up on the street. It's unlikely that he would have known just from the street that two people had been shot dead in Hasim Rahman's car from the back seat. It's unlikely that somebody who wasn't actually there would have been able to describe the crime

2.0

2.1

to such precision. But what is utterly impossible, what is utterly impossible is that Mr. Harris, if he were not the murderer, would have been able to form an opinion about particular things that happened at the murder. For example, describing how the heads of the two victims went down so slowly after the crime, and describing the motivation for killing Lisa Brown, which is because she was just there. This is what he told Mr. Dobropolski and what that witness testified to.

And most important of all, in terms of corroborating Mr. Dobropolski, Mr. Dobropolski even knows, according to Mr. Harris, who steps out of the car, that after the crime the car rolled down on its own and crashed near the end of the hill. We know that from the GPS data. How could Mr. Harris know that unless he was really there?

This is powerful corroboration that Mr. Dobropolski received this information from Shelton Harris and that Mr. Harris was describing a crime he committed. Powerful.

Combined with the motivation, combined with the phone records, combined with all of the evidence in this case, this is evidence which proves beyond any doubt that Shelton Harris, the hired hit man of Willie Mitchell, committed this crime. We know that Mr. Mitchell planned the crime from a combination of the phone records and his own statements to Chris Dobropolski, effectively confirming everything that Mr. Dobropolski had received from Mr. Harris; talking about how, sure, I put work in

2.0

2.1

for him and he put work in for me and so forth. Confirms everything. Adopts it, in effect, in an attempt to bring Mr. Dobropolski into the crew.

Again, just because they're locked up doesn't mean they shut down business. Mr. Harris sings about that. Just cause my man, Bo, locked up doesn't mean our business is going to end.

I'm paraphrasing.

All of that proves the guilt of the defendants beyond any reasonable doubt, ladies and gentlemen, the statements to Mr. Dobropolski in particular. But there is one other thing which I haven't talked about yet. And that's Mr. Harris's palm print found on the driver's side rear window of the murder vehicle. His palm print on the car. And this is an individual who sings about running up on the left side of cars. This is a guy who sings about introducing Woody to the afterlife.

The attempts to suggest that that is a reference to a cartoon character or some other term are respectfully laughable.

This is an individual who sings about blowing up people's brains, killing you and the bitch next to you. And his palm print is found on the rear window of a car in which a guy named Woody and his girlfriend are shot dead in the head.

That's proof beyond a reasonable doubt, ladies and gentlemen. That is proof without any doubt of Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris's planning of this crime and their carrying out of the crime. The forensics, the phone calls, the admissions to Mr.

Dobropolski, all of it prove that as to the McCaffity murder.

The lyrics simply confirm it. Simply confirm it.

2.0

2.1

Roc, ah, introduce you fucks to the afterlife. Woody, I know you feel me to the four-fifth.

The Wyche murders are the next murder charge in the indictment. Planned as a robbery murder. That's quite clearly what the crime was, the attempted robbery of two drug dealers or one drug dealer and his brother and their execution in the style of the McCaffity/Brown crime, very much in furtherance of the activities of this conspiracy.

What's the background of the Wyche crime? The background of the Wyche crime, ladies and gentlemen, is that Mr. Wyche is a drug dealer, as we've already heard. We hear that from his wife. We hear that from Dwayne Denham. We know that he did business with members of this conspiracy from early on. We know that from Darryl Bacon and William Montgomery.

We know that Mr. Mitchell was one of his customers. We know that all of the defendants, with Mr. Harris sort of tailing in on the end, were customers at one time or another with Mr. Wyche, who's the victim in this case.

We also know that Mr. Wyche comes into a supply of money and narcotics just a few days before his murder, on the early and the late evening of March 24th and the late evening, or the early morning of March 25th of 2002, if I have my dates correct. Yes.

2.0

2.1

We also know that there was a little bit of bad blood in the 2000 time frame after the Altoona, Pennsylvania arrests between Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wyche. There was a little bit of bad blood between the two of them. Natasha Wyche testified about that. It wasn't clear what that was all about. But there was a little bit of an angst between them.

We also know from Mr. Wyche's comments and his phone arrangements, as testified to by Damita Green and as testified to by Natasha Wyche, that as of March 24th of '02, although he was planning on seeing Bo later that night, it had been a while since they saw each other. So there was a little, something going on between these two guys. It's not a great deal of evidence and we know there's a drug history. But there was some business between them and the relationship wasn't what it had been originally.

At a minimum, we know that as of March 24th, Mr. Wyche, with money and drugs that are fresh, is going to be a fresh, nice opportunity for a big score from a group that's committed to doing robberies and murders in aid of racketeering and drug activity.

So what's Darryl Wyche's last day? What are his plans that day? What do we know about the background?

Well, he was going to see Bo at the time he died. That much is clear from Ms. Wyche, from his wife, Natasha Wyche, and from Damita Green, who spent time with him and some other people at Brandy's house just before, within a couple of hours of Mr.

Wyche's death.

2.0

2.1

According to Natasha Wyche, Mr. Wyche had gotten a recent load of narcotics, a couple of packages, and he had \$23,000 that Natasha had helped him count up within a couple of days of the murder. He leaves their house that day -- this is the last time Natasha Wyche ever saw her husband -- with his customary book bag, which is what he used to carry drugs, money, whatever else he was going to use. He also had scales when he left that day. That's all from the testimony of Ms. Wyche.

She specifically knew what her husband was planning on doing because she overheard phone conversations in which Darryl Wyche talked about getting together with Bo, and it was Bo from Randallstown, according to Ms. Wyche's testimony.

Damita Green testifies, frankly, to similar plans.

Later on in the day, after Mr. Wyche had been involved, according to the testimony of Dwayne Denham, in meeting up with a possible drug transaction in DC, and also earlier in the day they spent some time at Brandy's house. Mr. Wyche's brother, Anthony, who went by the name Pete, is also there during the same time. They hang out for a while. Dwayne Denham is there, Deezo, the Wyche brothers, Ms. Damita Green and some other people.

She overhears Mr. Wyche on the phone planning to meet Bo. And what were they going to do? Well, what Ms. Wyche overhears, and this is in the grand jury transcript which by stipulation has been brought in in this case, ladies and

2.0

2.1

gentlemen, the only thing we know about their plans is that when Mr. Wyche said to Bo, Are you still trying to get that? Are you still trying to get that? And then he gets off the phone and he leaves with his brother.

At approximately the same time Dwayne Denham leaves.

Ms. Green does not know whether they went the same direction or did anything. Mr. Denham confirms that they ultimately separated.

So the only thing we know for certain about what was going to happen that night is that Mr. Wyche was going to see Bo that evening. That's what's very clear.

So what happens that night? How do things actually play out?

There are phone calls between Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wyche throughout the evening consistent with the McCaffity pattern that they're getting together. There are also calls between Mr. Mitchell and his crew, Mr. Martin and Mr. Harris, in between Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner, consistent with Bo mobilizing his crew.

Natasha Wyche expects her husband to be home, talks to him sometime before midnight, and they have a conversation. She wakes up later on, around about 12:30, the phone records specify, and he's not home. She becomes worried. So she calls his cell phone. And we actually see that call on the phone records and summaries that have been put into evidence in this case.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Wyche does not pick up, but the phone does. And Ms. Wyche hears what must have been terrifying for her, voices talking about putting something in a reservoir. She becomes scared at this point. She doesn't recognize any of those voices, doesn't have an opportunity to hear any of them or to even make a guess as to who they are because this is only over a few seconds that she hears it. She testifies from memory and has no recording to describe.

She spends the rest of the night calling hospitals and calling police stations and ultimately becomes aware of the Irene Magginson voice mail. Her mother receives a voice mail message from Darryl Wyche's phone, apparently an accidental call. And there are voices on that phone that leave a recording. It's very clear that it's an accidental call. It's very clear that the voices don't know they're being recorded. But that voice mail's in evidence and you've heard it.

There was one thing that's absolutely clear in this case is that the voices on that voice mail are the voices of the killers of Darryl Wyche and Anthony Wyche. That much is obvious. This is Darryl Wyche's phone. We hear language about buc buc buc. We hear language about sounds. We hear laughter. We hear about going through pockets. We hear someone has a pair of scales.

It's very clear that this is the voice of the killers.

The witnesses in this case that have testified have identified

2.0

2.1

those voices, the voice of Mr. Mitchell, who's been identified by several witnesses, with the exception of his girlfriend, Jaquetta Smith. Mr. Martin is also identified. Mr. Harris is also identified by different witnesses. Generally, the witnesses who knew people the best were able to identify and in the best position to identify those voices.

Now, what is the crime scene? What does the Wyche crime scene look like that day? It's virtually identical to the McCaffity crime scene, first of all. That's one thing that's obvious from go.

Two human beings shot dead in a car in what would have been an isolated place in the middle of the night. And here, ladies and gentlemen, is a photo of Wabash where the Wyche vehicle was found. It's a light industrial area with construction sites. It would have been utterly abandoned in the middle of the night, 12:00, 12:30 time frame. Really from the time the sun went down until the morning, no one's going to be around here.

The shooter, once again, is sitting in the back seat, fairly obvious, in fact, perfectly obvious, from the positions of the bodies and the bullet wounds. And it's totally consistent with the McCaffity crime; that this was a killer sitting in the back seat. And when you consider the location and the fact that the killer was able to get in, once again, the Wyche crime is a trap, just like the McCaffity crime. Identical.

2.0

2.1

Once again, however, we're faced with the problem of who set the trap. That's the real issue.

In terms of assessing motivations, one thing that becomes relevant is this is an incomplete robbery attempt when you consider what's found in the car with Natasha Wyche's testimony and Dwayne Denham's. Both of them testified to packages of narcotics. We know that the Wyche brothers had a quantity of narcotics or Darryl Wyche had a quantity of narcotics and money that day. \$23,000, according to his wife, Natasha.

Some money and some drugs are found in the car, but not as much as we would expect to find, according to the testimony of the witnesses. This tells us what the motivation is, which was to rob Mr. Wyche, killing his brother as a witness, of any money and drugs that were easily recovered. But we also know that whoever carried out this crime didn't know Darryl Wyche well enough to know, A, how many drugs he had, how much money he had, or where his hiding places were. But it was a robbery, albeit an incomplete one.

It's also clear from forensics that a .40 caliber weapon is used to commit this murder. Projectiles are found in the front, the bodies, and shell casings are found on the floor in the rear, all confirmed to be .40 caliber weapons.

How do we know that this is the work of the defendants? Well, it's the same MO as the McCaffity murder. Mr. Wyche was a drug associate of Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin, as

we've seen from all the testimony in this case. Mr. Wyche was supposed to see Bo that night, according to the testimony of the people who knew his plans that well. And as we will see a little later, there is forensic evidence, firearms identification evidence linking the firearms used in the Wyche crime to the Spence crime by way of the Lee murder. In other words, there's a commonality of weapons being used within these various cases, which is important evidence of conspiracy.

Beginning with the phone analysis. If I can spend just a moment doing this one more time.

THE COURT: Whenever you get to a good point, Mr. Hanlon, we can break for lunch.

MR. HANLON: Certainly, Your Honor. I'll move through this.

THE COURT: Fine.

2.0

2.1

MR. HANLON: We'll still be in the middle of Wyche.

THE COURT: Whatever you choose. Sure.

MR. HANLON: Hopefully, that's fairly visible to you, ladies and gentlemen. I'm not spending too much time on this and, of course, now I'm aware that I'm the only thing separating you from your lunch so I'm not going to spend too much time.

We see a pattern of phone contacts here that's very consistent with what we saw in the McCaffity crime. We see these various phones. On the subject of the phones, ladies and gentlemen, in terms of how they're identified as the defendants,

2.0

2.1

well, you heard Special Agent Benson testify about that. I don' want to get too much into it. But it's a combination of phone usage, it's a combination of finding defendants listed by names in the phones of associates. Sometimes they're listed to residences connected with the defendants. But persuasive evidence has been presented in this case that the phones identified as Mr. Mitchell's, as Mr. Martin's, as Mr. Harris's and Mr. Gardner's phones are those defendants.

In essence, the pattern we see here is that Mr. Wyche is talking to Mr. Mitchell. That's what it boils down to.

They're in contact with one another, consistent with plans to meet.

And at precisely the same time, we have Mr. Mitchell in contact with his whole crew, Mr. Harris and Mr. Martin, and Mr. Martin is in contact with Mr. Gardner. So you have Wyche and Mitchell on one side and Mr. Mitchell and everybody on the other.

Now, put yourself in the position of Darryl Wyche.

You're getting ready to meet Bo Mitchell that night to do a drug deal in an abandoned area of Baltimore City. Are you going to be comfortable if you know that he's on the phone all night with Shelton Harris, Mr. Martin, and indirectly, Mr. Gardner? Most people wouldn't be. Because it's a trap, ladies and gentlemen, just like we see with the McCaffity crime. It is a trap. And how the trap is laid is something I'll talk to you about after lunch.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, continue to keep an open mind about all issues. Continue to adhere to my instructions. Have no discussion about the case or any of the arguments you've heard so far. It is approximately 12:55. I'll ask you to be back in the jury room, prepared to proceed, by 2 p.m. The jury's excused for luncheon recess until 2 p.m. Please leave your note pads on your chairs.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. We're in recess until two p.m.

(Luncheon Recess at 12:53 p.m.)

(Defendants present in the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Coburn.

2.0

2.1

MR. COBURN: Thank you, Your Honor. I've just got a little thing. I was wondering if it would be okay for the government to e-mail us a copy of the Power Point that they're using during the course of their closing.

THE COURT: He printed out copies.

MR. COBURN: We're hoping to have it on the computer because we were --

THE COURT: Well, I think that's a request that should go to Mr. Hanlon. I directed him to print out copies for you.

MR. COBURN: The question, and I don't mean to take up more than a nanosecond of the Court's time with this, but the question really has to do with whether we can refer to it in our closing. It's easier to do that if we've got it on the computer.

Because, I mean, they're using so it seems like we ought to be able to use it.

THE COURT: What about copyright law?

2.0

2.1

MR. COBURN: You know, it's funny Your Honor should say that, because when I mentioned it to Mr. Hanlon, he referred to work product, which I'm not too sure that work product would apply to it since it's on the presenter.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, the issue is whether or not something we've created as a demonstrative for ourselves ought to be emailed and turned into some sort of --

THE COURT: I'm not going to order you. You don't have to defend yourself. Ms. Rhodes, like Mr. Kurland with his dual sovereignty, I find it, you've pushed me to an obsessiveness over the rap expert to a point where it's difficult for me to think clearly any more. But I do want to say to you, it occurred to me, I think the closest analog, as I thought about it, was what you really tried to use him for, when you get right down to it, is one of these experts on voluntary confessions.

If you think about it, you know, that's, I'm sure you're aware there's sort of a movement, particularly in light of some of the exonerations, where in certain cases defense counsel seek the admission of psychologists and psychiatrists to testify about why people confess to crimes they didn't commit.

And that's kind of what you were trying to do here because, in fact, the Court treated the lyrics as an admission, a

1 confession. And in effect what you wanted Mr. Cook to come in 2 and say, well, the jury shouldn't credit those as true or 3 accurate confessions because, based on my training and experience, I know that lots of rap artists write a lot of really 4 5 raunchy, profane, vulgar, horrible lyrics that aren't true. 6 And I'm not saying I would never admit a psychiatrist 7 in an appropriate case, although I think the federal circuits are 8 largely uniform that that kind of evidence isn't admissible. 9 know a number of state courts have admitted it. 10 But that's really, that's the round hole you were 11 trying to squeeze that peg into, that square peg into. 12 MS. RHODES: I haven't thought of it like that. So you 13 are going to change your decision? 14 THE COURT: No. But I'm going to remain obsessed over the issue. 15 16 MS. RHODES: That's odd. 17 MR. MARTIN: I thought you were going to make an 18 announcement. 19 THE COURT: Now Mr. Kurland's got to come and defend 2.0 his --MR. MARTIN: I thought you were going to make an 2.1 22 announcement. 23 MR. KURLAND: It's nothing about dual sovereignty. 24 Your Honor, before the morning Mr. Hanlon made some minor changes

to the presentation, so the documents we have aren't exactly

25

1 accurate. I hope that nothing that Mr. Coburn said is going to 2 make him change his mind, because he was going to give me a copy, 3 a printout copy of the --MR. HANLON: That's fine, Your Honor. 4 5 MR. KURLAND: -- of the proper one. I just wanted to 6 make sure that was still in place. 7 THE COURT: All right. The report that I've received is that the remote transmission is working perfectly well and all 8 9 is well. So we'll have the jury. Just so you know, Mr. Hanlon, by my estimate you went 10 11 roughly an hour and 25 minutes or so. 12 MR. HANLON: That's fine, Your Honor. And I get to 13 start over now that the lunch break's ended, right? 14 (Jury enters the courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 16 We're ready to proceed. Mr. Hanlon, whenever you're ready. 17 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. Ladies and 18 gentlemen, good afternoon. One of the advantages of having your 19 closing, one of the advantages of having your closing arguments 2.0 broken up by the lunch break is you have an opportunity to go 2.1 back and check over things. And I do have --22 THE COURT: I think -- I'm sorry, Mr. Hanlon. I think 23 you're going to need to raise the mike just a bit. Okay. Let's 24 try that.

MR. HANLON: Is that good, Your Honor?

25

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: It is on, yes? May be a battery problem.

Thank you, Ms. Arrington.

MR. HANLON: Okay. Again, good afternoon. One of the advantages of a lunch break is that you have a chance to go over your materials. And there are a couple of errors, which as long as we're regrouping, I do want to correct.

While we were discussing some of the drug weight, Your Honor, ladies and gentlemen, you'll recollect that one of my colleagues on the defense side, Mr. Pyne, lodged an objection about the quantity I had described for the January 9th, 1997 count. And he's absolutely correct. It wasn't 70-something grams. It was 5.3 grams of cocaine. I'd accidentally included the weight of the packaging material.

So Mr. Pyne was absolutely correct about that. And I apologize for that mistake.

Something else to cover. In the search of Amity

Street, originally it was a figure of over 300 or 350 grams of crack cocaine. It's actually more than 80. It was 80-some grams of crack. I basically missed a decimal point in terms of one of the small quantities.

Now, my mistakes, fortunately, do not supersede the government's stipulation in this case with the defendants. That lets out, sets out all of the quantities in this case. This controls. My mistakes do not. And I apologize, ladies and gentlemen, for that. But it's fortunate that I had a chance to

correct that.

2.0

2.1

One other thing, which is a pure typo, is in the description of the firearm evidence from the McCaffity murder, I believe that my little Power Point presentation here makes a reference to a .380 caliber charge originally. I went ahead and corrected it. It was actually a .38, .357 caliber weapon firearm that was used based on the recovery of some bullet fragments from the car.

So a couple mistakes. I bet there are others that were made that I managed to correct. I'm sure there's probably some other stuff buried inside this Power Point some place. I apologize for that.

So with all that said, let's flip up to where we were before we broke for lunch. We talked about the phone communications between Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wyche, and also Mr. Mitchell and the rest of the crew, leading up to the meeting with Mr. Wyche and ultimately the murder of Darryl Wyche and Anthony Wyche in March, 2002. It was a trap, ladies and gentlemen.

The evidence pointing to the people who laid the trap are the defendants in our case. All four of them, Willie Mitchell, Shelly Wayne Martin, Shelton Harris and Shawn Gardner are charged as defendants in the Wyche counts and the evidence proves beyond any reasonable doubt that they conspired and acted together to carry out that murder.

Now, let's talk about the evidence a little bit

individually as to the defendants. We've talked about the conspiracy evidence. Let's talk about the defendants individually, beginning with Mr. Mitchell himself.

2.0

2.1

He has a relationship with Wyche, with Mr. Wyche that is consistent with the motivation that we've seen typical of this group. There was a drug relationship there going back years.

Mr. Mitchell would have known that Mr. Wyche would be an appropriate drug target for a robbery conspiracy that does robberies of drug dealers. This was Mr. Mitchell's business, after all. We see that in the McCaffity case, which was not as purely a drug-driven conspiracy. But the MO is absolutely precisely the same between the McCaffity crime and the Wyche crime. So clearly, the fingerprints of a person who would carry out a Wyche robbery in this way are seen on the McCaffity case.

We know from Natasha Wyche's testimony and Damita

Green's testimony what Darryl Wyche's plans were that night. It

was to see Bo. And the circumstances demonstrate that it was to

do a drug deal with Mr. Mitchell. That's what Darryl Wyche

thought he was going to do that evening. The evidence is

absolutely plain about that.

In the week or so following the Wyche murder, Mr.

Mitchell evidently felt the need to arm himself. He had a weapon in his car while he was driving to the Wyche funeral on April 1st of 2002. This weapon was a 9 millimeter weapon, a Larkin, if memory serves, that was found in the car. The mother of his

2.0

2.1

children, Jaquetta Smith, was with him at the time of his arrest.

And the circumstances under which Mr. Mitchell got that gun are
also very significant.

Recall the testimony of Rodney Hayes. How did Mr.

Mitchell come into possession of a 9 millimeter Larkin during
that time frame? Well, he traded it. He traded it for a gun
that, that he had that had been in Mr. Hayes's possession. Mr.

Hayes testified that he had the 9 millimeter gun, that it was
taken by TM and exchanged to Mr. Mitchell because Mr. Mitchell
wanted to get rid of a .40 caliber firearm.

Why did Mr. Mitchell want to get rid of this? There was testimony from Mr. Hayes about that. Mr. Mitchell wanted to get rid of that gun because it was dirty. And Mr. Hayes's understanding of that? It would be dirty if it was used to shoot someone.

This is all in the wake, ladies and gentlemen, the evidence shows, all in the wake of the Wyche murder because it was in that time frame, just a week later, that Mr. Mitchell was arrested with this 9 millimeter which, as he testified, it was a matter of great irritation to Rodney Hayes because he ended up losing a 9 millimeter gun that was his and he's stuck with a dirty .40 caliber firearm. So this is a question of great irritation for Mr. Hayes.

And it is powerful evidence, powerful evidence of Mr. Mitchell's involvement in the Wyche murder. He's trying to get

rid of a .40 caliber. And we know from forensics that a .40 caliber weapon was used to kill the Wyche brothers.

2.0

2.1

Now, Mr. Mitchell confirms a great deal of this to his police, to his interview with the police. There was testimony about this from detectives who informed Mr. Mitchell of his Miranda rights a few weeks after his arrest in April of 2002.

Mr. Mitchell's attempt during this statement is to give a statement that makes him look innocent. He doesn't admit to a murder. He doesn't confess. But he does corroborate and confirm a great deal of the government's case. He wouldn't have liked doing it but he had to because he was in a position on that day, Mr. Mitchell was, having been arrested earlier, and having had his cell phone taken, he knew that the police would know about the cell phone contacts between him and Darryl Wyche on the night of the murder. So any alibi plan, any idea Mr. Mitchell might have had to suggest that there was no contact was out the window.

So he gives a statement that is designed to admit to the communications, to explain away the phone calls, while still making him look innocent. What he says is that he got, he had been planning to, he had been talking to Darryl Wyche that day and he was trying to set up a meeting between Mr. Wyche and a drug dealer named L. That's Mr. Mitchell's story to the police.

Now, let's look at that story. It is really approaching a false alibi, particularly when you consider that Mr. Mitchell sort of conjoined this explanation with the idea,

2.0

2.1

and this is two or three weeks after the Wyche murder, closer to three weeks, maybe more, Mr. Mitchell's able to remember that he even saw a movie the night of that murder. He remembered a Rick Fox movie. Two or three weeks later, he's able to remember a movie he saw on television that night.

And he has absolutely no information whatsoever with respect to this drug dealer named L. No description, no information, nothing that's going to put the police in a position to really seek this person.

There's been loads of testimony in this case about drug dealers, and about the names, about where they go, and where they can be located and this kind of stuff. But there's absolutely no evidence whatsoever that L even exists, much less that Mr.

Mitchell had any relationship with L.

The fact of the matter is is that Mr. Mitchell was telling a story that night, a story, a false one, that he had to tell in order to explain his communications with the Wyche brothers or with Darryl Wyche, while at the same time making him look innocent. And it's a story ultimately that fails because it does not make any sense.

Number one, it's built on this somewhat unbelievable notion that he would be able to remember a Rick Fox movie weeks and weeks later when asked by the police where he was.

Now, that the Rick Fox movie was on appears clear. There was a TV Guide page put into evidence yesterday. It's a

2.0

2.1

Rick Fox movie that started at 1:30 a.m. And if you check the records, ladies and gentlemen, it was an hour and a half movie. It was on Stars, according to TV Guide, which is a cable station. And I don't believe another movie was on until about 3:00 a.m., which means that Mr. Mitchell had all the time in the world to get home, see part of the Rick Fox movie, and then hence construct his alibi.

It is notable in looking at the concerted action of this group that all of the defendants in this case who provide alibi defenses revolve that alibi defense around a movie that they're able to remember weeks later. But that's another point.

The problem with Mr. Mitchell's statement is that it's simply not credible. He provides no information about L.

There's no evidence L exists. And it really doesn't make a whole lot of sense when you think about it. Why would Mr. Mitchell, a drug trafficker in his own right -- and if there's one thing that's clear in this case, it's that Mr. Mitchell had a drug distribution relationship with Darryl Wyche.

Darryl Wyche sold to Mr. Mitchell. That is what the plan was that day. They'd done business together in Pennsylvania. They did business together at the Bryant grocery. They did business together for years.

Why is Mr. Mitchell going to put his supplier together with somebody else to do a transaction? He's cutting himself out of the business. That doesn't make any sense.

2.0

2.1

It really is simply, there's nothing to Mr. Mitchell's story except that it backs up a great deal of the government's analysis and, in a case in which there's been all of this discussion about whether this is a voice mail, whether this is a connected call, and what are the durations and how does it fit together, Mr. Mitchell admits, and he has to admit, that he was in communication by phone that night with Darryl Wyche. That's what he told the police. The only issue is what were they talking about?

And when you look at the phone records, there's no mysterious drug dealer named L on the other side of those phone records. It's Mr. Mitchell's crew, the defendants in this case, who are being mobilized, who are being brought together, and are being alerted all night long.

And the fact of the matter is, is that Mr. Wyche didn't meet that night to do a drug deal with a mysterious figure named

L. He was assassinated that night, along with his brother. Mr.

Mitchell's story does not make any sense. What Mr. Mitchell's story does ultimately do is corroborate that this was a trap.

Now, the defense has done a great deal in this case to try to pin the case on Dwayne Denham. And he's certainly a logical and a tempting figure for a defense target in this case. He is, after all, a drug dealer who specializes in, essentially, being a bodyguard for Darryl Wyche. He testified in this case. And of course his testimony plainly rejects the theory that he

2.0

2.1

was involved in any way in this murder conspiracy. Nevertheless, the defense has continued to point to him because he was one of the last people to be with the Wyche brothers that night. Damita Green saw them together. So he's a logical choice.

Here are the problems with the Dwayne Denham theory, and ultimately it fails completely.

Number one, why is Dwayne Denham suddenly going to execute a person he's done profitable business with for years?

Someone who's family he knows. Someone who's family he's still close with. He get along and had a great thing going with Darryl Wyche. Why suddenly call to an end? There's no real explanation for that.

Mr. Mitchell's decision to eliminate Mr. Wyche, on the other hand, appears to be consistent with the direction that this conspiracy had taken at the time, following up on the McCaffity murder and continuing on to do robberies as a means of really expanding the group's monetary and drug supply. There's no evidence that Mr. Denham was involved in anything like that or that he had taken any kind of turn that way.

The other problem with the Denham theory is that if he's going to kill Darryl Wyche, why wouldn't he do it at a time when they can be alone, instead of spending the entire day with them, waiting until his brother's around, and then doing it? Somehow luring them out on to Wabash Avenue in a way that's unexplained and then killing them while they're together, when he

2.0

2.1

would have had loads of opportunities to do it when they were by themselves and then he could have carried out the robbery.

If Dwayne Denham's going to kill Darryl Wyche, why in the world would he do it on a night when half the world at Brandy's house, including Damita Green, sees them together? When Mr. Wyche is on the phone with his wife half the evening, talking to his wife, and making it perfectly clear that Dwayne Denham would have been there? Mr. Denham is not going to kill somebody when everyone in the world knows they're together. And everyone in the world did know they were together, which is why he's such an easy target for the defense. It doesn't make any sense.

Finally, if Dwayne Denham's going to kill Darryl Wyche, what's he doing leaving \$5,000 in drugs in the wheel bay of the car, in a hidden compartment? There was a substantial amount of loot in that car. Someone who knew the Wyche business as well as Dwayne Denham did isn't going to leave that stuff there. Doesn't make any sense.

At the end of the day, there is no evidence suggesting that Mr. Denham, Deezo, was involved in the murder. Zero. There is loads of evidence, including phone records, circumstantial evidence, the motivations, and the voice mail, which I'm coming to, which shows Mr. Mitchell's involvement and the involvement of his crew.

And on the subject of the voice mail, you heard Natasha Wyche's testimony in this case. She's listened to the voice

2.0

2.1

mail. She identifies voices on that tape. She does not identify Dwayne Denham, and she's known him for years. This is a woman who is not going to do anything to protect the man who killed her husband. She does not identify Dwayne Denham, and she testified, I would recognize his voice.

Mr. Denham was not involved in this. Deezo had nothing to do with it. It was Mr. Mitchell and the defendants in this case.

Now, on the subject of the recording. Mr. Mitchell's voice is identified by witnesses. To be sure, the witnesses who identify voices on that recording, none of them are 100% sure, not by any stretch of the imagination. They've listened to the tape. They do their best. They testified and explained why they made certain identifications. But the fact of the matter is is that there are certain identifications which are credible if you consider them in light of all the evidence.

Natasha Wyche and Rodney Hayes have no problem identifying Mr. Mitchell's voice on that tape. And the fact that Mr. Mitchell's voice is on that tape is not only backed up by those witnesses and by the other evidence in this case, but it's consistent with the phone records here.

Remember Mr., at the very end of the phone analysis that Detective Benson did in this case, I don't want to toggle with the DOAR again, but the very last call right here is a call from Mr. Mitchell to Mr. Harris's home at a time corresponding to

2.0

2.1

that call. And yes, we're dealing with different phone companies and different phone company records. But the times do match up. They are corresponding. They are consistent.

At the time of that call, which is approximately 12:44 a.m., according to Mr. Mitchell's records, we hear a voice on the voice mail from Ms. Magginson's voice mail saying what? I'm calling your house, Shorty. I'm calling your house, Shorty.

Every witness that has testified from that stand, including the law enforcement witnesses in this case that have analyzed this voice mail have testified that they are hearing is that is, I'm calling your house, Shorty. That's what the witnesses have heard in this case. And it is consistent and logical with the phone records, which show at that precise moment a call from Mr. Mitchell to his hit man's house, Mr. Harris.

That supports not only that Mr. Mitchell was on that tape and, hence, one of the killers or one of the members of this conspiracy to kill, but also that Mr. Harris was. Powerful evidence showing Mr. Mitchell's involvement in carrying out of this crime.

Now, let's turn to Mr. Harris on the subject of the voice mail. Again, already identified inferentially because the, I'm calling your house, Shorty, corresponds exactly with a call to Mr. Harris's home. Number one, Mr. Harris is Bo's man, Bo's hit man. We've already seen that in the McCaffity crime.

We've already discussed the matching MO between the

2.0

2.1

McCaffity crime and the Wyche crime. They are identical. That they were carried out by the same group seems apparent from the case itself. We know from Mr. Dobropolski's testimony that Mr. Mitchell confirmed, during their recruitment efforts for Mr. Dobropolski, that he and Mr. Harris put in work and talked about putting in work together. We see similar language from Rodney Hayes in connection with Mr. Harris's attempt, Mr. Mitchell's attempt -- excuse me -- to ditch that dirty .40 caliber.

I've already talked about the voice mail connection -- Mr. Mitchell calling Harris's house at precisely the moment of the murder.

Buc, buc, buc. One of the voices on the tape reads, buc, buc, buc. Obvious imitation of a gun. Evidently mocking and celebrating the fact that the Wyche brothers had just been murdered. Buc, buc, buc is also heard on Mr. Harris's lyrics. He uses that term as a description, an imitation for firearms.

And Jaquetta Smith, who is Mr. Mitchell's girlfriend, who certainly did not identify him, did identify Mr. Harris on that tape. She wasn't willing to walk away from that.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, of course, Mr. Harris's writings once again bring us back to the celebration of all of these crimes in those raps which were recovered from Mr. Harris's house in 2004. Raps about putting bullets in people's brains. Raps about putting a Ruger to your nozzle and taking all your shit. Raps about running up on the left side of a car. All of

2.0

2.1

these things celebrate exactly the kind of crime we see in the McCaffity case and exactly the kind of crime we see here in the Wyche case.

Mr. Harris did us the courtesy of writing and singing about it. And there's been a lot of debate about how rap music is written and where it comes from. Whether this is rap, science fiction, '80s pop, whatever, this is true because it's corroborated and matches up with the evidence in this case.

Let's talk about Claudus Lassiter for a moment. This is another piece of evidence linking Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris to the Wyche murder by virtue of the aftermath of that crime.

Claudus Lassiter becomes known to the group that he's putting people's names in it, partly because of the voice mail, for whatever other reason. You heard testimony from Mr. Hayes that Mr. Mitchell sends a letter to TM, that's Mark Herbert, who goes by the name Tony Montana, who was instructed to turn the letter on to Harris. He was apparently trustworthy enough to Mr. Mitchell to be a conduit.

Mr. Mitchell's instructions to Mr. Harris in this letter are to kill Claudus Lassiter. That testimony was from Rodney Hayes. Mr. Hayes has no reason to make this up, ladies and gentlemen. And his testimony is consistent and matched up with the testimony of Darryl Bacon, who described that during approximately the same time frame, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin were also talking about Claudus Lassiter and expressing a

concern.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris's discussion and ultimately Mr. Harris's invitation to, Mr. Mitchell's invitation to Shelton Harris to kill Claudus Lassiter is again powerful evidence of the fact that they were party to this conspiracy and that this was their clean-up. This was more protection. Of course, Mr. Harris was more than interested in doing it because he gets in an argument with Mr. Hayes later on because he needs to get a gun to actually carry it out.

The Claudus Lassiter discussion, it never develops and materializes into anything because Mr. Hayes intercepts and, frankly, doesn't want anything to do with it, links the defendants to this case.

Now, let's talk about Tony Montana for a minute, Mark

Herbert, who testified a couple days ago, if memory serves. Mark

Herbert is his name. He goes by TM or Tony Montana. He's member

of the Shakedown group. He's been involved in some of the

singing and he talked about. He testified about that experience,

and also denied any of this Claudus Lassiter business.

Let's look at his testimony for a minute. One thing really becomes, well, three things become very, very obvious about Mr. Herbert from his testimony. And I say this all mindful of the fact he was kind of an entertaining guy. He said some funny things. His response to whether or not his sister and Mr. Mitchell had dated was kind of humorous. He's a funny guy. But

there are three things that are very apparent about it.

2.0

2.1

Number one, he's loyal to his friends, very loyal to his friends. This is a man that values loyalty. In fact, he's been taught the lessons of disloyalty more so than most people can say because his name has been put out there in the Stop Snitching Two video. So this is a guy who has been taught the lesson of how dangerous it can be to even be, even look like a government witness. If you even get subpoenaed, if you even show up, your name's going to be out there. Your name's going to be put in a tape and it's going to be put out on the street so the whole world knows, forgive the term, you're a rat or a snitch. This is a guy who knows the price for disloyalty. And we know he honors loyalty.

He has a tattoo on his body that talks about honor above all or death before dishonor. I might be misquoting it. And we see similar themes in the lyrics.

Now, there's nothing wrong about loyalty to one's friends and one's family. But when you consider the context of the words in that lyric, death before dishonor, never talk to Homicide, and you consider Mr. Herbert's relationships with these friends, loyalty takes on a very specific meaning. It means don't talk.

Number two. Mr. Herbert is not consistent in terms of his explanation. He at one point talks about never receiving a letter, doesn't know anything about it. Another time he talked

about, we got a letter but he handed it straight over to Mr.

Harris. He's a little bit inconsistent in terms of his

explanations.

2.0

2.1

Thirdly and finally, it's painfully obvious that if there's one code that Mr. Herbert lives by, he does not incriminate himself. Above all things. Remember, he testified about his own drug dealing history. He's been arrested, convicted twice of drug dealing. One of them involved a case where he ran away from the police and actually ran into a house and was hiding from the police.

Now, before he was asked about those two specific arrests, he testified about how he was a drug dealer and he would go out on the street and sometimes some of the other people were there that we've heard about. And how would you get your drugs? Well, I would steal my drugs sometimes or I would do whatever.

It was very clear from his testimony that there was a pattern, there was a history. He was a drug dealer. He was out there in the game, as they say.

But when reminded about the problem of incrimination, all of a sudden Mr. Herbert said, well, yeah, I'm a drug dealer, I've done it twice and I've been arrested twice, and those are the only times in my life I've ever dealt drugs. Preposterous. Preposterous. It's inconsistent with his own testimony earlier on and it doesn't make sense. Mr. Herbert's not going to incriminate himself. And talking about guns and hits and Claudus

Lassiter would incriminate this man.

2.0

2.1

He was not truthful from the stand about his drug trafficking activity and ultimately he's not truthful about what Mr. Hayes described. Mr. Hayes, who has no motivation to lie here, was very clear about what happened and about the plan to kill Claudus Lassiter. And he's corroborated on this point by Darryl Bacon.

How about Mr. Martin? What's the evidence linking him to the crime? Once again, basic background. He has a relationship with Mr. Wyche. He's on the phone calls. He's one of the people being called all night by Mr. Mitchell, consistent with a set-up.

A lot of discussion here, ladies and gentlemen, was this a connected call? Was this a voice mail? I think counsel and Detective Benson were going at it for a while about the 12:07 or 12:08 call. Ladies and gentlemen, they were talking to each other that night. Whether it was a connected call or a voice mail or whatever, the documents strongly suggest a connected call. But even if it was a voice mail, they were clearly in touch.

And we know Mr. Martin was in touch with Mr. Gardner.

Mr. Martin's voice is identified by Dwayne Denham. He's not 100% sure about that, Mr. Denham was. But that was the identification he made.

And that's all fine. But what is the other, more

2.0

2.1

powerful evidence identifying Mr. Martin to this case? Shit, Wayne. Shit, Wayne. His voice is on the tape because someone is talking to him in that car. Shit, Wayne. His friends are talking to him. They're upset about, gosh, we shouldn't have talked about the pockets or, boy, this was a heck of a thing we just did. I am obviously paraphrasing. Shit, Wayne. Proof positive of Mr. Martin's presence in that vehicle because they're referring to him by name.

Terrible luck for Mr. Martin. Terrible luck, given all the efforts he made to concoct an alibi. But ultimately, the proof that he was there. When you consider it with the evidence, with the codefendants, the phone call information and everything else, the voice of the killers is on that tape. Mr. Martin is a member of the conspiracy and the reference to "shit, Wayne" proves it.

Let's talk about the alibi for a minute. Mr. Martin does have an alibi defense here. He has his girlfriend, Lakeisha McCoy, come in to testify that they were at the movies together. He produces a movie ticket and a credit card receipt indicating that he did pay, I'm not sure how much money, \$8 or \$16, I can't remember if it was one ticket or two, to see Blade Two on the evening of March 24th of 2002.

Let's look at the alibi carefully. First of all, a couple of obvious points. The movie times don't necessarily help Mr. Martin because the movie started and ended with, in a way

2.0

2.1

that would have given him plenty of time to get from the theater to wherever he needs to go. Let's consider really whether or not Mr. Martin was at the movies that day.

I'm sure he went and bought a ticket. He has some documents to prove that. But whether or not he went to the movies, ultimately, the evidence in this case says no. For starters, as with Mr. Mitchell, the notion that Mr. Martin on demand was able to provide detailed information and documents about where he had been at 10:00 on March 24th, 2002 weeks and months later is odd.

We go to the movies all the time, ladies and gentlemen. Ask yourselves, do you have movie stubs that you would be able to produce going back three or four or five weeks? Most people do not.

Take a look at Mr. Martin's credit card, the one that he used to pay the bill. Take a look at the statement which the defense put into evidence. He appears to buy gas with it and then in the course of a three or four week period, with the exception of one gas station and one store, not sure what the store was, his movie charge is the only use of that credit card whatsoever. This is not a credit card that he uses regularly. In fact, he appears to have gone out of his way to put on a credit card a few bucks for a movie. And to insure and keep all of the documents he would need, even so far as to be able to tell his Mom when he was in jail, Go in my room, I have a movie stub.

That's odd, to say the least.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

The other more fundamental problem with the alibi, of course, is Mr. Mr. Martin's corroborating witness, Lakeisha McCoy. If we are to believe her testimony -- and it was her birthday. She's corroborated on that point. But otherwise, if we're to believe her testimony, we would have to believe that on her birthday, Ms. McCoy went out with Mr. Martin. They did not, during the course of the entire evening, see a single person they know. She evidently did not want to spend her birthday with any of her friends or her family or anyone else. There was no party, no get-together, no cocktails, no drinks. Instead, what she does is she goes with Mr. Martin, spends the entire night with him, sees no one else, and then goes to a movie which she apparently fell asleep so soon into that she's never once been able to provide a single shred of evidence about what the movie was about. Not a single shred. She must have gone to sleep during the, during the previews to have that little knowledge about this film. And this was her birthday.

She's also been inconsistent on the single most important piece of evidence about this alibi, and that's whether or not she was present when the movie ticket was purchased. She says in an affidavit, and she later says in a police interview or in grand jury, two totally different things. One time she's there, talks about it like she's right there where Mr. Martin buys the ticket. Another time she's sitting in the car. It's

not consistent.

2.0

2.1

And she wasn't consistent in this case until she had her memory refreshed on this incredibly important criminal alibi defense, until she was actually shown the documents. And ultimately doesn't really corroborate anything when you consider the fact that she appears to have been asleep for most of the important part of this case.

Ultimately, of course, the alibi collapses for two other reasons in this case that Mr. Martin could not have anticipated. Will Montgomery talks to Mr. Gardner after the Wyche murder. They discuss everything that was going on, the fact that Mr. Martin got in trouble. And Mr. Gardner gives Mr. Montgomery some inside information about all of this.

Mr. Gardner tells Mr. Montgomery, who testified about this, that part of the way they expected to get away with the Wyche murder was that Mr. Martin was supposed to lay down a paper trail. He was setting up an alibi for himself. Now, whether or not this alibi was originally intended for all of the defendants or one of the defendants and what all that was is not clear. But Mr. Gardner confirmed to Mr. Montgomery that this was an attempt to essentially establish a paper trail.

And that really makes sense with the evidence, when you consider how odd all of this alibi business is. It was an attempt to beat the charge by putting himself somewhere other than the crime. And ultimately, the alibi fails, anyway. The

2.0

2.1

times don't work. Mr. Martin makes a phone call during the movie with Mr. Gardner, during the movie, according to the times, is talking to Mr. Gardner. I suppose that wouldn't have bothered Ms. McCoy so much since she was apparently asleep.

And then most importantly, one thing Mr. Martin could not possibly have anticipated -- shit, Wayne. That proves his presence in the case and he couldn't possibly have known when he laid out this alibi that he was going to be that unlucky. But that ultimately disproves the alibi defense. We know he was there because people were talking to him.

Finally, Shawn Gardner. Mr. Gardner and the evidence linking him to this case. First of all, the obvious points. The phone calls, his communications with Mr. Martin, his relationship with Mr. Wyche. We've already gone over that with respect to the other defendants.

The best evidence linking Mr. Gardner to this crime personally, and bear in mind, ladies and gentlemen, under the law of conspiracy, if you find that Mr. Gardner was a member of the conspiracy, and this goes true for all of the defendants, if you find that Mr. Gardner was a member of this ongoing conspiracy and the crime was part of that conspiracy, he is still guilty of it. Same for Mr. Martin, same for all of the defendants for all of charges in which they are actually charged. So all of that is part of the reason that Mr. Gardner's guilty for this case. But let's actually look at the specific evidence.

2.0

2.1

The best information is that Mr. Gardner provides such detailed insider information to William Montgomery that it does prove that Mr. Gardner was a member of the planning and preparation and carrying out of this case. Mr. Montgomery knew from Mr. Gardner that the group was in trouble because someone pressed a number on a phone, that Bo got them into trouble by talking about pockets and going through pockets.

Mr. Gardner, as already mentioned, knew that Mr. Martin had bought movie tickets in order to lay a paper trail for an alibi. We know that Mr. Gardner, along with Mr. Martin, became aware of Claudus Lassiter's information against them and was warning Darryl Bacon.

And very importantly, ladies and gentlemen, Mr. Gardner also gave a police interview on this subject, was also asked questions about this case. He denied any involvement.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . KURLAND: Objection. There was no evidence of that.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, should I continue?

THE COURT: Let me think for a moment. I believe, Mr. Kurland, my recollection is that Mr. Montgomery did go into this. Is that --

MR. KURLAND: Could we have a side bar? That's not my recollection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't think we need a side bar. The question is, obviously, we're trying to recall many weeks of

```
1
       testimony. Obviously, if you're right, then Mr. Hanlon will
 2
       indicate that. Obviously, Mr. Montgomery did indeed testify to
       conversations with Mr. Gardner. And I thought --
 3
                 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, can I have a word with Mr.
 4
 5
       Kurland?
 6
                 THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't you speak to Mr. Kurland
 7
       for a moment?
 8
                 (Pause in Proceedings.)
 9
                 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Either of you able to refresh the other's
11
       recollection?
12
                 MR. HANLON: I think we're okay, Your Honor. Here's
13
       what it boils down to, ladies and gentlemen.
14
                 THE COURT: Let me just say before you continue, Mr.
15
       Hanlon. Obviously, I should state the obvious, ladies and
16
       gentlemen. What I remember, what Mr. Hanlon remembers, what Mr.
17
       Kurland remembers is of no consequence. It is what you remember
18
       and recall about the evidence that will control your
19
       deliberations. Go ahead, Mr. Hanlon.
2.0
                 MR. HANLON: Thank you, Your Honor. I think, again,
2.1
       ladies and gentlemen, your deliberations control. But it was
22
       part of the communications between Mr. Gardner and Mr. Montgomery
23
       where this comes up.
24
                 Mr. Gardner had heard -- this is what he told Mr.
```

Montgomery. Didn't specify necessarily where he heard about it,

25

2.0

2.1

not necessarily for me to get into that. But he heard that the police were saying or it had been reported that drugs had been left in the car. Mr. Gardner's telling Montgomery. The police are saying they left drugs, that they left drugs in the car. Mr. Gardner did not believe that, according to what he told Mr. Montgomery.

Mr. Gardner had an opinion about whether the police were being truthful, and he did not believe them. That Mr. Gardner would have had an opinion one way or the other, again, is powerful evidence that he was involved in the crime. This was a crime he was uninvolved in, he's not going to have an opinion about whether drugs have been left in the car.

So with that clarification, thank you for giving us a few moments.

Now, another point on the question of Mr. Gardner's guilt, of course, is the firearms connections, which I'm going to go into in some detail after the Spence case. We know that the .40 caliber weapon used in the Wyche murder was also used during the shooting involving Lee and Epps case on March 11th of 2002. The .40 caliber Glock found in the woods on the Spence case was also used in the Lee case as one of the shooting weapons.

So there is a connection, a powerful one, a firearms connection between the Wyche murder and the Spence murder by way of the Lee murder. And given Mr. Gardner's, the overpowering evidence of Mr. Gardner's involvement in the Spence case, as well

2.0

2.1

as Mr. Montgomery's testimony about how Mr. Gardner and Mr.

Martin had guns that were dirty or which had bodies on them, that shows once again Mr. Gardner's membership, as well as Mr.

Martin's, in the ongoing conspiracy and in the Wyche murder plot.

Now, that does bring us to the murder of Tonya Jones

Spence on June 7th of 2002, the last of the murders in this case.

And a different one in many respects from the McCaffity and the

Wyche murders, when you consider that this one doesn't involve a

car. But for reasons I'll get into, they are actually very

similar because when you look at Spence carefully, once again,

it's a trap murder. It's a set-up.

One thing should be very clear absolutely from go, is that the evidence is overpowering that Mr. Gardner is one of the murderers of Tonya Jones Spence. Did he pull the trigger?

Doesn't matter under the law. The witnesses say it was the guy in the red hat, which points to Mr. Holly. It doesn't matter who pulled the trigger under the law of aiding and abetting, under the law of coconspirator liability and, frankly, under the law of common sense. Mr. Gardner is as guilty as he can be of this case.

Why is he guilty? He's arrested there. He's got tight braids. Therefore -- arrested outside the woods. He has tight braids, consistent with the description by Andrea Smith and Kelly David, of an individual who was at the murder and then running across Old Court Road in an attempt to get away.

Your Honor, I'll pull out the easel again.

THE COURT: Yes.

2.0

2.1

MR. HANLON: Is this all right, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HANLON: Ladies and gentlemen, if anybody can't see this, please let me know. Testimony of Andrea Smith and Kelly David. Andrea Smith was a young girl at the time, witnesses the murder. Testified, her statement written at the time indicated that a man in the red hat, evidence showing is Aaron Holly, pulled the trigger. A younger friend named Deandre Wesley, who's the son of Kelly David, is also there. He describes latex gloves and, also, two individuals at the time of the shooting.

Everyone who is present at the shooting testifies that the two men run away in the direction of Old Court Road down, and ultimately, which is in the direction of Carlson Lane. On Carlson Lane, Kelly David, who was driving home that day, sees two men, one with braids, one with a red hat, entering the woods, or running across the street, rather. She looks back and sees them entering these woods, the Carlson Lane woods, approximately here.

Police officers testified that our defendant, Shawn Gardner, and Aaron Holly, are arrested here in the Greens neighborhood, approximately where that red star is.

No one's wearing a red hat. Mr. Gardner did have braids.

2.0

2.1

Now, the evidence proving his involvement in the case?

Number one, we know that the two individuals arrested at that scene are the individuals who are present at the murder, including Mr. Holly and Mr. Gardner. They're identified that way by Andrea Smith, who's given an opportunity to look at them moments after the shooting. Kelly David also identifies them as the men she saw running across the street, Carlson Lane.

We know that they're making desperate attempts to get into people's houses here in an attempt to find some kind of an escape, consistent with trying to get away from the police.

They are arrested at the end of quite literally a trail of bread crumbs linking them back to Carlson Lane, where Kelly David saw them. They're arrested here. They're seen here and they're seen at the murder scene. Inside those woods we have latex gloves, we have a red hat, we have a gray shirt indicating that they've discharged or gotten rid of all their clothing. We also have in these woods, ladies and gentlemen, the murder weapon, a .357 revolver, and a .40 caliber Glock semiautomatic handgun, both with ammunition, although the .357 was spent.

That Mr. Gardner was one of the murderers of Ms. Spence is absolutely obvious from that evidence. Few things could be more obvious in life than that he is one of the individuals who carried out that woman's execution.

The witnesses testified that she was driven over her balcony in terror, fell to the ground. Two men identified by Ms.

2.0

2.1

Smith as Mr. Gardner and Mr. Holly walk up to her and execute her on the ground in front of her apartment building. Mr. Gardner's guilty of the murder unquestionably. He was there with Mr. Holly. He's aiding and abetting the crime. There are two guns used. This is quite obviously team action, regardless of who the shooter is.

I submit to you, you should spend no time worrying about who the shooter is. It does not matter. What matters is that this is two men who carried out this crime.

I've talked about the trail of bread crumbs already, ladies and gentlemen. I got a bit ahead of myself. Mr. Gardner's guilt in the murder is fairly obvious. For purposes of our case, a conspiracy and racketeering case, the background becomes relevant. What is the background and planning of this crime?

Well, that testimony comes from William Montgomery, who testified in this case and was one of the government's witnesses. I've already talk about his background. He's a hit man and he has a long record of criminal activity that he talked about. But his testimony's important in this case because it does put the Spence murder in its broader context. He shows the planning of the crime and he shows how the plan was actually carried out. Then he connects it, ultimately, to our conspiracy.

It begins with the robbery business that we talked about at the very beginning of the day. Testimony from Mr.

2.0

2.1

Montgomery is that he was a member of this conspiracy way back, that they did robberies. In 2002, he bumps into Mr. Martin at a Shell station. Mr. Martin had an idea to rob somebody named Goose, another drug dealer.

Mr. Martin, this was Mr. Martin's thing. That's how Will Montgomery did it. Mr. Martin had a relationship with Goose, knew where they could find him, and they were thinking about putting things together.

Mr. Gardner was a member of this conspiracy as well.

He was a member of the planning. In fact, Mr. Montgomery

described one day where they bumped into Goose or were about to

bump into Goose and Mr. Gardner had to keep Goose and Montgomery

separated so that Goose wouldn't know that Mr. Gardner was

hanging around with Will Montgomery, who was known on the street

for being somebody who killed people.

So we have this plan to kill Goose. And this is part and parcel of this entire business we have been talking about, the robbery of a drug dealer. That's the business that's at the center of this case. And the Goose operation is just part of it. In this instance, Mr. Martin is the leader of this particular operation.

Now, meanwhile, Mr. Montgomery is also beginning to put together or has been approached by Mama, Jamane Johnson, about the possibility of doing something with respect to Darius Spence.

Jamane Johnson's got a problem with Darius Spence because Mr.

2.0

2.1

Johnson is carrying on with our ultimate victim, Tonya Jones Spence. They're having a relationship behind Darius Spence's back.

Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Johnson discuss doing something like this. And it's a profitable opportunity once again because Darius Spence is a drug dealer, is no doubt going to have money and drugs that can be taken during the murder/robbery, to say nothing of any money that Mr. Montgomery might make just from the murder itself.

So there's a plan to kill Darius Spence evolving into a plan to commit a robbery of Darius Spence at the same time.

Totally consistent with the type of business that Will Montgomery carries on with this organization. Of course, we see culminated in the ultimate carrying out of the plan to actually do this robbery with Shawn Gardner, partially for the benefit of Shelly Wayne Martin.

But it's important to put this crime in focus because between the plan to rob Goose and the plan to rob Darius Spence, this is just part of the business of this racketeering conspiracy and the drug conspiracy that we've been trying in this case that Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner are involved in, beginning with Goose, ultimately connecting with Mr. Spence.

When Shelly Wayne Martin gets locked up during this time frame, the focus has to shift to Darius Spence. It needs to because the Goose thing was Shelly Wayne Martin's thing. It was

2.0

2.1

his operation. He was the leader. That's how Mr. Montgomery talked about it. Martin's thing or Wayne's thing.

So the focus turns to Darius Spence. And they begin focusing on Darius Spence as a new opportunity and one that had already been in the works. Mr. Montgomery begins doing surveillance at the location with Mr. Gardner and also Aaron Holly, who becomes a member of the group. Shelly Wayne Martin, again, is unavailable after all because he's locked up.

They do surveillance for an extended period of time, several weeks. It's carefully planned out. And ultimately, the plan that evolves from all of this is that they're going to go in there, they're going to take Tonya Jones Spence, they're going to duct tape her up, and they're going to wait for her husband to come home. It's another trap, ladies and gentlemen. It's another trap. A different form of trap but a trap nonetheless. And it's a trap of a drug dealer, just as in the Wyche case.

Now, Mr. Gardner's reasons for doing this become relevant as we consider the conspiracy and the racketeering charges. And Mr. Montgomery's testimony about this is crystal.

Number one, by putting this in context, it's clear that the Tonya Jones Spence murder and Darius Spence robbery was just a part of the business of the group. It evolved from the Goose operation. It became the Darius Spence operation. But it was always the same idea, always the same basic concept. And that's what this group does. We know that from Mr. Montgomery's testimony, Mr.

2.0

2.1

Bacon's. And we've already seen it carried out in the Wyche murder, which was a couple of months before the Spence operation. So this is part of the group's business, the Goose background, the Darius Spence background.

And again, Goose begins as Mr. Martin's thing, which he's putting together with Mr. Gardner, and they bring Montgomery into it. It's part of the background linking this ultimate crime to our case.

Then ultimately, as the focus shifts to Darius Spence, there's another more specific motivation; that, of course, Mr. Gardner knows that he needs to get some money together for Shelly Wayne Martin's attorney. We know that because he told, because he talked about having to do that. This was part of Mr. Montgomery's testimony as well.

It's been a great deal of debate, a great deal of argument and cross examination about whether or not he said, did Mr. Montgomery say it here, did he say it here, and did he say it here, and so forth? His testimony in this case was absolutely clear. And that he didn't talk about it before, ladies and gentlemen. Again, ultimately, you're the judge of the facts here and you can consider for yourselves. But Mr. Montgomery's always provided information, even in the areas where there was cross examination, that Mr. Gardner needed money. He wanted money. He recently lost some money, he wanted some money.

The only thing that's been added in this case is a

2.0

2.1

particular purpose of that money or one of the particular purposes of that money. Not exactly the kind of thing that a witness would feel the need to provide false testimony about.

Mr. Montgomery hardly strikes any of us as a scholar in the area of racketeering law, such that he could come up with this on his own.

In any event, Joyce Parsons, Mr. Martin's mother, corroborates a great deal of this, unintentionally of course, because we know that during this time frame she was going to some difficulty. She had to borrow \$10,000 in order to pay for her son's attorney.

Now, she appears to have gotten that money together okay. But we know from her testimony that Mr. Martin would have been aware that his mother was putting herself in debt to get an attorney. All Mr. Martin's mother confirmed at all was frankly to back up Mr. Montgomery on this point. We know Mr. Martin needed an attorney.

And it's worth noting and evaluating Mr. Montgomery's points on this case that he's corroborated on virtually everything he says. He talks about latex gloves. He talks about a revolver and a .40 caliber. He talks about a red hat. He talks about yellow walkie-talkies. He talks about a lady falling off a balcony. He is corroborated on every point in this case.

The crime took place exactly the way Mr. Montgomery said it did. The tools that were used are exactly the tools that

2.0

2.1

Mr. Montgomery said would be used. He's corroborated on point after point after point, when you consider the forensic and physical evidence recovered.

He even knew from talking to Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner that the .40 caliber they were using could not be the murder weapon. What did they tell him? There were bodies on the .40 caliber. There were bodies on the .40 caliber. So that can't be used. It's going to be there as backup but use the .357, which is exactly what was used.

How does Mr. Montgomery know that there's a .40 caliber with bodies? He knows that because he's told by the defendants, Mr. Martin, Mr. Gardner. How would he know that and how is he corroborated? Because there were bodies on that .40 caliber. It was one of the weapons used in the Lee/Epps case.

He was right on that point. He's corroborated on that point.

Mr. Montgomery testified that just before the murder, he uses Mr. Gardner's cell phone to call Jamane Johnson, Mama. Backed up by toll records about that. He's backed up on everything, ladies and gentlemen.

Now, the defense did present the testimony by way of transcript of Mama, Jamane Johnson. And they've attempted to draw some sort of a problem there by way of the fact that Mr. Johnson doesn't talk about Mr. Gardner during the course of his testimony.

2.0

2.1

He pled guilty to being in a conspiracy with them to commit murder. But as we know, ladies and gentlemen, you don't have to know your coconspirators to be guilty of the crime of conspiracy. That's certainly evident from Mr. Johnson's testimony.

Ultimately, Mr. Johnson's testimony is hardly inconsistent with Mr. Montgomery, except perhaps on one point. And that's whether or not Mr. Johnson was ever present with Mr. Holly and Mr. Gardner at the same time doing surveillance. Mr. Montgomery testified they were together once. Mr. Johnson's testimony doesn't, isn't consistent there. It's a mild inconsistency, ladies and gentlemen, hardly something Mr. Montgomery would feel the need to make something up on.

Does Mama, Jamane Johnson, have a motivation to be less than truthful? Absolutely. Because he's not going to be willing to agree to anything that puts him in a conspiracy to commit murder or that would put him in a conspiracy to do harm to Tonya Jones Spence, who was his lover at the time. Consider that in evaluating Jamane Johnson's testimony. Ultimately, it does very little to detract from Mr. Montgomery.

The Spence murder and robbery represents the last of the instances in this case that a murder is done by this conspiracy to rob a drug dealer. Slightly different than the Wyche/McCaffity crimes, but actually very similar when you consider the motivation, the team work, the advanced planning,

2.0

2.1

the fact that a witness, a possible witness is essentially executed during the course of the crime, and the fact that it was a trap to snare a drug dealer and take his money and/or his drugs. It is the work of this conspiracy, as proven by Mr. Gardner's arrest and by the connections with the previous robbery planned of Goose with Mr. Martin.

Now, as we move past the murders, ladies and gentlemen, there are a few other odds and ends to talk about in this case.

Count 17, I'm sure you hoped I was done with the indictment but there are a couple of counts we need to talk about.

Count 17 charges Mr. Gardner with possession of a firearm by a previously convicted person. This arises right out of the Spence case. It's essentially the guns on the Spence case. The elements of this crime are possession of the firearm. In this case, the possession could be joint with Mr. Holly. It don't matter whether or not Mr. Gardner had one gun or both guns or anything like that.

You can possess guns jointly with another person. And there's no better example of joint possession when you commit a crime with someone and you both got guns. Under the law, both of you are in possession. The guns in this case are the .40 caliber Glock and the .357.

It must be shown that the firearms had traveled in interstate commerce and, of course, Mr. Gardner must have a prior conviction at the time of the possession. All those elements are

2.0

2.1

met here. The conviction's stipulated. And the firearms, the Glock and the revolver, were both manufactured outside Maryland, as Special Agent Boroshok testified.

Now, I talked previously about the connections between the Spence murder and the Wyche murder by way of the Lee/Epps case. And I do want to talk about that for a few minutes because it is important.

The connections are simply, ladies and gentlemen, I think you've heard this a great deal already, you have the Lee/Epps shooting here in the middle. Two .40 caliber weapons are used during that case. The Lee/Epps murder is significant because it connects two of our crimes. One of those .40 calibers, a Glock, was used also in the Spence case. And one of the .40 calibers in the Lee murder was used during the Wyche murder. So we have a connection of the Wyche and Spence murders by way of the Lee/Epps murder, which took place before both of them in March of 2002.

We know that they've been matched up by way of firearms identification testimony from the various examiners that examined all the items, including comparisons with the Glock, comparisons with the casings and bullet fragments, and so forth. And the evidence is persuasive, ladies and gentlemen. The various examiners testified about this.

The relevance of this evidence is that it shows that the Wyche and Spence cases were part of the same course of

2.0

2.1

conduct, the same conspiracy. The guns are being used in a common series of crimes connected by way of the Lee/Epps murder, powerfully.

And it's also significant, ladies and gentlemen, not only because of the use of these guns in common, overlapping crimes demonstrates that the guns themselves are the instruments, the property of the same conspiracy, the same organization, but the history of these weapons having been used in the Lee/Epps shooting and later one of them in Wyche and later one of them in Spence, corroborates several of the government's witnesses that have testified about the origins of these various weapons and about how they came to be in the hands of the various defendants and the other members of this conspiracy.

Specifically, again, the subject of a .40 caliber used in Lee and Wyche and a .40 caliber used in Lee and Spence. This backs up two witnesses in particular, Rodney Hayes and Will Montgomery, both of whom testified about the history of .40 caliber weapons that they came into contact with in 2002 in connection with the defendants. And both of them who had knowledge from the defendants about what these weapons had been used for.

Rodney Hayes testifies that he lost his 9 millimeter Larkin that ended up getting taken away when Mr. Mitchell got arrested. And he testified that the way that happened was because Mr. Mitchell needed to ditch a .40 caliber gun of his

2.0

2.1

own, which was dirty. And Mr. Hayes testified that it would be dirty if it was used to shoot somebody. We've heard other witnesses talk about a gun having a body on it.

So Mr. Hayes testifies that Mr. Mitchell's trying to get rid of a .40 caliber. The timing would have been just in the wake of the Wyche case because it's a week after that murder that Mr. Mitchell gets arrested. Corroborated by the Spence/Lee/Wyche testimony.

And William Montgomery is also corroborated by this series of connections in the firearms testimony because he knew from talking to Mr. Martin and talking to Mr. Gardner that the .40 caliber gun that they used during the Spence crime also had a body or bodies on it. The defendants told him that. And he was told right, because at the time that gun was used as one of the guns in the Spence case, it did have bodies on it. It was dirty from the Lee/Epps shooting.

This is powerful evidence linking these guns, linking the defendants, linking Wyche and Spence, and corroborating the witnesses that have testified about how these conspiracies operated.

Now, in the wake of the 2002 investigations and the arrests of several of the defendants, the conduct actually continues. And it continues, according to the indictment, all the way into 2006 as to the racketeering activity.

This conduct is important not only to understand

2.0

2.1

specific allegations after the 2002 timeframe but also, ladies and gentlemen, a lot of this post-murder conduct is really reflective of how concerted and joint and coordinated the defendants' activities had been, even after their arrests.

Some of this information becomes available to us from the search of Seamon Avenue on January 22nd of 2004, which is Mr. Harris's home. That search is done, you heard Special Agent Brian Klas talk about it. And during the course of that case a .45 caliber Llama, seen here in the photograph that is Government's Exhibit SE-4, is taken from a kitchen furnace closet. Sometimes it's called the kitchen closet. Sometimes it's called the furnace room. But we all agree and we all remember where the gun came from.

Mr. Harris writes about having a four-fifths. Writes about having guns. Writes about shooting people. And a .45 caliber handgun is found inside the kitchen closet of his home.

We also, ladies and gentlemen, find the lyrics that Mr. Harris had been working on, most of which, if not all of them, with some exceptions, are signed Hard Roc.

Among the lyrics is something that Mr. Harris had put together calling for the release of his friends, Free Bo and Weaze. And a similar sentiment comes out from many of the lyrics that you heard about during the course of the case.

Throughout the house and in close proximity to the firearm are identification documents and pieces of paper with Mr.

Martin's or Harris's name, leaving no doubt whose gun it was.

2.0

2.1

And course we have a black binder and a red folder filled with rap lyrics from Mr. Harris's home, which I'll come to in a little bit.

The Seamon Avenue search is relevant, ladies and gentlemen, because, number one, the possession of a firearm by Mr. Harris that day is the basis of Count 18 of the indictment, another possession of a firearm by a previously convicted person count. The elements again, possession. We've already talked about that. Even though the weapon was not in Mr. Harris's personal, personal control at the time of its seizure, it is still his weapon, ladies and gentlemen, under the concept of constructive possession, if he had knowledge of its presence and if he had control over it in the sense that he knew where it was and had access to it.

My wallet is sitting in my bag some place, I don't know where it is, but it's still in my possession even though it's not on my person. The same goes for this gun, ladies and gentlemen. It is Mr. Harris's gun. It was found with his property. He was in possession of it even though it wasn't taken off of his person.

The gun is manufactured outside of Maryland. And it's been stipulated in this case that Mr. Harris had a prior conviction. This is one important element of the Seamon Avenue search.

2.0

2.1

The other one, of course, is the seizure of those rap lyrics, which is another element of this case and which is another piece of important evidence linking the various defendants and their business.

The Shakedown entity has been, and Sheistyville and all those relationships have been the subject of a lot of testimony in this case. Again, ladies and gentlemen, Shakedown and the rap business are not the same as the racketeering organization that's charged in Count One of the indictment. Shakedown's part of it, once it comes into play, and it was certainly used by the members of this conspiracy and by the members of the enterprise for the benefit of the group, but Shakedown is not the same thing as the organization. Shakedown is only part of it.

You may or may not be convinced that the Shakedown entity was so much a part of this enterprise as to actually be part of it. Doesn't necessarily mean that the defendants aren't guilty. Shakedown is certainly of evidentiary value. But don't overemphasize its importance. It's not the same thing as the racketeering enterprise that's charged in Count One, notwithstanding the fact that there's been a great deal of attempt from time to time to suggest that if a defendant was not involved in Shakedown, he could not be involved in the conspiracy or the enterprise. That's not the law and it's not the facts.

Where Shakedown is important was because this is Mr. Harris's platform for celebrating the members of this group,

2.0

2.1

celebrating the history of this group, and celebrating the accomplishments of the group. And he did it with great glee and he did it extensively.

Shakedown and the lyrics here that Mr. Harris wrote are about real people, real events, real crimes, and real places.

Now, there's, it was a fascinating piece of testimony yesterday from Mr. Cook about rap lyrics. And he and I had an interesting discussion. And I learned a lot about the history of hip-hop and rap. But ultimately, it's not terribly illuminating to this case because Mr. Cook didn't have a chance to talk to any of the people involved in the writing or production of these songs.

You, ladies and gentlemen, are in a better position to evaluate the evidence in this case and the songs, and evaluate for yourselves what these songs are. And what they are are powerful admissions from Mr. Harris as a member of this conspiracy, as a member of this enterprise, about how the enterprise and the conspiracy worked. This is a member of the group talking about the group's activities.

I mentioned before that conspiracies don't usually take minutes, they don't usually have agreements in writing.

Incredibly, this is a case where we come pretty darn close to having that. And in evaluating these lyrics, what ultimately demonstrates their truthfulness is how frequently the lyrics are corroborated in this case.

2.0

2.1

And just by way of a few examples, ladies and gentlemen. I assure you this will not take as long as it did when I presented the testimony of Special Agent Klas.

There are frequent references here to selling crack.

My crack sells and my weed sells. I'm well connected. My thugs ride and my slugs fly. Bo's home now, we blowing hard. Now let's get this do know. Going to take death to stop us from bucking these rounds.

It's a reference to crack dealing, it's a reference to Bo, and it's a reference to bucking rounds. We've heard about every one of those things during this case, ladies and gentlemen. That's not fiction, it's fact here.

Your guns might pop but guns at the Heights pop just a little louder and piss in their own fingers to remove the powder. The Heights, Park Heights, is a real place, ladies and gentlemen. We're not talking about Napoleon here. We're talking about real people and real places.

We back to doing black on black crimes, back in these streets, busting black on black nines. Ladies and gentlemen, the victims in this case were African-Americans. A reference to a black on black crime in this case is a reference to the victims in this case. So they are not made-up people. They are real people. And this is celebrating real things.

In terms of authenticating and verifying the music here, ladies and gentlemen, the songs themselves talk about how

2.0

2.1

truthful they are. I live it. Fuck finding out the truth because I did it. Feds still trying to find out more about me and the fools that I've hitted. The truth, ladies and gentlemen.

What do you think, I just make this shit up? I don't even have to go through the hassle.

We've had cases, we've had evidence in this case about people being shot in the head, brains dropping on floors, Roc will come to leave you and the forensic technician popped in the morgue. I went hard to the point I lost my fucking finger and I don't mind losing another one of my life. Love to the Heights.

This is all corroborated by other evidence, ladies and gentlemen. People have been shot in the head in this case. Mr. Harris did lose a finger and Park Heights figures in this case.

Leaving aside the truthfulness of the songs, the truthfulness of the lyrics and, in fact, the evidence of their truth is overpowering. All of them contribute to the atmosphere of fear. Now, in that context I'm quoting something that Mr. Cook said yesterday. Part of this case has been the atmosphere of witness intimidation that has been part of this group's activities. The Shakedown lyrics are part of that, not the only thing. The manufacture of the Stop Snitching video and the involvement of Mr. Harris in that tape is certainly another part of that.

But these lyrics communicated to this community the consequences of talking against these defendants. And what

2.0

2.1

happens to these people? What happens to people like Mark

Herbert, whose names go out there? They get zeroed out. They

get ratted out. And that's what all of this is about, ladies and

gentlemen.

I blast lungs but really aim for lips. You think yours dog all snitch to the DEA? That's for them niggas who be talking shit. You don't know nothing about putting hits on niggas. We in a hurry because we really want to get at this nigga. My nigga Bo a hurry tune. Just ask a nigga this shit about a balloon. We gotta grab this nigga. References to Bo. References to blasting lungs and really blasting lips. That's a reference to shutting people up, ladies and gentlemen. That's a reference to shutting people up.

References in celebration of Bo and the other people involved in this business. Bo's general, I'm the captain, Slo's sergeant now. TM stepped up from private. No need to hide it. He was loyal, dedicated, plus survived riots. All real people, ladies and gentlemen.

I leave him flat on his back for my nizzle, Bo. Let a missile go. Who in this case, according to the evidence, has left someone on their back for Bo? Mr. Harris has. His fingerprints are found at the crime scene of the McCaffity murder.

You know I keep the Mac loaded and I like to clap rodents. That's why Bo and Weaze on lock now and every day on

2.0

2.1

lockdown. This is all true, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Bo, Mr. Martin, were locked up. And this is a reference to two of the coconspirators in this case.

Mr. Harris talks about people getting shot down for running their mouth clown. Tell me how it feels with a gun in your mouth now. This is an individual who knows that people have been testifying about his friends who are locked up, who was sending a message to the community about the consequences, a message that Mark Herbert hears loud and clear.

You need an army, a K and two fucking clips. You never get close enough to get Bo hit. You never get close enough to get Bo hit. What is Mr. Harris celebrating here? McCaffity.

Mr. McCaffity never got close enough to get Bo hit, did he?

Because Mr. Harris was there to put a stop to it.

To you, it might be entertainment but I'm speaking the truth. It's a must that we ride. Death before dishonor. Never talk to homicide. Keep your mouth shut. And that's how we define honor in these lyrics. And again, celebration of the truth. This is not fiction.

It's not fiction that it was an event Saturday night at Lyles's party when it all went down. I wasn't there, but if I was it would have been more clowns. He admits, Mr. Harris does, about a real party and talks about not being there. There's no puffery here. There's no puffing this up into more than it is.

Put the Ruger to your nozzle. We want all your shit.

2.0

2.1

.40 caliber weapon used to murder the Wyche brothers. And who took all their shit? Whoever killed them did, with a .40 caliber weapon. This is not fiction. This is a celebration of what Mr. Harris was proud to have been involved in and what his coconspirators were proud to have been involved in.

And why did they do all these things? What was the purpose here? What was the goal of this conspiracy? I talked about this at the beginning of my argument. Now as I approach the end, we're coming back to it. What was the goal of all of this?

It's just me, Weaze and Bo back to back with niggas bopping and weaving one of your blows. Me, Weaze and Bo back to back. This is how Mr. Harris looks at the world and this is how Mr. Harris celebrated his business and his contribution to this business.

Roc introduced you fucks to the afterlife. Whoodi, I know you feeling me to the four-fifth. You can talk all day about the use of Whoodi in a different context. If we go on the internet, I'm sure, ladies and gentlemen, there's probably something out there that talks about a Whoodi. And there's a cartoon, according to Mr. Cook. And I'm sure that's probably all true. But we've got a dead man in this case named Woody, who was shot to death next to a young female friend in a car. And we have a defendant writing about sending a Whoodi to the afterlife and writing about watching your brains fall over on that bitch

next to you.

2.0

2.1

It doesn't take a genius, ladies and gentlemen, to interpret these lyrics. And in the context of this case, with all of the other evidence that we've seen, it is overpowering.

How do we know that Mr. Harris is telling the truth?

Because the lyrics, number one, say they are. Mr. Dobropolski testified that Mr. Harris often talked about not wanting to be a studio gangster, somebody who would be fake. The truth is emphasized in these songs and ultimately they jive with the evidence in this case.

We seem a similar attempt to construct an atmosphere of fear with the Stop Snitching Two video. It's a video that mentions numerous people in this case, including Darryl Bacon and Will Montgomery, who both testified as witnesses. Mr. Bacon's uncomfort being here was fairly obvious. TM has actually been pushed to do to his way of thinking the right thing -- keeping his mouth shut. The Stop Snitching video works, at least in Mr. Herbert's case, at least in TM's case.

Shelton Harris's attention to this kind of thing is also clear from Count 19 of the indictment. Mr. Harris is charged by himself in this witness intimidation and assault charge. You heard the testimony. He was brought here to court one day by the U.S. marshals because he was being transported. On that day, Mr. Hayes was also brought to court because he'd been arrested that day.

2.0

2.1

One thing, ladies and gentlemen, was a great deal of cross examination about this. One thing had nothing to do with the other. I'm sure you're all experienced from paying your taxes and dealing with the government, the government is fairly, is very rarely so efficient to be able to secretly put two people in a jail cell at the same time. We had different agents in this case doing different things and they ended up there at the same time. It was regrettable. But what happened there was a crime.

Mr. Harris sees somebody that's been testifying against him and he assaulted that person, a witness named Mr. Hayes. He even called him a rat bitch, according to Mr. Hayes's testimony, leaving no question as to his motivation. Of course, as though we had any further question from the rap lyrics I just got done talking about.

This was an assault with the intent to intimidate a witness. And Mr. Harris is therefore guilty of Count Nine of the indictment, which really, frankly, just goes hand in hand with everything that Mr. Harris has done in this case, from the lyrics to the other cases we've seen. This was more an attempt by him to do what he believes is his duty and his right to do.

Now, the final act in this case. I'm sure you're all relieved to hear it's the final act, is, of course, the courtroom antics. This evidence is relevant not only to understanding one of the ways that the conspiracy carried itself out. Just so you don't worry, ladies and gentlemen, I'm not going through all that

2.0

2.1

stuff. This is evidence not only because it's a separate and independent attempt much later in the case by the defendants to interfere with court activities, but it's also an important piece of evidence in terms of assessing all of the concerted conduct that we have in this case.

There has been very rarely a better example of four human beings getting together and acting as a team than we saw with respect to the filing of these simultaneous motions with identical language, by the defendants, using envelopes, ladies and gentlemen, that are absolutely identical. That these envelopes were deposited in the mail by the same person, you can judge for yourselves. The same person prepared and sent all of these envelopes. It's not clear who it is.

From Ms. Parson's testimony, Mr. Martin's mother, strong piece of evidence suggesting that the envelopes were deposited by some associate of Mr. Mitchell's, a female attachment, Ms. Parsons testified. We also know that someone forged her name on one of the documents because it wasn't her signature. That document was notarized by an individual named Michelle Mitchell. But whoever it was, it was someone working for all of the defendants.

The documents are important because they are evidence of a concerted conduct in the planning and the thinking and the team work of these defendants, not only in the courtroom obstructions, but in the whole case. And the real obstruction,

2.0

2.1

ladies and gentlemen, the real obstruction is not simply the filing of these documents. You file away, ladies and gentlemen. It's a big courthouse. There's plenty of places to put documents. The real issue is the joint courtroom obstruction of which you heard some recordings.

On cue, simultaneously, coordinated, the defendants one day announced that they would be asserting these defenses. And that's not really the whole thing, ladies and gentlemen.

Asserting defenses, assert away. These defenses were asserted in such a way as to make it impossible to carry out the business of this court. That's what makes it obstruction.

Asserting a defense, people are allowed to do that.

The defendants, working as a team, working simultaneously,

working off the same script. You heard that language. It's

barely comprehensible. I don't know what it means. I doubt very

much any of you know what it means. But it was all scripted and

it was all identical. And the defendants, one after the other,

made their announcement, I'm a flesh and blood man, demanded to

be called by their full names, refusing to recognize the Court.

Refusing to allow the Court to speak. And ultimately, preventing

the conduct of an evidentiary hearing. It had to be postponed.

That is obstruction.

And in this case and in this evidence, that was sort of the last piece of evidence you saw of this group's coordinated, concerted conduct. It is powerful evidence reflecting not only

2.0

2.1

an attempt to obstruct a particular proceeding or series of proceedings, but it reflects the concerted, conspiratorial enterprise nature of the defendants' activities in general. They acted as a team. And when the time came and when needed to stand up for one another one more time, and their backs were up against the wall, we see them standing up for each other, quite physically standing up for each other as a team.

That's what this case has been about -- the coordination, the joint conduct of these defendants throughout the case, from the drug trafficking in the 1990's, the robberies that we heard about from Mr. Bacon, Mr. Montgomery, which were frequently a part of this group's business, exchanging and maintaining common resources, sharing suppliers, carrying guns for common protection, carrying out drug trafficking, helping each other be a successful conspiracy.

And in 2002, working in concerted activity. Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris in the murder of Oliver McCaffity and Ms. Brown, which was done because Mr. Harris, Mr. Mitchell believed they were a threat to him. Carrying on to the identical murder of Darryl Wyche and Anthony Wyche. All four defendants linked to that crime by powerful evidence beyond any reasonable doubt in an obvious motive to steal drugs and money.

And then, finally, the Tonya Jones Spence case, another drug and money murder. Also demonstrating by absolutely clear evidence beyond any reasonable doubt Mr. Gardner's involvement,

2.0

2.1

and also the fact that this evolved from a plan that came part and parcel of this conspiracy for Mr. Montgomery, Mr. Martin, beginning as a plan to rob Goose, evolving into the Darius Spence plan.

The courtroom antics show us how coordinated the defendants can be. The evidence in this case, all of it shows that the defendants were coordinated in their drug trafficking and in their murders.

And for those reasons, ladies and gentlemen, after this long day, I do ask that you find the defendants guilty of the counts of this indictment. Thank you.

THE COURT: By a show of hands, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, would any of you have difficulty if we were to remain until six tonight? Okay. Then we won't do that.

We'll take a recess and we'll see, Mr. Lawlor will present his closing argument. And depending on how long he chooses to go up to the limit that I've given him we may or may not be able to get to Mr. Martin today. But in any event, we'll resume tomorrow morning.

So we'll stand in recess for, shall we say, ten minutes. Please leave your note pads on your chairs. Have no discussion about the arguments or the evidence. Continue to keep an open mind about all issues. We're in recess for ten minutes.

(Recess at 3:35 p.m.)

THE COURT: Ready to go, Mr. Lawlor?

MR. LAWLOR: I am, Your Honor. Your Honor, is that easel there okay?

THE COURT: That's fine, yes.

2.0

2.1

(Jury enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, Mr. Lawlor.

MR. LAWLOR: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I know it's late in the day and I have to say I appreciate your attention you paid to Mr. Hanlon, the attention you've paid all these weeks of trial.

I know it's late and the last thing you all probably want to hear is another lawyer prodding along, you know, for a while longer about the case since you sat through and heard all of the evidence. The bad news is you have to sit through this. The good news is the judge has me under pretty strict time limits and it's significantly less than Mr. Hanlon, although I think he had a lot more to cover.

And the other thing is I'm fighting off a little cold, so I certainly want to keep this as short as I can.

You know, ladies and gentlemen, it sort of occurred to me during Mr. Hanlon's closing argument, kind of strikes me as remarkable the force in which he speaks and the certainty in which he speaks. It kind of amazes me in a sense that he speaks without any reservation whatsoever about the evidence in this case. I mean, to be honest, I think it's a little foolhardy when you think of some of the witnesses that we've met and heard from.

And I'm going to talk about that.

2.0

2.1

But, you know, the main thing that I'm going to ask of you as you begin your deliberations and go through your deliberations in this case is not to think about how the government has characterized the evidence or even how I'm going to characterize it, because you may certainly well dispute how I characterize the evidence or things I place emphasis on. And that's why we have you here, the twelve of you who will be deliberating.

The beauty of the system is, it matters not at all what they say or what they think or how they characterize things or their recollection of the events, but how you've perceived this and do you believe someone.

Just to give you a couple of examples, and I'm going to delve into this. But Mr. Hanlon, I thought, sort of gracefully stepped through the rain drops concerning Mr. Montgomery. Now, Mr. Montgomery didn't say much about my client. He doesn't really know Mr. Mitchell. But Mr. Hanlon is asking you in a lot of different ways to convict these four men based on the testimony of Mr. Montgomery. And he's entitled to do that. And he's going to say, hey, we take our witnesses as we find them, or Mr. Harding will in his rebuttal case and, you know, it's not a lot we can do.

But I think to fail to even recognize or address to you the fact that Mr. Montgomery is a sick, sociopathic murderer and

2.0

2.1

you might not want to believe him, I think sort of belies who that person is and really whether or not you should believe him.

And I'm going to talk about him in more and more detail. And I'm sure other of defense counsel will.

But it's things like that that Mr. Hanlon says, and the certainty with which he speaks about the evidence in this case, that I found pretty fascinating. And so, you know, I'm certainly going to go through and dispute many of the characterizations that he made about the evidence and talk to you about mainly the credibility of many of the witnesses that we've heard from.

Before I do that, let's talk a little bit about what this case is not about. Okay?

Now, I represent Mr. Mitchell, Ms. Rhodes and I do.

And it's been our privilege and our pleasure to represent him through these many weeks of trial. It's an obligation we take seriously and we were pleased to do it. And I'm going to talk about him.

But I'm not trying to pull the wool over your eyes, ladies and gentlemen. I don't think, we all know that Willie Mitchell is not an angel. Okay. I'm not going to lie to you and say that Willie Mitchell never sold drugs in his life, that he never carried a gun, that he's a perfect person. I'm not going to do that because it's not the truth. But that's not what this case is about.

This case is not about whether Willie Mitchell ever

2.0

2.1

sold drugs. He did. And I don't want to call it an irrelevancy, but it borders on an irrelevancy because what this case is about is whether or not these four men, these four inner city kids from Baltimore are an enterprise in the legal sense of the word and whether they conspired to do many of the things the government has accused them of doing in the legal sense of the word.

What this case is also not about is whether or not they carry guns. Mr. Mitchell did carry a gun. Again, I don't expect you to want to leave this trial here and break bread with Mr. Mitchell. We heard from many witnesses about the things that go on in this city, and it's a shame. I think candidly we all bear some of the responsibility here, and I'll touch on that a little bit. But there's also not what this case is about.

It's not about whether these kids knew each other because we know they did. We know that Willie and Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner associated from the time they were youths and their paths crossed over the many years. And we know that Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Harris were in a rap label together. But that's also not what this is about.

And make no mistake about this, ladies and gentlemen. This case is a tragedy. I will discuss and certainly dispute my client's involvement in any murder, in any of these murders. But don't let that be confused with the fact that each and every one of these murders is a horrible tragedy.

And the whole situation we heard from too many

2.0

2.1

witnesses, too many young men who got caught up in this. That's not to say that they don't and Mr. Mitchell doesn't bear responsibility for the things that he's done. But I think it's a sad indictment that these murders go on, so many of these murders go on, so much drug dealing goes on, so much violent crime goes on, and we as a society haven't stopped it to date. Okay? But I will say again and again through this argument that those murders all, all five of them, are very, very tragic circumstances.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, another thing, and the judge instructed you on this during Mr. Hanlon's argument, because I think he unintentionally made a couple mistakes about a couple of the facts. And I am definitely going to that. Okay? This was ten weeks of trial. I think the government called 75 witnesses. I think we called another 14.

I sat next to Mr. Martin through the course of the trial and we kind of had a running joke, because Mr. Martin keeps notes that probably summarize and capture the testimony of the witnesses better than does the court reporter, because I think he can write down every word, and I'm not capable of doing that. I notice many of you take, take a lot of notes during the course of the trial. Again, I took notes. Obviously, I'm here paying attention. If I misstate something, if I leave something out, even a negative fact, I'm not trying to gloss over things here. I have about an hour, candidly, to address you. And I'm going to do that through the course of, you know, 14 years worth of

2.0

2.1

evidence and many, many different accusations leveled by the government. So it's not an effort on my part whatsoever to be deceptive.

Now, getting into a couple of the legal premises I'd like to ask you to be aware of as you do your deliberations, as you conduct your deliberations. One thing is, is that I and Ms. Rhodes, we represent Mr. Mitchell. And there are four defendants here. They were each represented by able counsel. And the judge told you this, and he will tell you this again during his instructions -- you have four times as much work to do as the normal jury because you have to sit through four trials.

Mr. Hanlon said, I thought a little too casually, to be honest with you, through the course of his argument, that they did this and they did this and so on and so forth. Many, many times he used the word "they." And they'll fall back on the course, on the argument, well, this is a conspiracy and they're all responsible for, for the actions of each other. And that would be partially true up to the point that you find them to be involved in some sort of conspiracy. And we're going to talk about that.

But what I'd ask you to do is to go back through, I believe it's 19 charges, many of which address several defendants in each charge and discuss the evidence as to each.

These are not, this is not one man. This is not the fate of a single person that you're being asked to sit in

2.0

2.1

judgment of. This is four different individuals, each responsible only for their own conduct. Each responsible only for their own conduct.

What Mr. Gardner might have done, what Mr. Martin might have done, is not the responsibility of Mr. Mitchell. Likewise, if you think that Mr. Mitchell did X, Y or Z, that is not the responsibility of Mr. Harris or Mr. Martin or Mr. Gardner.

And I think the government was very, very quick just to lump everybody together. And I would ask you not to do that. I would ask you to address each of the charges as to each of the defendants.

Now, let me talk about the broad net of charges that are in this case. The first is the conspiracy. The defendants are charged in a couple different conspiracies -- Count One, the conspiracy to commit racketeering acts, the drug distribution conspiracy, and then there are other conspiracies, also, dealing with some of the specific homicides.

And again, Mr. Hanlon, I thought was kind of, kind of quick just to say, well, it can be informal and anybody knows anybody, anybody whose path crosses anybody, if they had any kind of association, any kind of dealing, it doesn't need to be that formal. They just have to have sort of a common interest.

But the instruction, what the instruction will say is that a conspiracy is like a partnership. Okay? And when you partner with somebody, conspire with somebody to commit a crime,

it's not a casual thing. Obviously, I can't wear the mike.

2.0

2.1

That's not a casual thing, ladies and gentlemen, to be in a conspiracy to commit a crime, particularly the crimes that these young men have been accused of.

Now, we've heard about the evidence in this case, which is that these defendants certainly knew each other and their paths certainly crossed from time to time over the course of many years. And I think the government wants to have you lump everything together and say, well, Mr. Martin and Mr. Gardner did this and that in 1988 and Mr. Mitchell did this in 2000, and they maybe bought from the same source, perhaps. And so that's evidence of the conspiracy. And maybe a gun was traded from one person to another person to another person and that demonstrates the proof of the conspiracy.

And that's not to say that I agree with the proof of that, and I'll address that as we get into the, to the actual specifics of the offense. But what I'd like for you to think about is, like I said, a conspiracy's a partnership. And what I think these defendants represent are far from a partnership, but rather folks that knew each other that, sadly, from time to time, were engaged in drug distribution and perhaps had people that they were working with or buying from at the same time. Does that make it a conspiracy or partnership? No.

The analogy I'd like to draw is when you go to the Orioles game. Now, I'm from Boston, I'm a Red Sox fan. So when

2.0

2.1

the Red Sox come to town, if I don't have tickets, I go down to Orioles Stadium or Camden Yards. And there's a section where they're set up where they let you scalp. So if you go over there, and it's kind of not inside the stadium but on the side of the stadium, undercover. There's 50 people there. Everybody's who's got tickets who wants to sell them is there, and they're all doing the same thing. They're all selling tickets. And maybe some of them even got them from the same place.

Maybe one guy got his, he got four from Stub Hub and now he only needs two, and another guy got six from Stub Hub and he only needs four. So they got their tickets from the same place. They're standing right next to each other doing the same thing, selling tickets. Does that make that partners in crime? Does that make them conspirators to sell Oriole tickets? No. That means they're doing the same thing in the same place at the same time. It doesn't make them partners.

And ladies and gentlemen, we heard from numerous witnesses about the volume of drug activity in this city. And I don't think I need to spend a lot of time on that because I think you all know about the volume of drug activity in the city. So I think, again, common sense, when you sit down to deliberate, do people that are of the same age and who dealt drugs, are they're going to run across some of the same people? Yes. Does that make them conspirators? No.

Same analogy. If any of you have been in New York.

2.0

2.1

They have a diamond district there. I haven't been there and bought diamonds there, but I've heard of it. It's a similar thing. In a specific part of Manhattan, everybody who's selling diamonds, not everybody, but there are a large number of people who are set up on a block in Manhattan, and there are probably 5 or 8 or 50 different shops selling diamonds. And I'd be willing to bet that there are not that many diamond distributors in the world. So they're probably buying their diamonds from the same place, many of them are. And they're selling them in the same place. But that doesn't make them conspirators.

In that, ladies and gentlemen, again, read the instruction, but I'd ask you to sort of focus on that partnership language as we get into the things that these young men did and whether or not there was any evidence of any partnership.

Likewise, ladies and gentlemen, think about and read the instruction on RICO. Again, I thought Mr. Hanlon can be informal or, formal or informal. It doesn't, it doesn't need to have any real structure. But the charge has been laid here and the statute exists for a reason. Okay?

And again, I won't read you the instruction. I ask you to read it yourself when you get back to deliberations.

Obviously, His Honor, tomorrow, if we finish tomorrow, will read you that instruction.

But the defendants are not charged with dealing drugs. They are charged with being a part of an enterprise. Okay? And

2.0

2.1

I'm going to get into again what these guys did and what, what an absurd notion is it to think, given the paucity of evidence, that they did things together over the number of years that the government said they did and how old they would have been at those certain times, and how many different years they spent apart from each other, either at college or at the Hickey School or, sadly, while being incarcerated. But a racketeering act is part of an organization. And I think you all know where it comes from. It comes from the Mafia.

And you are talking about bonded, tight families that are a continuing unit. And that's part of the thing. The government has accused these young men of being part of an enterprise that lasted for 12 years, began in 1994. Willie Mitchell was 17 years old in 1994. Okay? And I think the government went through great pains to characterize him as a young Bonnie and Clyde when he was 17. He went to Hickey. And that's a sad part of life, that a young man of his age had to go to Hickey.

But are we really comfortable, are we really confident enough in the evidence in this case to say that this young man at 17 years old was racketeering? It's absurd.

Mr. Harding went all, went out of his way whenever he could to try to say that Mr. Mitchell, Mr. Martin, and Mr. Gardner committed a robbery together when they were young men. I will tell you that the evidence that they did a robbery together

2.0

2.1

is zero. And I will tell you that if you think that they did, the impact of that is zero. Because 17-year-old young men are not racketeers. If they did a robbery at that age, it's sad and unfortunate, but it doesn't make them racketeers. It doesn't make them part of an enterprise. It doesn't make them part of an organization.

And compare, if you will, ladies and gentlemen, what it means to be part of a racketeering enterprise. You met Eric Clash, who was part of the Rice organization, who you heard a lot about. And ladies and gentlemen, you heard from Mr. Clash and Mr. Golder what a racketeering enterprise really looks like. You heard about the Rice organization. And the Rice organization, they, they bought and sold, according to the plea agreements, 150 kilograms of drugs. They went to California and Mexico to buy their drugs. They had a Rolls Royce. They were a continuing unit.

Now, again, these young men, each and every one of them, and I don't want to speak for them or their counsel, other than Mr. Mitchell, who I will speak for, but we all know that each of these young men were arrested from time to time with drugs. And how much drugs were they arrested with? 37 grams here, 75 grams there, 10 grams here. I think Mr. Mitchell was arrested in Altoona with 18 grams.

So not only is the evidence of their racketeering belied by the facts of the case, but compare it to what the

2.0

2.1

government has referred to as a racketeering organization. You heard about the Rice organization. You met many of the, you met a couple of the members of the Rice organization. And you have this plea agreement. It's Mr. Clash's plea agreement. I believe it's P-5A and P-5B. Read the statement of facts for me, if I could ask you to do that when you get back there.

Compare what they did to what these young men did. See what the government called a racketeering organization in that case to what these kids did and whether or not they're a racketeering organization.

I'm going to stop there on that point. But I would ask you to bear in mind that everything that comes from this case from here on is within that structure. These young men are not charged with dealing drugs or carrying guns. They're charged with racketeering and the violent crimes that were committed during the course of that enterprise. There is no enterprise, ladies and gentlemen.

Candidly, every count falls. Once you decide, if you decide that there's no enterprise, that there's no conspiracy, your work is done as to many of the counts in this case. That doesn't mean I'm not going to address those. Quite obviously, I am. But I will tell you when you hear the Court's instructions that once you, if you decide that there was no racketeering enterprise in this case, if there is no conspiracy to commit racketeering acts in this case, if there was no partnership,

there are no crimes thereafter in many of these counts.

2.0

2.1

Now, I'll get into a couple more instructions, ladies and gentlemen. And then I'm going to discuss the facts. I'm going to try to get through these instructions rather quickly.

Obviously, ladies and gentlemen, you know that this is a criminal case. And there are, I'd say, the hallmarks of this system rest on a few of the instructions that the Court is going to give you tomorrow or early Friday. And the Court has given you these throughout the case. But they are, of course, the presumption of innocence, the burden of proof, and the standard of proof.

Now, you're heard a lot of evidence through the course of this case. I think we've been here ten weeks. And as I said, Mr. Mitchell is no angel. And I don't submit to you that he is a perfect man. I do submit to you that he's innocent of these charges.

But aside from whatever else you might think in the future, as this young man sits here right now he's innocent. And we need for you to look at him as an innocent man because that's what he is in the eyes of the law. He walked into this courtroom cloaked in that presumption. Notwithstanding what Mr. Montgomery said, Mr. Bacon said, and what Mr. Hanlon said in his closing argument, that man sits here still under the presumption of innocence. That is an innocent man, ladies and gentlemen.

And unless and until you go back and decide, based on

2.0

2.1

the evidence you heard from that witness stand, not based on conjecture or suspicion or worry or concern, but proof beyond a reasonable doubt, until you can reach that determination based on the charges in this case, that man must be acquitted. He is innocent. And you cannot convict him until you find that the government has proved each and every element of any offense, there are many offenses, of any offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

They bear the burden. And the Court will tell you this time and again, we have no burden. We could have sat there throughout the trial silently. Quite honestly, we wouldn't do that. But we have no burden in this case whatsoever. And it is the government's burden to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, I can't define that term for you but what I'd like to do, if I could, is just compare it. I don't think that any of you are lawyers. I can't remember from voir dire many of those weeks ago. But assuming many of you are not, I would like to compare that standard of proof to the other standards of proof in the law.

Now, you know there are fender bender cases that go to a civil case, where two people hit each other. And John Doe might sue Sally Smith for damages. In that kind of a case, and I can't spell very well, but the standard of proof in that case would be preponderance of the evidence. Okay? Which, another

2.0

2.1

way of looking at it is like 51%. Okay? So unless and until one party in that case -- and think of the scales of justice. All you need to do is tip that. You can't see it? Okay. Sorry. Is that going to be in the Court's way?

THE COURT: No. That's fine. Go ahead, Mr. Lawlor.

MR. LAWLOR: Is that okay? 51%. So think of the scales of justice. One party tips the scales just a little bit, then you can give money damages in a civil case. That's the standard that's applied in that kind of a case.

One of the next highest, and I'm not going to go through every standard, but another higher standard is clear and convincing evidence. Again, I think that's kind of tough to define in and of itself. But I'll tell you when they use that standard.

If you're a parent and you have a child and the State says that you're an unfit parent and they want to take your child away, that's the standard they use, clear and convincing evidence. Now, obviously, short of conviction in a criminal case, taking away someone's liberty, I can't think of anything that's more serious than terminating someone's parental rights. And that is, that is the standard they would use in that case.

The standard we're using in this case is the highest known to law, and that's beyond a reasonable doubt. Higher than the standard they would use to take away someone's parental rights is the standard of proof that you need to apply in this

case.

2.0

2.1

So certainly, the government, notwithstanding their unwillingness to see any flaw in any of the evidence they presented, in order for you, when you assess the evidence, to convict Mr. Mitchell of any of these offenses, you need to find that you do not possess a reasonable doubt of his guilt.

Now, I'm going to go through why I think there's a mountain of reasonable doubt. In fact, I think given some of the witnesses we have seen, there's more doubt than reason. But that is the standard you need to apply.

So again, if I could be the scales of justice again.

Remember, in a civil case they start even. You've only got to tip 51%. Here the scales don't start even because Mr. Mitchell is an innocent man and the government needs to overcome that presumption and convict him by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

The Court will instruct you again what that means and I can't define it for you. But comparatively, bear that in mind as you conduct your deliberations.

The final instruction I will discuss with you is credibility. Now, the Court will read it to you and I think it's a fairly lengthy instruction. But it might as well say "use your common sense." You meet people and talk to people every day. You watch TV and make decisions about things you might purchase, people you might believe. So credibility, in a sense, is an everyday common thing.

2.0

2.1

One thing he'll instruct you, though, specifically regarding credibility is motive. Does someone have a motivation to be untruthful? And most of the witnesses that the government has paraded before you have only a motivation to be untruthful. They are liars. They are thieves. They are murderers. And they have been bought and paid for by the government of the United States for their service in this courtroom.

But not just the conspiracy instruction I'm going to ask you to look at, but the Court is going to specifically instruct you regarding these many witnesses, Mr. Montgomery and Mr. Bacon, Mr. Golder and Mr. Clash, Mr. Reynolds, Mr. Hayes. All these folks who got this tremendous benefit that they received from the government, the Court is going to tell you, don't just use my general credibility instruction with these folks, you've got to use heightened care with them. He will instruct you to use, and I'm paraphrasing a bit, but great caution or great care.

And again, that's kind of common sense. I'm telling you and the Court will instruct you, but it's common sense that you should have a grave reservation about believing the testimony of someone who wouldn't just come in here and be truthful. They would only come in here and be truthful if their testimony was paid for.

Now, what if I had, what if I had a witness for Mr. Mitchell who was going to be a character witness or an alibi

2.0

2.1

witness or somebody like that, and they get up and said, you know, on Sunday Mr. Mitchell couldn't have been at the 7-Eleven because he was with me all day and, you know, we were hanging around the 7-Eleven, smoking cigarettes and playing video games. And then the government got up on cross and said, Why are you here? And the witness said, Mr. Lawlor gave me \$10,000 to be here. What would you think of their credibility? Would you believe them?

Would you believe a witness who said, I got \$10,000 to come and testify on behalf of Willie Mitchell? You would not. You would say that there's absolutely no way. You don't need to discuss or you wouldn't need to think about their mannerism or was there testimony corroborated. Mr. Hanlon used the word "corroborated" about 500 times during his closing argument.

You wouldn't worry about whether or not what they said was corroborated by something else in the case. You would say, I don't believe them because their testimony has been paid for.

But the government, again, they didn't touch on this at all, but all those witnesses that I've just named, their testimony has been bought and paid for. And I use \$10,000. But let's use Mr. Montgomery, for example.

Mr. Montgomery confessed on that stand to the murder of two individuals -- the murder of Mr. Cheeks and involvement in the murder of Ms. Spence. I don't know much in this world, but if there's one thing, I can't speak with the same certainty that

2.0

2.1

Mr. Hanlon speaks about or things that I haven't seen with my own eyes. But if there's one thing I know more than I know anything else, it's that Mr. Montgomery has killed more than two people. And if there's anything else I know is that the scariest day for the rest of my life is going to be the day that William Montgomery gets out of jail because he is a sociopathic, unrepentant, sorrowless murderer. Okay? And we all know that.

Now, Mr. Hanlon said, you know, forget all that, just look at his, look at his testimony. But ladies and gentlemen, does that make any sense to you? If you were, let's say you were going to buy a car, okay? And you talked to the person and you said, Well, what did you do for a living before you sold cars? And it was William Montgomery. And Mr. Montgomery said, I was a hired killer. Not only was I a hired killer, but when I saw that someone might be upset about somebody else, I sought them out so that they might pay me to kill someone. He lied about that on the stand. He lied about that. And it's as shocking that he lied as it is shocking that Friday is the day after Thursday. Because he's a murderer, why wouldn't he lie? Okay.

But he said that Mr. Spence sought him out. And you will recall that Mr. Spence came in here and said, my wife was having an affair with Mama and Will Montgomery found out about it and he came to me and said, I will pop him for whatever amount of money.

So William Montgomery, in terms of assessing his

2.0

2.1

credibility, and I personally don't think you need to go that far in deciding whether or not Will Montgomery is a liar because not only is he a murderer, but he lied. I'm sure other attorneys will talk about the many discrepancies in his testimony. But his testimony has been paid for.

Again, I'm not sure of too many things in this world.

But one thing I'm sure about is that American civilization does not need William Montgomery out of jail. Okay? He's going to get a 40 year sentence, maybe, up to 40 years. He's getting that state time. He talked about all these guys in state court. They get good time and all that. So he's not going to serve 40 years. He's going to be eligible for parole.

So know something. When this trial is over, know that Mr. Montgomery will get out of jail and find out when that day is and get new locks on your doors, because that is a scary proposition.

MR. HARDING: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. LAWLOR: Ladies and gentlemen, his testimony was paid for. He should be in jail for life for the things that he did. But the government said, Mr. Montgomery, if you come in and say X, Y and Z, testify in front of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you won't have to serve life for all these things that you did, we'll make sure that you don't serve more than 40 years. Now, I don't know what the difference is the number of years that

2.0

2.1

he will serve as a result of his plea agreement versus the years he would have otherwise served. But what's that worth in dollar figures?

Let's say he got a 20 year break. What's a year of your life worth out of jail? Now, I know none of you can fathom that because none of you have been to jail or will go to jail. But what is that year of your life worth, what is the year of someone's life worth out of jail? And there's the currency that the government trades to get people to come in here.

As I said, ladies and gentlemen, it was a long winded way of asking you to look at an instruction. But consider if I did that or if any of the defendants did that -- bear in mind we can't. Okay? If I gave a witness \$10,000 to come in and testify, the next thing that I would know would be handcuffs coming on my wrists for obstruction of justice. I don't get to pay people for testimony. The government gets to trade freedom for testimony.

And I would ask you to evaluate, when you think of these witnesses, when you think of their testimony, what was their motivation, and use great care in evaluating them.

Now, I talked about this in the general sense, ladies and gentlemen, that I think, putting it bluntly, I think the government has overreached in this case. And I want to go through some specific examples because I think I've been speaking in generalities. But I'd ask you to think of these things as you

go through the case.

2.0

2.1

I'm going to go through, I'm going to try to go through these quickly because I think I'm being long winded with my points.

Ms. Duganne testified. She was the woman with Altoona, when Mr. Mitchell is up there selling drugs. Both of these Duganne sisters came in and testified that, and I can't remember which sister was which, to be honest with you, but one said she came in and bought the drugs on a controlled buy because she was working with the police, and there was a gun in there. There was a gun in there because Willie Mitchell is a gun-toting thug. So we got to have a gun in there because that is the persona.

We don't want Mr. Mitchell only to be a small time drug dealer. No. He's got to be a drug dealer who carries a gun because he's a drug dealer, gun carrying thug. That fits the story we're trying to tell.

But wait. The police come in two minutes later. Did they find a gun? No. Okay. That was the officer. I asked him. You would have found a gun. You searched the whole house.

You're concerned about officer's safety. We didn't find the gun.

But the government presented the testimony of Ms.

Duganne, that she saw this gun, even though we all know there was no gun there. And I don't quite understand why they did that.

The next thing -- and these are in no particular order -- is the testimony of Officer Barnes, who arrested Mr.

Harris. Remember him? Nice chap who says, Come down here and admit to these drugs, Mr. Harris, or I'll throw your mom in jail. I mean, give me a break. Shelton comes down there and says, Those are my drugs. And he still throws Shelton's mother in jail. This poor old woman. Throws her in jail.

That's not really my point. But sort of bear in mind that as you assess the case, about how Baltimore City Police act.

MR. HARDING: Objection, Your Honor.

MR. LAWLOR: Threw the man's mother in jail --

THE COURT: Sustained.

2.0

2.1

MR. LAWLOR: -- because Shelton had drugs. But the point is, he wrote a report at the time of the arrest, and I can't remember the years exactly. I want to say the report was '02. He didn't say that he gave Shelton any rights. Three years later there's a proffer session with the government or a meeting with the government. Said, Oh, yeah, I gave him his rights. Three years later. Does that make any sense to you?

How many arrests do you think this man makes in a day, a week, a month, a year? But three years later, he remembers some nobody like Shelton Harris and, Oh, yeah, I definitely gave him his rights. And the government put that evidence before you.

I talked about the fact that they arrested Shelton

Harris's mother. What about Mr. Mitchell's arrest? You

remember, he got arrested for selling heroin. Only problem was,

the police roll up, there's six people there. The drugs are over

2.0

2.1

there. Mr. Mitchell's here. There's six people. Some of them go away. And they arrest Mr. Mitchell. Another guy's counting money, not Mr. Mitchell. Another guy's counting money. But they arrest Mr. Mitchell, who's near some drugs, near this heroin. It's not on his person, nor is any money, nor is he selling to anyone.

But they arrest him and the government presents this evidence because not only is he a gun toting thug, but he's a heroin dealer, too. Okay? Well, the drugs weren't on him, the money wasn't on him. There were six people there. But the government presented that evidence.

William Montgomery, I talked about him. But I want to talk about him in a specific term, in terms of the government's overreaching. They attribute all these things that Mr.

Montgomery did with Bo, because Mr. Montgomery, his dealings were with Goo and I suppose a little bit with Mr. Martin. He didn't really know Bo. But remember, we got to have an organization here so everybody's going to know everybody and everybody's got to be doing the same thing, otherwise, our whole case falls apart.

Well, the only problem is, Mr. Montgomery was shown a photo spread, two photo spreads. And you'll have these. I don't have the exhibit numbers in front of me, unfortunately. But the first one, which this is the funniest coincidence of the whole case, he's shown a photo spread with six people in it, none of

them are Mr. Mitchell, and he picks out Mr. Harris and says that's Mr. Mitchell.

2.0

2.1

I'm sure he wasn't coached. I'm sure he wasn't coached to say, hey, who's the guy up in the right-hand corner? But of all the people, he picks Mr. Harris and says that's Mr. Mitchell. And then moments later he's shown a photo spread with Mr. Mitchell's picture in it and he picks Mr. Mitchell. And they put that testimony on.

And Mr. Hanlon talks about Mr. Montgomery and how he knows Mr. Mitchell and he went to New York with Mr. Mitchell, and that's why this is an organization, because Mr. Mitchell went to New York with Mr. Gardner and with Mr. Martin. Because if he didn't, we don't have a conspiracy. If he didn't, we don't have a racketeering organization. But Mr. Montgomery can't even pick his photo out of a photo spread.

Oh, and the other thing is about that trip to New York, Mr. Montgomery said that he went with Mr. Mitchell and whoever else he went with, and he said he went with Mr. Bacon. But Mr. Bacon testified that he never went and bought drugs in New York with Mr. Mitchell. So they present materially inconsistent testimony.

You know why, ladies and gentlemen? That's called throw it all against the wall and some of it's going to stick. So that doesn't make any sense to me whatsoever, why you would present the testimony of Mr. Bacon and Mr. Montgomery on the same

point when they contradict each other.

2.0

2.1

Ladies and gentlemen, I think the greatest example of,
I called it overreaching, but now I'm just going to call it flat
out deception, is the government's failure to call Mark Herbert
to testify in this trial. TM, Tony Montana.

Mr. Harding questioned him and said, Well, I told you I didn't believe you. I mean, I guess if that's what we're going on, I guess we don't really need you. We don't need jury service in America if Mr. Harding's going to make a unilateral decision that he doesn't believe someone. So I'm not going to call this witness because I don't believe him.

Let me present an alternative theory of why this witness wasn't called. I'll do this in conjunction with whether or not you should really believe Mr. Hayes. Okay?

Now, Mr. Hayes is questioned shortly after one of the homicides and he says, I didn't see Shelton or Bo that night.

That was statement number one. Okay? I didn't see Shelton or Bo that night. And then there's statement number two where he says, I saw Shelton that night. Mind you, he hates Shelton. I saw Shelton that night. I didn't see Bo. What does Bo do? Bo's a producer, not a drug dealer, not a guy who got guns from me.

He's a producer. That's statement number two.

Statement number three is the infamous Claudus Lassiter letter. Okay? And Mr. Hayes, on his third trip around, now says, I got this letter, TM got this letter, and I opened it and

2.0

2.1

I saw this contract or request for a contract to murder Mr.

Lassiter. Well, where's the letter? I threw it in the toilet.

Of course you did. God forbid we would actually have the evidence instead of having to take the word of someone who admitted to lying before the grand jury, but we don't.

And we know Mr. Herbert's out there because the letter was addressed to him. And according to Mr. Hayes, he's the one, he opened it. It was his letter. It came to him to be forwarded to Shelton Harris. But Mr. Herbert in his grand jury, remember, in 2005, he says, I never opened any letter that went to Shelton Harris. I never opened it.

And so when I was questioning Mr. Hayes about this, I never Mr. Herbert. He testified, he met me two week ago. He never met Mr. Herbert in my life. I'm sure Mr. Herbert's going to testify and back all this up.

No, he's not going to testify. And then, maybe I should call this guy. I mean, he's the one that would corroborate what Mr. Hayes says. I mean, if the government's not going to call him and Mr. Hayes is a nut, I should probably talk to this guy. I mean, after all, this is a pretty critical thing according to Mr. Hanlon's, his Power Point presentation. This shows the relationship and how these guys are protecting each other.

And I think he even talked about the fact that all these defendants had a little part of that Claudus Lassiter thing

because the protection gig is on.

2.0

2.1

You saw Mr. Herbert. So I met with him. And now there's an intervening event, if I didn't mention this.

Mr. Hayes gets arrested. And now what does he need?

He needs the currency that only the government can provide. He needs -- excuse me -- he needs, he needs a deal. So now we're at the statement number three, or are we on to four now? Where I got the letter and not only did I get the letter, but Bo's not a producer. Bo's a drug dealer. Not only is Bo a drug dealer, he deals with Shelton. Because remember, we got to be an organization. You can't just sell. Mr. Herbert testified, though, yeah, Shelton sold but he didn't buy his drugs from Bo. But they didn't call Mr. Herbert. And of course, the one guy, the one guy who doesn't have a deal is a liar.

This isn't my witness, ladies and gentlemen. Okay? I called him but I called him not because I say what he said on the stand is true, although I do, I called him because they didn't, because they are trying to deceive you by presenting testimony of Mr. Hayes and then not presenting the testimony of Mr. Herbert.

And then I think Mr. Hanlon used the word "laughable."

You want to know what I think is laughable? They say believe Mr. Hayes, but don't believe Mr. Herbert. Let me ask you. Who had the deal? Hayes has a deal. Herbert doesn't. Who lied before the grand jury? Hayes. They're going to say Herbert did, too.

All right. Let's say he did. Well, that's a wash. But in the

2.0

2.1

government's rose-colored glasses, only what they present and only what they believe is true. Mr. Herbert couldn't be telling the truth because it's not, it doesn't fit with our theory.

So why didn't they call him? And what else is out there that they didn't call? I tripped and fell over Mr.

Herbert. How many other witnesses are out there that we didn't hear about?

Same thing, ladies and gentlemen. Let's just throw it against the wall and see what sticks. The voice mail. They presented the testimony of Ms. Wyche, who said originally, I think she said that it was Mr. Martin and Mr. Mitchell on the tape. And then she backed off of Martin. She's going to stick by Mitchell, and we know why, because that was the rumor on the street. How many rumors did we hear about in this trial about what was, what people were talking about, that the government has now presented not as rumor but as evidence.

So Ms. Wyche comes in and says, it was Bo and it was Martin down there. And they know it was Martin because he says it's Shorty. He's the guy who uses the word "Shorty." Then they brought in Mr. Hayes.

Bear in mind that Mr. Hayes, through one, two, three, four different version of events, they don't play him the tape unless he's signed, sealed and delivered, September, 2008, two weeks before trial. Now we're going to play him the tape because he's not, he doesn't have a stake in this. So he's going to tell

us the truth.

2.0

2.1

He only knows two voices here. Who's he going to identify? The two people that he knows. And what does he attribute to them? He attributes to them both saying the word "Shorty."

So again, ladies and gentlemen, I don't think this is my opinion. One person said Mr. Martin said Shorty. Another person said Mr. Mitchell and/or Mr. Harris said Shorty. Well, that's inconsistent. I don't think that's a stretch, I mean, to say that those are starkly inconsistent things.

But again, just throw it all against the wall. We throw enough mud, some of it has to stick.

The final thing, ladies and gentlemen, I would like to talk to you about in terms of the government overreaching is the testimony of Mr. Dobropolski. I think other witnesses or other defense counsel will talk about his credibility or lack thereof.

But one thing that I think is curious is that, you know, Mr. Dobropolski, you're going to view his testimony with caution because the man is a wife beating, thieving thug.

MR. HARDING: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. LAWLOR: But he comes in here and says, Rock told me X, Y and Z. And then he's on tape and none of it's there.

This was in the 21st century, ladies and gentlemen. We're not sitting through events that happened 50 years ago.

2.0

2.1

And he says that, he also says that Rock was recruiting him. And then Rock gets out and Rock never calls him. Rock does not call him one time. It's Dobropolski that's pursuing Rock. But the government tells you that Rock said these things, number one, not on tape, and that Rock was pursuing Dobropolski. When we get out, it's completely the opposite.

So ladies and gentlemen, those are, I think, eight or nine different examples.

Now, the government, again, they put all of this through rose-colored glasses. None of their witnesses have any credibility problems. They may be murderers. They all lied before the grand jury. They've given ten inconsistent statements. It doesn't matter.

It's almost, it's an admirable thing because they both, in opening Mr. Harding, and in closing, Mr. Hanlon, they tell the story as if they're reading from a non-fiction book and there are no issues whatsoever that you need to resolve. The only thing I can say to that is thank God we have the 12 of you and common sense on your side to assess this evidence.

Now, getting into the substance of this case, ladies and gentlemen. And I already talked about this. I'm going to talk about the RICO conspiracy and the drug conspiracy. But I'll try not to be redundant.

But ladies and gentlemen, go through the arrests. That's stipulation one, I think, with all the different, all the

2.0

2.1

different drug arrests. None of these individuals, save Gardner and Martin, are ever arrested together. Okay? And you go back years and years and years and they're all dealing small amounts of drugs, I would say, relative to what we've heard from the other witnesses. And they're not arrested together, save Gardner and Martin, which belies, period, plain and simple, the notion that this is an organization.

There's no organizational structure, ladies and gentlemen, because there can't be because in 1994 my client's a baby, and thereafter, until around 2000, he's either at the Hickey School or in college. He's not arrested during that time. He's not associated with, he doesn't even know Mr. Harris until 2001. He obviously knows Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin. But he's not arrested with them.

I'm not going to say he was going to church every
Sunday and on his best behavior. But the issue isn't whether or
not Mr. Mitchell has ever sinned. The issue is whether or not
this is an organization. He's never arrested with them.

As I said, Mr. Bacon said he was small time. Remember that? Mr. Jacquetta Smith said he was small time. Ms. Duganne said he was small time. And that's shown by not only is he not arrested with these other folks, but when he's arrested he doesn't have weight on him. Mr. Harding said in his opening that these guys developed into wholesale distributors. I never saw a shred of evidence about that. That's also belied by the

evidence.

2.0

2.1

They don't deal together. All the witnesses said, most of the witnesses you heard about, and I don't have time to go through every one, but the witnesses said that they didn't deal together. They dealt in separate neighborhoods to the degree that they dealt at all.

And finally, if this is an organization, a RICO organization like the Rice organization, where are the trappings of wealth? Mr. Mitchell lives, until he moved to Valdavia shortly before his arrest, he lives with Jacquetta at her mom's house.

Shelton, after he got kicked out of his mom's house, was squatting. I don't know where Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin lived. I think they both primarily lived with parents.

But where's the bling? Where are the cars? Where's the Rolls? I mean, that's a racketeering organization, ladies and gentlemen.

I mean, look at the instruction. I beg w look at the instruction. But also, use your common sense. Are these a bunch of chump change dealers, petty dealers from time to time, or is this a racketeering organization?

And to that degree, Shakedown. I mean, if Mr. Mitchell is this big time racketeer, why is he doing Shakedown? Now, they're going to say it's part of the drug conspiracy. I can tell you, nothing's further from the truth. Every witness who

2.0

2.1

came in here, who talked about Shakedown, and think of it what you will, but it was a sincere effort by Mr. Mitchell to get off the street. That's what it was. It's not evidence of being a racketeer. I mean, it was small time.

TM said he took the CD's and even brought them home, burned them on his home computer. And they just wrote Pure Shit on it with a marker. It was small time but it was an effort.

I mean, the time line, ladies and gentlemen. Talk about organization, a lack of organization. A continuing unit, the instruction says. 1994, Bo was 17, he's at Hickey. '96, '97, he's away at college. He doesn't even know Rock until 2001.

All the evidence is, is that they were doing this rap thing as a serious endeavor, or trying to. Martin and Mr.

Gardner were both away in jail. You heard evidence that Mr.

Martin got out one time from jail. He did a stretch of a couple years and he comes out and he goes and talks to somebody and says, you know, I need a racket.

But if he had an organization, if these guys were a continuing unit of racketeers, why doesn't he just roll right back into that? Because it didn't exist.

The McCaffity/Brown murders. You know, I hate to say this, ladies and gentlemen, because the man was killed. I don't know that Lisa Brown ever did anything wrong in her life other than know Mr. McCaffity, which would certainly be no sin. So her death is certainly a tragedy. But Mr. McCaffity was in the game.

2.0

2.1

Okay? And we heard from witness after witness. And when you're in the game, you could get killed for any reason or no reason.

He knew Rahman. He worked with the Rice brothers. Mr. Benson, Detective Benson said that he was known for robbing and killing people. And the evidence that we have that supports the notion that Mr. Mitchell killed this man are a bunch of phone calls between Mr. McCaffity and Mr. Mitchell.

I don't dispute whatsoever that those phone calls took place and they took, they took place that night, that day. I don't dispute those phone records at all, nor the records from the month before that, however long before that, that showed that these guys knew each other. So the fact that Mr. Mitchell and Mr. McCaffity are talking to each other that night is evidence of nothing. It's evidence of nothing.

I have a cell phone, ladies and gentlemen. If you looked at my cell phone records for the last month, you would see two sets of calls primarily. Ms. Rhodes, you would see on there, five times a day because we're talking about the case as we're working on it. My wife, because I call her at every break. We have a little baby. How's your day going? How's the baby doing? What are we having for dinner?

God forbid one of them ended up dead. But that's not a fact of any wrongdoing. It's proof that these people knew each other and talked on the phone. And that's all it is.

What the government does, ladies and gentlemen, has

2.0

2.1

done five or eight different times, is they make up these charts. And it's like the boogie man. Look, look at the chart. And they make Mr. Mitchell, it's like a mug shot. Look, he talked to Mr. McCaffity so he must have killed him. But that's not evidence of that at all.

They're going to fall back on this Hammerjacks thing.

Now, I'll be the first one to tell you that, again, the

government says, Well, we're not, you know, we don't really care

what happened there. It's the point that the Rice brothers put

out a hit on Mr. Mitchell and that spooked him. And he got word

that Mr. McCaffity was going to take the hit or do the hit and

kill him, and he jumped first.

You know what we call that, ladies and gentlemen of the jury? A big fat theory, lacking in any kind of proof whatsoever.

Did Mr. Mitchell get in a fight that night? Yes. Is there any evidence that he stabbed anyone? No. Again, the government overreaching.

They put the knife in evidence. It's only got Mr. Mitchell's DNA on it. If he stabbed somebody with that knife, wouldn't it have that person's DNA on it? The lab tech or the scientist said as much. And why would Mr. Mitchell's blood be on that knife? Because he got his face kicked in. If the cops didn't show up, he probably would have got killed that night.

Then they say, Well, there's people out there talking about the fact that there's going to be a hit up. The Rice

2.0

2.1

brothers are talking about this, they're going to put a hit out on Willie. No evidence this gets to Willie until after he's arrested.

They talked about Jaquetta Smith and they do an interesting thing. Jaquetta Smith, Willie told her about the fact that Woody, he heard that Woody picked up the contract after he was arrested on April 1st, when he was incarcerated.

The evidence that Mr. Mitchell knew that there was a hit out on him or knew that it was Mr. McCaffity who might have picked up this hit? I don't think that he even picked it up. Zero. You know why? Because there's no proof of it because it's a theory.

Watching the government present this case sometimes is like watching them trying to squeeze toothpaste back into the tube, because they're trying to just make every single piece of evidence fit with their theory whether it does or it doesn't.

And I will tell you that this doesn't.

What Willie told Jaquetta Smith after he got arrested is not proof, number one, that he knew about McCaffity having a contract out on him, because it's not even necessarily true, or that he did anything about it.

Well, then, we have the phone records. Well, the phone records prove nothing because, again, these people knew each other.

Compare that evidence, ladies and gentlemen, to the

evidence of the Spence homicide. Okay?

2.0

2.1

Now, that's what evidence in a murder case looks like.

Not some rumors in a phone bill. Not rumors in a phone bill.

Eyewitnesses, ballistics, caught right at the scene. What do we have here? Rumors about a contract that Willie may or may not have heard of and the fact that he talked to someone that, by everyone's admission, he knew, who came to visit him after he got knocked up and he was at home recuperating.

The Wyche brothers. Same thing, ladies and gentlemen. I don't say this to disparage them because I think it's a tragedy that any young men would be in this lifestyle or that they would get killed. But it's a dangerous game. We heard that. Darryl Wyche had been kidnapped on numerous occasions. That had nothing to do with Mr. Mitchell. How many times did he get kidnapped?

He owed, according to many witnesses, he owed his supplier \$100,000. That's dangerous, ladies and gentlemen, to be in this game, owe someone that kind of money, meaning as he's a target.

Now, Mr. Hanlon didn't talk about this whatsoever because he tries to just, you know, well, Mr. Mitchell said this. Mr. Mitchell said it then it's categorically false. But Jaquetta said that they were moving the night before the Wyche brothers got killed. He was home packing with her. He never left the house. That's what she said.

All right. Well, she went to bed at 9:00. Obviously,

he could have left the house. There's certainly phone traffic.

Again, I don't dispute that for one second, this phone traffic.

2.0

2.1

Mr. Mitchell says in his statement that he was setting up Mr. Wyche with someone that he knew. What is so unbelievable about that? Mr. Hanlon says, why would he do that? He said in a statement to the police, which he didn't have to give, that he was setting these people up and he was going to get a cut. That makes perfect sense to me. I mean, if he's a drug dealer, he's going to set these people up, save himself the time, and he gets a piece of the action. So he said that.

And the movie thing, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Hanlon finds unbelievable that he remembered the name of this movie.

But let me ask you this. If you play something in your life in context, doesn't it make it more easy to remember? Now, Mr.

Mitchell said, Jacquetta said they were moving the next day. So this was only two and a half weeks later. This isn't six months later. But certain things you remember.

I'm going to draw a bad analogy. I admit it's a bad analogy, but I was just sitting over there, thinking for a minute. I remember the day my son was born because it was only six months ago. The night before he was born, I remember exactly where I was. We had dinner. My wife and I had dinner at my partner's house. I remember everything about that night.

Now, if there was any other night, I wouldn't remember where I was May 17th, but it was the night before my son was

2.0

2.1

born. So I remember where we were for dinner and I remember half the conversation.

The date after my son was born, May 19th, John Lester of the Boston Red Sox pitched a no hitter. And I know that, the date, because it's in context. Now, granted, moving is not exactly like having a child. So I concede it's a bit of a bad analogy. But why would he remember that he was watching a movie? Because it's in context. He was moving the next day.

And ladies and gentlemen, the reason it's not unbelievable, it's believable, is because if he wanted to have an alibi, think of something better than I was watching a movie at home. You know, why didn't he say, I was with Jaquetta? We were up playing Parcheesi. He said, I was home watching a movie. There's nothing unbelievable about that.

Now, again, ladies and gentlemen, we have the phone chart. Two phone charts. Okay. Now, I just sort of walked you through and you've seen this. But Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Wyche were obviously talking that night. Mr. Mitchell explained that. What the government tries to do is they try to make the chart into sort of another bogeyman thing by saying it was a set-up because Mr. Mitchell was also talking to Mr. Gardner and Mr. Martin that day.

But look at the chart that they presented. These guys talked every day. Okay? I talked about my phone calls. If you looked at my cell records, it would be two sets of calls on

2.0

2.1

there, Ms. Rhodes and my wife. If God forbid Ms. Rhodes got killed, are you going to go look at my phone records and say, Jesus, Lawlor and his wife killed Ms. Rhodes? These are phone records. They don't prove anything.

Again, compare it to the evidence in the Spence case. Eyewitnesses. Ballistics testimony. Witnesses right at the scene. Is it that implausible that Willie Mitchell would be talking on the phone to Mr. Wyche, who he knew, like Mr. McCaffity, he knew the man? Darryl was his connect.

Again, why, it doesn't make sense why Mr. Mitchell would do this, anyway. Why would he kill his own connect all of a sudden? Him and Darryl are friends. There's no bad blood.

Mr. Hanlon tries to make references to some bad blood.

Back in 2000, which is just, it lacks any sort of content or context. Certainly would have nothing to do with what was going on in 2002.

But why would, all of a sudden, somebody he knows, somebody he's friendly with, why would he do that? Why would he kill that man then, all of a sudden for no reason? It's a robbery. Well, that doesn't make sense because you're giving up your connect. But okay. If it's a robbery, why don't they search, why don't they search the car? I mean, if he's a drug dealer, he's going to know that there's a stash. You don't just take the bag. The trunk, just open, open the console. Remember the McCaffity thing? The console was ripped off.

So if these are so tied together, why didn't they search the car? And it was right there in the open.

2.0

2.1

But who, who would have just taken the bag? Who would have known what was in the bag and taken it? Dwayne Denham would have. And, you know, he doesn't have any motivation to do this, you know, because he's a good guy.

Remember Mr. Ellington, the government's own expert?

He said that, you know, this happens all the time. And you know this. These murders are, sadly, all too common. Why wouldn't Deezo do this?

And if he's so, if he has nothing to hide, why did he lie before the grand jury? Why does he need a proffer letter? He said he doesn't know where the stash was in the car. He would have known what was in the bag. I mean, he lied on the stand. He says he doesn't carry a gun but he's protection. That doesn't even make sense. That's like Dobropolski. Everyone else carries a gun when they're not even protection. If you deal, you've got to carry a gun or somebody's going to take your stash.

That's why Willie had a gun. Again, I don't condone it. I don't ask you to condone it. I know these are sort of frightening concepts.

But why does Deezo lie about that? Why did he lie to the grand jury about the trip to Washington? Who went, when they went, what they sold. You know, the first time he said, Well, we didn't make any deal. Then the second time he says there was a

deal.

2.0

2.1

Seems to make perfect sense to me that he would know. He travels with Darryl. So he knows what's in the bag but he doesn't know, according to his own testimony, where the stash is in the car. So he doesn't think to look in the trunk. He grabs the bag and runs.

What are they going to say? The voice mail. Well, ladies and gentlemen, again, don't listen to me and don't listen to the government. Go back and listen to the voice mail yourselves. I implore you to.

What you'll find, I think, there's a few different things. One, it's unintelligible. And I think if you're honest with yourselves and you listen to that, to the degree that you can make out any words, if you would compare them to someone's voice, you can't. And the government presented all its inconsistent testimony about it, which I discussed and I won't discuss again because it's an effort to just throw a bunch of stuff against the wall, and we know some of it will stick.

But the final thing I'll say about that is the last thing that the government hangs their hat on about this tape.

They say, we know it's Willie because compare it to the phone records. He's there with Shelton because he says, I'm going to call your house, Shorty. And I'm paraphrasing. And then there's a phone call from Willie's cell phone to Shelton's landline, at I think Shelton's mother's house. But again, common sense. If

2.0

2.1

Willie's in the car with Shelton, why is he calling Shelton's house? Is he going to talk to his mom? That doesn't even make sense. But the government has, again, this tremendous ability to say things with such conviction that we just stop all belief and wonder.

But I still wonder why does Willie Mitchell, if he's in the car with Shelton Harris, why does he say, I'm calling your house, and call Shelton's house? He wouldn't. So that is just totally inconsistent and belies the fact that it's them on the tape.

But ladies and gentlemen, ultimately, I think you have to listen to that tape yourselves. And think of the quality of it, think of the inconsistent testimony you've heard, and think of the fact that there are other versions floating around out there.

You know, Agent Benson, when he testified before the grand jury, he said that there was bup, bup, bup, B-U-P. Here, though, the new version is buc, buc, buc, B-U-C. Why? Because Shelton's lyrics say, I'm buc'ing. So we got to tie it all together even if we got to change it up a little bit.

But ladies and gentlemen, I think if you look at the evidence of the involvement, again, compare it to the evidence of the Spence homicide and then think, what do we have? We have a voice mail tape that is unintelligible and we don't know who's voices are on there. And we have phone records. And then we

have two of the participants who have alibi witnesses. I'm not going to talk about Mr. Martin's alibi. Again, the government just, can't be, can't be possible. Don't believe Ms. McCoy because, you know, she's not a government witness, she doesn't have a deal, you can't believe her. I'm going to let Mr. Martin and his counsel speak for him on his alibi.

But the government's presenting this theory to you that's belied by credible evidence. Ms. McCoy is a credible witness. Jaquetta Smith is a credible witness. But they don't have deals, they haven't lied before the grand jury, so definitely don't believe them.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, I do have, I think I'm running a little long, candidly. But I will, I do believe I only have about five or ten minutes left.

THE COURT: Five.

2.0

2.1

MR. LAWLOR: Five. The lyrics. We could probably debate this all day, ladies and gentlemen. I think the simple thing I'm going to ask you to do is apply your common sense. I'm sure most of you have heard this, have heard music like this, some probably more than others.

The government wants you to say, well, it's all true and it's a confession, essentially, by Mr. Harris. And you got the Woody/Whoodi thing. Just one guick point on that.

Look at that line because to me that sort of encapsulates the whole issue. Mr. Hanlon says it's all true, so

2.0

2.1

believe it. Harris wrote Whoodi, dot-dot-dot, a 45. I killed Whoodi with a .45. Woody, they're trying to say. I killed Woody with a .45. I'm paraphrasing it. He didn't get killed with a .45. He got killed with either a .38 or a .357. So is it all true or is it not true? And it's not true because it's art.

And it may not be good art. You may loath it or you may love it, but it's art. And again, watching the government try to make those lyrics -- I'm going to let you go through them because, candidly, I don't have the time right now. But watching the government trying to tie those lyrics to this case, again, it's like watching someone trying to put toothpaste back in the tube because you're taking, "I shot someone, I deal crack."

Ms. Rhodes played one song in opening that was all about glorification of guns and drugs, and you know this. It's in movies. It's in video games. And it's in rap lyrics.

So look at them yourself. That's what I'm imploring you to do through all your deliberations in this case, ladies and gentlemen. I don't ask you to accept everything I've said right now. But I'd ask you not to take everything the government has said at face value either. I think they're asking you to do that. Just trust us. We're the United States government. Just trust us.

But, you know, these aren't just four defendants, ladies and gentlemen. And I think I'll wrap up with the flesh and blood stuff and how I would like you to approach this.

2.0

2.1

But you know, these aren't just four defendants.

That's Willie Mitchell, Shelton Harris, Mr. Martin, and Mr.

Gardner. These are four young men. And their fate is in your hands. I'll submit to you in 2005 what they did may have been foolish, may have been disrespectful, but I think what they were saying, and what I'm asking you right now is that this is not a defendant. This is Willie Edward Mitchell, II, a live flesh and blood man. His fate is in your hands. And that's what he stood up to say.

And you know what I say about that? The notion that that's criminal conduct is a joke. Okay? This is a courtroom. This is that man's trial. He had every right. It may have been a little disrespectful, a little discourteous. He may have disrupted the proceedings. But this man is on trial for his life.

And what I think he said is, hey, it's the entire

United States against Willie Edward Mitchell, III. I'm not a

racketeer. I'm not a murderer. I'm a live flesh and blood man.

I've been in jail for two and a half years. You had in me in

state court for two years. Now you rolled me over here. It's

been two and a half years and I've been sitting here. And now

you're calling me a defendant. I'm not even a man. I'm a

defendant.

And so that's what he stood up to say. Is that a crime, ladies and gentlemen? Even if they all said it. Okay.

2.0

2.1

It was a phenomenon that occurred in this courthouse, in this district. But I don't think it's evidence of conspiratorial conduct. I think it's applaudable. I am not a defendant. I am a live flesh and blood man.

And that's what I'm going to ask you to remember, ladies and gentlemen, during your deliberations, that that is not a defendant. This is a man, a live flesh and blood man who's being accused of hideous things. And there is not sufficient proof to convict him.

So I'd ask you when you go back there, don't accept the government's whitewash of the evidence. Look at it critically.

And I think when you look at it critically, you will acquit him of all counts, and I would ask you to do that. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lawlor. Ladies and gentlemen, we'll break for the day.

Mr. Lawlor, could you move the easel, please, or Ms. Arrington? Thanks. That's great for now.

What I anticipate, ladies and gentlemen, tomorrow morning I'd like to start promptly at 9:30. We had slight problems with the technology this morning that delayed things. But I think it's now in place.

I would like to start promptly at 9:30 tomorrow. We'll begin with Mr. Martin's closing argument. We'll go to Mr. Pyne, and then Mr. Kurland will have his say. The government will have a slight rebuttal, and that will take us through to lunch time.

Then we'll break for lunch. And then I'll have my instructions
for you on the law.

I don't anticipate that there will be time for you to begin your deliberations tomorrow. I suspect that that will have to wait until Friday. But we'll see how it goes.

In the meantime, continue to adhere to my instructions. Have no discussion about the case. Avoid any media reports of the case. Conduct no investigation. Continue to keep an open mind about all issues.

Please leave your note pads on your chairs. Have a pleasant evening. We'll see you at 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Have counsel had an opportunity to review the proposed verdict sheet? Okay. If you haven't, please do so quickly and get any concerns to me.

I anticipate that I will send you within the next couple of hours what I hope will be my final charge. And I'd like to hear from you as soon as possible if you are dissatisfied with any aspect of it.

Mr. Harding?

2.0

2.1

MR. HARDING: Judge, I don't know whether Mr. Lawlor did this deliberately. I will assume not. But he said --

THE COURT: Let's assume not.

MR. HARDING: Yes. He said his client was on trial for his life. And because of that, I'm going to ask that the Court

instruct the jury that this is not a death penalty case.
THE COURT: I don't think I need to do that, Mr.

2.0

2.1

Harding. It's a colloquial use and it is said sometimes. In fact, I'm pretty sure -- no, I'm not sure I told the jury that during voir dire. But I don't think the jury thinks for one second that this is a death penalty case.

MR. HARDING: I've had this come up before, Your Honor. I think there is a risk.

THE COURT: Well, of course, I've got the standard, punishment is not your concern.

MR. HARDING: I would ask that the Court, when it gives that instruction, simply insert a few words to the effect that this is not a death penalty case.

THE COURT: I see. In other words, you weren't asking me to do it first thing tomorrow.

MR. HARDING: No. It's fine if you do it during jury instruction.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll give some consideration to that.

MR. HARDING: Thank you.

MR. KURLAND: Your Honor, just as a scheduling issue. I have no problem going before lunch, the way that the Court set it out. Mr. Lawlor went about an hour fifteen. I'm planning on only going an hour but I would hope that, I know that if I'm going right at lunch and we go from 1:45, the jurors are going to

have their stomachs growling like everybody else, but I don't want to be cut off short or treated differently simply just because of an impending lunch. But my goal is to keep it to an hour. I mean, the Court, I assume, will give the instruction.

THE COURT: No. I never interrupt a lawyer who's arguing before lunch. Take -- credit Mr. Hanlon. He had the classic line. I never interrupt a lawyer before lunch because you really are the only thing standing between --

MR. KURLAND: All right.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: -- between the jury and lunch. Mr. Flannery?

MR. FLANNERY: Your Honor, I just wanted to bring to the Court's attention that I would like to submit, I can do it to you in an e-mail, going back to our charging conference, how we had discussed an instruction based on the pattern of racketeering activity, and that that does not satisfy the proof of the enterprise.

And I specifically referred to in Mr. Hanlon's opening, he references, the very first bullet point for the proof that there's an informal organization here was, he actually listed was the pattern of crimes that they had committed. And by making that presentation to the jury, we would suggest that we be able to ask for an instruction specifically along the lines that the pattern that any of these individuals may have conducted does not satisfy the enterprise.

THE COURT: Okay. If you can, just submit something either by e-mail or file it and I'll consider it. But I didn't think that Mr. Hanlon's Power Point went over the line. But I'll look at whatever you submit.

MR. FLANNERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Coburn, you're still here?

MR. COBURN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How wonderful.

2.0

2.1

MR. COBURN: Kind of Your Honor to say that. Just bringing up the rear here. I wanted to just very briefly tell Your Honor how strongly I agree with the Court's initial instinct with respect to Mr. Harding's request about that insertion in the instructions about this not being a death penalty case.

I'm aware of absolutely no basis for that. And I can tell Your Honor my own feeling about it, I mean, based on the cases I've tried, because Mr. Harding says, you know, he's encountered this issue before. I have never in my lifetime experienced or heard of that language being inserted in any charge in any case in the United States. And I would venture to guess it's never been done.

But, you know, in context, it's not going to make any sense to the jury and it's going to sound like, even though I know this would not be the Court's intention by any means, it's going to sound to their ear, since it's not going to make any sense in context, it's going to sound like this isn't that

```
214
 1
       serious a case.
 2
                 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Coburn. We are
 3
       in recess until 9:30 tomorrow morning.
 4
                 (Recess at 5:21 p.m.)
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Mary M. Zajac, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings in the matter of USA v. Willie Mitchell, et al., Case Number(s) AMD-04-029, on November 19, 2008.

I further certify that the foregoing pages constitute the official transcript of proceedings as transcribed by me to the within matter in a complete and accurate manner.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto affixed my signature this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2009.

Mary M. Zajac, Official Court Reporter

## \$

**\$10,000** [5] - 139:10, 179:6, 179:9, 179:20, 182:13 \$100,000 [1] - 199:16 **\$16** [1] - 123:20 \$1700 [1] - 61:2 **\$23,000** [2] - 94:4, 98:9 \$5,000 [1] - 114:13

'02 [2] - 93:9, 184:14 '80s [1] - 118:7 '90s [1] - 78:25 '93/'94 [1] - 33:10 '96 [1] - 195:10 '97 [2] - 65:24, 195:11 '99 [2] - 65:24, 73:10

## 1

**10** [8] - 22:13, 22:14, 28:5, 57:23, 58:1, 58:7, 58:9, 172:22 100% [2] - 115:11, 122:22 **101** [1] - 1:25 10:00 [1] - 124:9 10:27 [1] - 2:1 **11** [5] - 28:5, 57:23, 58:6, 58:7, 58:10 11th [1] - 130:19 **12** [6] - 58:11, 58:12, 58:17, 58:19, 171:13, 192:18 **124** [1] - 4:8 **12:00** [1] - 97:16 **12:07** [1] - 122:15 **12:08** [1] - 122:16 12:30 [2] - 95:22, 97:16 12:44 [1] - 116:4 **12:53** [1] - 101:10 **12:55** [1] - 101:4 **13** [2] - 58:11, 58:16 14 [6] - 22:13, 22:14, 58:11, 58:18, 165:14, **15** [2] - 58:25, 59:5 **150** [1] - 172:13

**15th** [2] - 60:19, 60:21

**17** [6] - 142:9, 142:11,

171:14, 171:16, 171:21,

17-year-old [1] - 172:2

**16** [2] - 56:2, 59:4

195:10

17th [1] - 200:25 18 [2] - 147:7, 172:23 18th [3] - 3:16, 59:18, 61.21 **19** [5] - 1:11, 42:14, 155:20, 166:22, 215:5 **1961** [2] - 20:25, 21:1 1988 [1] - 168:10 1990's [5] - 31:12, 35:17, 59:13, 77:13,

159:10 1990s [1] - 47:1 1994 [7] - 31:4, 35:24, 39:2, 171:13, 171:14, 193:9, 195:10 1996 [3] - 59:18, 60:8,

65:24 **1997** [3] - 60:9, 60:19, 105:10

**1997/'98** [1] - 73:7 **1998** [3] - 60:21, 61:3, 61:10

1999 [2] - 61:21, 61:23 19th [1] - 201:3 1:00 [1] - 28:6 1:30 [1] - 111:1 1:45 [1] - 211:25 1st [3] - 61:10, 107:23, 198:7

2

**2** [2] - 101:5, 101:6 20 [2] - 28:13, 182:4 2000 [11] - 35:24, 61:12, 63:12, 63:18, 65:1, 65:24, 73:11, 93:2, 168:10, 193:10, 202:14 2000/2001 [1] - 39:8 2001 [4] - 61:13, 73:11, 193:13, 195:11 2002 [32] - 30:10, 31:13, 31:16. 35:24. 37:9. 47:3. 55:22. 58:24. 65:8. 74:15, 76:12, 77:23, 77:25, 79:13, 79:25, 83:5, 83:8, 92:24, 106:18, 107:24, 109:6, 123:22, 124:9, 130:19, 131:6, 135:2, 143:17, 144:18, 145:21, 146:1, 159:16, 202:16 **2004** [4] - 16:22, 65:16, 117:23, 146:6

2005 [4] - 15:19, 16:22,

2006 [3] - 15:17, 39:2,

2008 [3] - 1:11, 190:23,

188:10, 208:4

145:24

2009 [1] - 215:11

215:6

21201 [1] - 1:25 21st [2] - 65:7, 191:24 22nd [2] - 65:16, 146:6 2320 [1] - 87:18 2322 [1] - 87:18 2324 [2] - 87:18

2338 [1] - 87:19 24th [5] - 92:23, 93:9, 93:15, 123:22, 124:9 25<sub>[2]</sub> - 28:13, 104:11 25th [1] - 92:24

3

30 [1] - 28:13 300 [1] - 105:17 350 [1] - 105:17 357 [8] - 59:3, 85:19, 106:6, 133:18, 133:19, 140:8, 142:22, 207:4 37 [1] - 172:21 38 [2] - 106:6, 207:4 380 [4] - 85:19, 88:10. 88:19. 106:5 39 [1] - 60:11 3:00 [1] - 111:4

4

3:35 [1] - 160:24

3:45 [1] - 29:1

**40** [35] - 59:3, 79:3, 98:19, 98:22, 108:10, 108:22, 109:1, 117:8, 130:18, 130:20, 133:18, 139:21, 140:5, 140:6, 140:7, 140:10, 140:13, 142:21, 143:10, 143:12, 143:14, 144:14, 144:15, 144:17, 144:25, 145:5, 145:12, 154:1, 154:2, 181:9, 181:11, 181:24 **41** [1] - 3:4 41-13 [1] - 3:5 **45**[7] - 65:17, 146:9, 146:15, 207:1, 207:2, 207:3. 207:4 4:00 [1] - 28:11

5

**5** [2] - 6:1, 170:5 **5.3** [1] - 105:12 **50** [7] - 62:5, 63:6, 63:7, 64:8, 169:5, 170:6, 191:25

**500** [1] - 179:14 **51%** [3] - 176:1, 176:6, 177:13 **55**[1] - 61:19 **5515** [1] - 1:24 5:00 [1] - 86:20

6

**60** [1] - 61:19 6th [1] - 61:3

5:21 [1] - 214:4

7

7-Eleven [3] - 13:14, 179:2, 179:4 70-something [1] -105:11 702 [2] - 10:9, 11:21 75 [2] - 165:13, 172:22 78 [1] - 60:10 7th [5] - 55:22, 58:24, 61:22, 77:23, 131:6

8

8 [4] - 57:25, 58:3, 123:20, 170:6 **80** [1] - 105:18 80% [1] - 12:15 80-some [1] - 105:18

9

9 [10] - 56:2, 57:23, 61:4, 107:24, 108:5, 108:8. 108:19. 108:21. 144:22 90% [2] - 10:12, 12:14 924(c [1] - 57:5 9:00 [1] - 199:25 9:30 [4] - 209:19, 209:22, 210:11, 214:3 9th [2] - 60:9, 105:10

## Α

A's [1] - 7:14 a.m [3] - 111:1, 111:4, 116:5 Aaron [6] - 52:10, 66:24, 77:14, 132:9, 132:22, 137:6 abandoned [2] - 97:15, 100:19

abets [1] - 57:9 abetted [1] - 53:13 abetting [7] - 50:25, 51:22, 52:1, 52:13, 52:16, 131:17, 134:4 ability [2] - 15:9, 205:3 able [26] - 12:2, 26:13, 29:10, 72:3, 78:16, 81:14, 84:18, 89:25, 90:3, 97:5, 97:24, 102:2, 110:2, 110:4, 110:22, 111:11, 124:8, 124:12, 124:24, 125:14, 129:10, 156:5, 160:18, 166:8, 212:22 ably [1] - 29:13

216

absence [3] - 26:18, 26:22, 29:10 absolutely [15] - 83:18,

96:17, 105:11, 105:14, 107:11, 107:20, 110:6, 110:12, 131:12, 133:21, 138:18, 157:10, 159:24, 179:11, 213:14

Absolutely [2] - 85:6, 141:15

absurd [3] - 39:19, 171:2, 171:21 abundance [2] - 41:9,

63:8 Academia [1] - 16:1

accept [3] - 10:1,

207:18, 209:10 acceptable [1] - 26:14 accepted [1] - 10:12 access [1] - 147:15 accidental [2] - 96:12,

accidentally [1] -105:12

96:14

accomplice [2] - 50:15, accomplices [1] - 48:25

accomplishments [1] -

According [2] - 70:18,

according [23] - 64:17, 77:10, 78:3, 90:10, 94:13, 94:15, 98:9, 98:11, 99:2, 111:3, 116:5, 127:2, 130:5, 145:23, 152:20, 154:21, 156:11, 172:13, 188:7, 188:21, 199:15, 204:4 accurate [4] - 33:13, 103:3, 104:1, 215:9 accusations [1] - 166:1 accused [4] - 164:6,

168:4, 171:12, 209:8

achieve [1] - 47:16

acquiring [1] - 63:16 acquit [1] - 209:12 acquitted [1] - 175:4 act [14] - 39:17, 41:20, 42:3, 42:16, 43:17, 44:2, 44:3, 44:12, 44:18, 53:5, 156:21, 156:22, 171:7, 184.7 acted [3] - 57:10, 106:23, 159:4 acting [1] - 157:7 action [3] - 111:8, 134:5. 200:10 actions [3] - 41:8, 49:2, 166:17 activist [1] - 15:1 activities [17] - 30:9, 42:19, 48:15, 63:13, 68:23, 68:24, 70:5, 72:4, 73:24, 74:3, 75:16, 92:10, 146:4, 149:19, 151:20, 157:3, 159:3 activity [29] - 32:7, 33:23, 34:19, 38:13, 39:14, 39:15, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 40:9, 40:12, 41:1, 43:3, 45:11, 49:21, 52:25, 60:25, 64:1, 66:8, 66:11, 67:15, 93:19, 122:3, 134:19, 145:24, 159:16, 169:18, 169:20, 212:16 acts [22] - 40:10, 40:15, 40:17, 40:22, 40:25, 41:5, 41:6, 41:10, 41:12, 41:18, 42:22, 43:1, 43:3, 43:7, 43:10, 43:13, 44:19, 47:11, 53:17, 54:19, 167:15, 173:25 actual [5] - 13:23, 14:3, 30:24, 52:6, 168:16 Adam [1] - 1:22 added [1] - 138:25 addition [4] - 15:13, 57:3, 88:6, 88:21 additional [4] - 12:11, 14:1, 62:23, 64:25 address [7] - 5:12, 162:24, 165:24, 166:22, 167:10, 168:16, 173:21 addressed [1] - 188:7 addressing [2] - 11:2 adhere [2] - 101:2, 210.6 admirable [1] - 192:14 **admiration** [1] - 89:12 admirer [1] - 89:8 admiring [1] - 88:9 admissibility [1] - 9:23

admissible [1] - 103:8 admission [4] - 7:12, 102:22, 102:25, 199:7 admissions [2] - 91:25, 149:16 admit [6] - 103:6, 109:8, 109:17, 112:6, 184:2, 200:18 admits [2] - 112:6, 153:22 admitted [7] - 13:9, 22:3, 69:18, 75:1, 75:2, 103:9. 188:5 admitting [2] - 8:15, Adopts [1] - 91:2 advance [1] - 88:19 advanced [3] - 51:12, 53:21, 141:25 advantage [2] - 64:20, 80:23 advantages [4] - 80:9, 104:18, 104:19, 105:4 adverse [1] - 26:17 advised [1] - 62:14 affair [1] - 180:22 affairs [8] - 33:22, 34:18, 38:12, 39:13, 48:14, 69:19, 72:6 affect [1] - 21:19 affected [2] - 34:11, affecting [1] - 52:22 affidavit [1] - 125:22 affiliate [1] - 20:18 affixed [1] - 215:10 aforethought [13] -2:13, 2:15, 3:4, 3:6, 3:7, 3:12. 3:14. 3:16. 3:20. 3:23, 4:1, 27:17, 57:10 afraid [1] - 67:20 African [3] - 15:19, 16:13, 150:20 African-American [2] -15:19, 16:13 African-Americans [1] -150:20 afterlife [4] - 91:15, 92:3, 154:16, 154:24 aftermath [2] - 83:8, 118:11 afternoon [7] - 24:11, 28:11, 29:21, 104:15, 104:18, 105:3, 161:6 age [3] - 169:22, 171:17, 172:3 agent [1] - 80:25 Agent [7] - 50:14, 80:24, 100:1, 143:3,

146:7, 150:3, 205:16

agents [1] - 156:6 aggressive [1] - 13:17 ago [9] - 11:12, 12:1, 23:5, 29:25, 119:15, 175:18, 188:13, 191:25, 200:21 agree [6] - 20:2, 39:23, 141:16, 146:13, 168:15, 213:11 agreed [6] - 18:11, 38:10, 39:16, 40:7, 40:20, 41:7 agreeing [1] - 40:8 agreement [15] - 39:9, 39:11, 39:13, 40:2, 41:20, 44:12, 44:16, 46:6, 46:20, 47:4, 48:4, 55:17, 173:4, 182:1 Agreements [1] - 47:13 agreements [2] -149:21, 172:13 ahead [8] - 11:10, 12:13, 14:1, 60:15, 106:5, 129:19, 134:11, 176:5 aid [5] - 32:5, 52:18, 52:20, 54:5, 93:18 aided [1] - 53:13 aiding [6] - 50:25, 51:22, 52:13, 52:16, 131:17, 134:4 Aiding [1] - 52:1 aids [1] - 57:9 aim [1] - 152:5 air [1] - 9:21 Akers [2] - 2:5, 26:4 al [1] - 215:5 albeit [1] - 98:17 alerted [1] - 112:14 alibi [25] - 109:15, 109:24, 111:7, 111:10, 123:10, 123:16, 123:17, 123:23, 125:2, 125:20, 126:3, 126:8, 126:17, 126:18, 126:23, 126:25, 127:8, 127:9, 128:10, 178:25, 201:11, 206:1, 206:2, 206:6 allegations [1] - 146:1 allege [1] - 36:22 alleged [6] - 36:3, 36:6, 41:2, 41:22, 55:11, 55:23 alleges [1] - 63:6 alliance [6] - 33:12, 37:11, 66:15, 66:16, 80:4 allow [1] - 158:20 allowed [1] - 158:12 allure [1] - 89:16 almost [2] - 74:2, 192:14

Document 698

alone [1] - 113:22 alternative [3] - 2:21, 54:10, 187:12 altogether [1] - 3:12 Altoona [6] - 63:18, 63:23, 64:15, 93:2, 172:23, 183:5 amazes [1] - 161:22 AMD-04-029 [2] - 1:6, 215:5 America [2] - 16:13, 187:9 **AMERICA** [1] - 1:5 American [3] - 15:19, 16:13, 181:7 Americans [1] - 150:20 Amity [2] - 65:7, 105:16 ammunition [1] -133:19 amount [4] - 60:13, 62:23, 114:14, 180:23 amounts [2] - 64:3, 193:3 analog [1] - 102:16 analogy [5] - 168:24, 169:25, 200:18, 200:19, 201:7 analysis [4] - 15:9, 99:9, 112:3, 115:22 analyzed [1] - 116:10 Andre [1] - 1:13 Andrea [4] - 131:23, 132:6, 132:7, 133:5 anecdotal [1] - 12:15 angel [2] - 163:20, 174:14 angle [2] - 84:23, 85:5 angst [1] - 93:6 announced [1] - 158:7 announcement [3] -103:18, 103:22, 158:18 answer [1] - 5:5 **Anthony** [7] - 41:16, 55:12, 58:18, 94:18, 96:19, 106:17, 159:20 anthropologist [1] -16:24 anticipate [5] - 23:3, 28:7, 209:18, 210:3, 210:16 anticipated [2] -126:10, 127:6 antics [3] - 31:17, 156:23, 160:5 anyway [4] - 4:20, 11:10, 126:25, 202:11 apart [2] - 171:6, 185:20 apartment [2] - 55:25, 134:2 apologize [6] - 3:24,

33:20, 60:18, 105:15, 105:24, 106:12 apparent [2] - 117:2, 120:1 appear [1] - 83:24 Appearances [1] - 1:15 appeared [1] - 16:3 applaudable [1] - 209:3 applied [2] - 8:19, 176:9 apply [4] - 102:7, 176:25, 177:10, 206:18 appreciate [4] - 25:19, 26:7, 27:5, 161:8 **Appreciate** [1] - 5:10 approach [2] - 154:8, 207:25 approached [2] - 69:14, 135:23 approaches [1] - 89:14 approaching [1] -109:24 appropriate [4] - 77:8, 77:21, 103:7, 107:8 approximate [1] - 88:7 April [5] - 14:21, 65:1, 107:23, 109:6, 198:7 archives [1] - 16:4 area [6] - 85:10, 85:12, 85:15, 97:14, 100:19, 139.4 areas [1] - 138:22 argue [1] - 21:11 arguing [1] - 212:6 argument [21] - 5:4, 8:6, 9:7, 10:3, 11:8, 26:6, 29:16, 36:12, 36:19, 119:8, 138:16, 154:8. 160:16. 161:20. 165:7. 165:10. 166:13. 166:16, 174:23, 179:14, 209:23 arguments [5] - 24:11, 29:14, 101:4, 104:19, 160.22 arises [2] - 4:6, 142:12 arm [2] - 61:5, 107:22 armed [8] - 41:2, 41:23, 41:24, 43:9, 44:20, 45:1, 47:3 Armed [1] - 41:22 army [1] - 153:10 arrangement [1] - 2:6 arrangements [1] - 93:8 arrest [16] - 48:22, 60:8, 61:8, 61:9, 61:13, 63:7, 66:2, 108:1, 109:6, 142:5, 184:12, 184:23, 185:2, 185:4, 185:7, 194:10

arrested [50] - 47:2,

48:25, 59:15, 59:19, 60:10, 60:19, 60:21, 61:4, 61:11, 61:17, 61:21, 61:23, 62:2, 62:9, 62:13, 63:12, 65:1, 65:17, 71:19, 74:22, 108:19, 109:12, 121:7, 121:21. 131:21. 131:22. 132:22, 133:2, 133:11, 133:13, 144:24, 145:7, 155:25, 172:20, 172:21, 172:23, 183:25, 184:22, 184:24, 189:4, 193:2, 193:5, 193:11, 193:14, 193:18, 193:22, 198:3, 198:7, 198:18 arrests [16] - 59:15, 59:17, 60:2, 63:10, 64:25, 65:6, 65:22, 65:24, 66:10, 93:2, 121:12, 145:22, 146:4, 184:18, 192:24, 193:1 **Arrington** [7] - 6:1, 6:12, 7:5, 18:15, 22:5, 105:2, 209:17 arrive [1] - 5:13 arrived [1] - 84:25 art [3] - 207:5, 207:6, 207:7 article [1] - 15:16 artists [1] - 103:4 aside [4] - 35:16, 86:14, 151:14, 174:17 asides [1] - 27:11 asleep [3] - 125:14, 126:6, 127:4 aspect [1] - 210:19 assassinated [1] -112:17 assault [2] - 155:21, 156:15 assaulted [1] - 156:10 assert [1] - 158:9 asserted [1] - 158:9 asserting [1] - 158:7 Asserting [2] - 158:9, 158:12 Assess [1] - 76:7 assess [3] - 177:4, 184:7, 192:19 assessing [8] - 42:22, 70:20, 74:18, 75:6, 75:12, 98:3, 157:4, 180:25 assessment [2] - 34:13, 34.16 assist [1] - 47:15 assistance [5] - 37:19, 47:18, 78:2, 81:18, 86:1 associate [3] - 20:19,

98:25, 157:16 associated [14] - 19:15, 19:20, 19:25, 20:9, 21:3, 21:6. 21:9. 21:15. 34:14. 38:10. 38:25. 69:12. 164:16, 193:12 associates [5] - 19:13, 37:1, 65:17, 69:9, 100:4 association [4] - 38:13, 38:14, 38:24, 167:21 associations [2] -32:14, 36:8 assume [7] - 14:5, 14:6, 14:9, 28:8, 210:22, 210:23, 212:4 assumed [2] - 3:22, 13:21 assuming [1] - 175:18 assure [2] - 50:22, 150:2 atmosphere [3] -151:16, 151:18, 155:11 attached [1] - 87:13 attachment [1] - 157:17 attempt [16] - 3:9, 30:23, 91:2, 98:4, 109:7, 117:7, 117:8, 126:20, 126:24, 131:25, 133:9, 148:21, 155:11, 156:19, 157:2, 159:1 attempted [4] - 44:20, 69:15, 92:7, 140:22 attempts [2] - 91:16, 133:8 attention [5] - 155:19, 161:8, 161:9, 165:22, 212:13 attorney [5] - 78:19, 138:12, 139:11, 139:15, 139:17 attorneys [4] - 32:2, 77:22, 78:4, 181:3 attribute [2] - 185:14, 191.4 attributes [1] - 191:4 audience [1] - 41:25 audio [2] - 2:6, 26:4 authenticating [1] -150:24 available [2] - 81:16, 146:5 **Avenue** [6] - 65:15, 89:11, 113:24, 146:6, 147:5, 147:24 Avoid [1] - 210:7 Avon [4] - 71:4, 71:18, 77:14, 77:16 award [1] - 75:8 aware [12] - 38:20, 50:23, 73:12, 82:7,

82:12, 96:10, 99:20, 102:20, 128:11, 139:14, 166:5, 213:14 В B's [1] - 7:15

Document 698

B-U-C [1] - 205:18 B-U-P [1] - 205:17 baby [3] - 193:10, 196:20 Backed [1] - 140:19 backed [3] - 115:19, 140:19, 190:12 background [13] - 17:2, 71:20, 84:11, 92:11, 92:12, 93:21, 122:9, 134:13, 134:14, 134:18, 138:3, 138:4, 138:7 backs [3] - 112:2, 144:16, 159:5 backup [1] - 140:8 backwards [1] - 25:19 Bacon [54] - 35:19, 60:21, 60:22, 61:3, 61:6, 61:8, 66:24, 69:5, 69:21, 70:6, 70:9, 70:10, 70:13, 70:18, 70:24, 71:2, 71:7, 71:9, 71:14, 71:19, 71:21, 71:25, 72:14, 72:21, 74:2, 75:15, 76:2, 76:16, 77:2, 77:9, 77:16, 78:1, 78:13, 78:14, 78:23, 79:10, 79:16, 81:8, 81:11, 81:23, 82:11, 82:19, 92:16, 118:23, 122:7, 128:12, 155:13, 159:11, 174:22,

Bacon's [9] - 70:2, 71:16, 72:20, 77:10, 78:4, 78:11, 81:14, 138:1, 155:14 bad [15] - 4:18, 13:5, 63:22, 63:23, 83:15, 83:16, 83:17, 93:1, 93:4, 161:13, 200:18, 201:6, 202:12, 202:13

178:11, 186:18, 186:19,

186:25, 193:19

BAF [1] - 14:21 bag [9] - 61:18, 94:7, 147:16, 202:24, 203:3, 203:4, 203:14, 204:3, 204:6

baggage [1] - 74:20 **Bair** [1] - 60:5 balance [1] - 26:7 balanced [1] - 25:20 balcony [2] - 133:25, 139:23 ballistics [1] - 199:4 Ballistics [1] - 202:6 balloon [1] - 152:9 Baltimore [9] - 1:12, 1:25, 59:19, 61:18, 66:1, 85:8, 100:19, 164:4, 184:7

banter [1] - 23:21 bar [2] - 128:22, 128:24 barely [1] - 158:15 Barnes [1] - 183:25 barricade [1] - 84:17 Barry [1] - 1:22 based [15] - 11:12, 11:14, 12:15, 14:2, 14:7, 14:16, 15:17, 103:3, 106:7, 162:19, 174:25, 175:1, 175:3, 212:15, 213:15 basic [3] - 34:4, 122:9,

137:24

basis [5] - 10:8, 10:9, 10:10, 147:7, 213:14 battery [1] - 105:1

battles [1] - 81:10 bay [1] - 114:13 Bear [1] - 190:21

bear [10] - 74:19, 75:5, 127:17, 164:11, 165:2, 173:12, 175:9, 177:17, 182:12, 184:6

beat [3] - 13:5, 25:4, 126:24

beat's [1] - 13:6 beating [2] - 78:5, 191:19

beauty [1] - 162:10 became [6] - 34:17. 39:4, 46:12, 82:12, 128:10, 137:23

become [7] - 33:20, 35:12, 38:10, 79:14, 82:7, 119:21, 137:17

becomes [9] - 95:23, 96:3, 96:10, 98:4, 118:12, 119:21, 134:14, 137:7, 146:5

becoming [2] - 39:19, 89:15

bed [1] - 199:25 beef [2] - 80:22

beefs [2] - 31:11, 81:2 beforehand [2] - 24:4,

43.24 beg [1] - 194:18

began [4] - 44:22, 72:11, 76:3, 171:13 begin [8] - 30:23, 33:18, 41:12, 89:1, 137:3,

162:3, 209:23, 210:4 beginning [11] - 29:24, 37:9, 48:20, 68:1, 86:20, 107:3, 134:25, 135:22, 136:21, 154:8, 160:3 Beginning [1] - 99:9 begins [5] - 31:24, 83:8, 134:24, 137:5, 138:5

Behalf [5] - 1:15, 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 1:21 behalf [3] - 29:21,

66:25, 179:10 behavior [1] - 193:16 behind [2] - 27:18,

beings [4] - 30:10, 83:5, 97:11, 157:7

Beirut [1] - 16:12 belied [3] - 172:25, 193:25, 206:8

belief [1] - 205:4 belies [3] - 163:1, 193:6, 205:9

believable [1] - 201:10 believes [5] - 23:22, 23:23, 83:18, 83:19, 156:20

Belinda [1] - 4:10 belive [1] - 10:24 belonged [1] - 65:13 **below** [1] - 71:5 belt [1] - 62:2

bench [3] - 23:8, 23:13, Bench [1] - 23:14

bender [1] - 175:21 beneficial [1] - 42:8 benefit [4] - 76:4, 136:15, 148:12, 178:12 benefited [1] - 70:4 Benson [6] - 100:1, 115:23, 122:15, 196:4,

bent [1] - 25:18 best [13] - 15:4, 23:10, 27:19, 31:23, 42:13, 79:23, 81:18, 97:5, 115:13, 127:16, 128:1, 193:16

205:16

BET [4] - 15:15, 15:21, 17:3, 17:7 bet [3] - 17:8, 106:9,

170:7 better [7] - 73:19, 81:17, 142:19, 149:13, 157:6, 165:18, 201:11 between [28] - 11:20,

46:6, 50:2, 50:18, 63:22, 73:14, 83:16, 86:15, 93:3, 93:4, 93:6, 93:12,

93:13, 95:14, 95:17, 95:18, 106:15, 107:12, 109:14, 109:21, 116:25, 129:22, 130:23, 136:18, 143:4, 196:7, 212:8, 212:10 beyond [23] - 17:2, 34:2. 34:3. 34:8. 41:9. 43:1, 45:5, 45:8, 45:21, 55:15, 66:10, 84:25, 90:20, 91:8, 91:22, 106:23, 159:21, 159:25, 175:2, 175:7, 175:13, 176:23, 177:15 big [4] - 93:17, 158:3, 194:23, 197:14 bill [3] - 124:16, 199:3 binder [1] - 147:2 birthday [4] - 125:5, 125:7, 125:9, 125:18 bit [34] - 24:12, 33:18, 33:19, 33:20, 41:25, 42:2, 42:15, 43:8, 43:21, 43:23, 46:14, 52:21, 54:4, 59:8, 61:6, 62:10, 62:22. 67:21. 74:12. 93:1. 93:3. 93:6. 104:23. 106:25, 121:2, 134:11, 147:4, 163:11, 164:13, 176:7, 178:16, 185:16, 201:6, 205:20 bitch [3] - 91:19, 154:25, 156:11 black [9] - 15:3, 16:21, 147:2, 150:18, 150:19, 150:21 Black [1] - 16:18 Blade [1] - 123:21 blades [1] - 61:22 blast [1] - 152:5 blasting [2] - 152:10, 152:11 bling [1] - 194:15 block [4] - 59:23, 67:20, 170.5 **blood** [14] - 63:22, 83:16, 83:17, 93:1, 93:4, 158:18, 197:21, 202:12, 202:13, 207:25, 208:8, 208:18, 209:4, 209:7 blotting [2] - 18:10, blower [2] - 12:6, 12:9 blowers [3] - 12:3, 12:8 blowing [2] - 91:18, **blows** [1] - 154:12 bluntly [1] - 182:22 **Bo** [40] - 86:23, 87:25, 88:17, 91:6, 93:10,

93:22, 94:12, 94:23, 95:2, 95:10, 95:18, 99:2, 100:18, 107:17, 128:6, 146:21, 150:10, 152:9, 152:10, 152:14, 152:19, 152:21, 152:25, 153:1, 153:11, 153:12, 153:13, 154:11. 154:12. 185:15. 185:17, 187:16, 187:17, 187:20, 189:9, 189:12, 190:17, 195:10 **Bo's** [11] - 81:24, 86:7, 86:11, 116:23, 150:6, 152:15, 187:20, 189:8, 189:9 board [1] - 67:24 bodies [10] - 79:4, 97:21, 98:21, 131:2, 140:6, 140:7, 140:11, 140:13, 145:13, 145:15 body [4] - 66:18, 120:14, 145:3, 145:13 bodyguard [1] - 112:24 bogeyman [1] - 201:20 boils [7] - 43:22, 53:11, 53:22. 55:6. 66:13. 100:10. 129:13 bolster [3] - 11:16, 12:12, 14:1 Bond [1] - 62:1 bonded [1] - 171:10 Bonnie [1] - 171:16 boogie [1] - 197:2 book [4] - 14:19, 49:4, 94:7, 192:16 bopping [1] - 154:12 borders [1] - 164:2 born [4] - 200:20, 200:21, 201:1, 201:3 Boroshok [1] - 143:3 borrow [1] - 139:10 boss [1] - 36:14 Boston [2] - 168:25, bothered [1] - 127:3 bought [12] - 62:19, 62:21, 71:16, 124:4, 128:9, 168:11, 170:2, 172:13, 178:6, 179:20, 183:9, 186:19 **boy** [1] - 123:5 **brags** [1] - 89:17 braids [4] - 131:22, 131:23, 132:17, 132:25 brains [4] - 91:19, 117:23, 151:7, 154:25 Brandy's [3] - 93:25, 94:18. 114:5 bread [3] - 133:12,

134:10, 164:9

182:4, 184:3, 196:19, 209:15. 210:1 break's [1] - 104:13 breath [1] - 24:20 Brian [1] - 146:8 brief [2] - 30:14, 35:17 briefly [4] - 8:21, 11:18, 21:24, 213:10 brilliant [2] - 12:2, 12:4 bring [13] - 26:1, 26:20, 48:23, 68:20, 69:1, 74:19, 81:6, 89:16, 91:2, 117:21, 131:5, 138:6, 212:12 **bringing** [2] - 39:12, 213:10 **brings** [3] - 74:24, 80:13, 83:4 **broad** [2] - 49:13, 167:12 broader [3] - 34:25, 46:17, 134:21 broke [1] - 106:14 broken [1] - 104:20 brother [5] - 92:8, 94:18. 95:4. 98:13. 112:17 brother's [1] - 113:23 brothers [19] - 41:24, 44:14, 44:21, 50:8, 55:8, 70:7, 74:13, 94:21, 98:7, 109:2, 110:18, 113:3, 117:14, 154:1, 196:3, 197:9, 198:1, 199:9, 199:22 brothers' [1] - 73:15 brought [7] - 30:12, 94:25, 112:13, 155:22, 155:24, 190:20, 195:5 Brown [17] - 41:15, 43:25, 44:14, 54:3, 57:23, 58:2, 58:6, 58:9, 83:7, 84:12, 84:19, 85:14, 87:21, 90:7, 159:18, 195:23 Brown's [2] - 84:21 Bryant [2] - 73:6, 111:21 **Bubbles** [1] - 81:11 buc [13] - 96:20, 96:21, 117:12, 117:13, 117:15, 205:18 Buc [2] - 117:12, 117:15 buc'ing [1] - 205:19 bucking [2] - 150:8, 150:10

break [17] - 18:17, 22:5,

28:2, 28:6, 28:12, 29:16,

69:1, 78:15, 99:12,

104:20, 105:4, 164:9,

bucks [1] - 124:23 build [1] - 58:21 **building** [1] - 134:2 built [2] - 12:7, 110:21 bullet [5] - 85:18, 97:21, 106:7, 143:21, 212:19 bullets [1] - 117:23 bump [1] - 135:12 bumped [1] - 135:11 bumps [1] - 135:2 bunch [4] - 60:11, 194:19, 196:6, 204:17 **bup** [3] - 205:17 burden [6] - 24:25, 174:10, 175:9, 175:10, 175:12, 175:13 buried [1] - 106:11 burned [1] - 195:6 business [48] - 13:12, 13:18, 27:12, 34:24, 47:8, 50:1, 50:13, 63:9, 63:14, 64:18, 68:13, 68:15, 69:22, 71:4, 71:24, 72:7, 76:20, 76:21, 76:22, 79:9, 91:5, 91:6, 92:15, 93:13, 107:9, 111:20, 111:21, 111:22, 111:25, 113:8, 114:15, 119:19, 126:23, 134:24, 135:17, 135:18, 136:12, 136:19, 137:22, 138:3. 148:4. 148:8. 152:15. 154:14. 154:15. 158:10, 159:12 **businesses** [1] - 76:24 busted [1] - 63:18 **busting** [1] - 150:19 buy [10] - 49:25, 62:21, 71:18, 77:7, 77:8, 124:17, 172:14, 180:11, 183:9, 189:12 buying [5] - 49:22, 71:15, 77:9, 168:22, 170:8 buys [1] - 125:25 С

cable [1] - 111:3 caliber [36] - 59:3, 65:18, 79:3, 88:10, 88:19, 98:19, 98:22, 106:5, 106:6, 108:10, 108:22, 109:1, 109:2, 117:8, 130:18, 130:20, 133:18, 139:21, 140:5, 140:7, 140:10, 140:13, 142:21, 143:10, 144:14, 144:15, 144:18, 144:25,

145:5, 145:12, 146:9, 146:16, 154:1, 154:2 calibers [2] - 143:13, 143.14 California [2] - 15:23, 172.14 Camden [1] - 169:2 candidly [4] - 164:11, 165:24, 206:13, 207:9 Candidly [1] - 173:18 cannot [2] - 80:7, 175:5 capable [1] - 165:19 capacities [1] - 31:5 capital [1] - 13:15 caps [4] - 60:20, 61:19, 63:17. 64:7 captain [1] - 152:15 capture [1] - 165:17 car [49] - 59:22, 60:3, 61:2, 62:2, 62:3, 62:8, 62:11, 79:20, 84:13, 84:15, 84:16, 84:24, 85:2, 85:3, 85:8, 85:11, 85:16, 85:18, 85:23, 86:23, 87:12, 89:24, 90:11, 91:13, 91:20, 97:11, 98:5, 98:10, 106:8, 107:23, 107:25, 114:14, 114:15, 117:25, 123:3, 125:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:12, 131:9, 154:23, 180:11, 202:22, 203:2, 203:13, 204:5, 205:1, 205:7 Card [7] - 68:8, 70:8, 72:9, 72:14, 72:16, 77:1, 80:20 card [5] - 123:19, 124:15, 124:20, 124:21, 124.23 care [7] - 5:16, 6:11, 82:13, 178:15, 178:17, 182:20, 197:8 careful [1] - 23:5 carefully [4] - 44:15, 123:23, 131:10, 137:10 Carlson [5] - 132:15, 132:16, 132:19, 133:7, 133:12 carried [13] - 43:18, 51:3, 51:8, 82:3, 98:15, 114:2, 117:2, 133:23, 134:9, 134:22, 138:1, 156:24, 163:22 carries [4] - 30:9, 136:13, 183:14, 203:16

carry [16] - 31:16,

41:20, 41:23, 44:13,

46:7, 50:16, 78:10, 94:7,

106:24, 107:13, 119:9,

158:10, 164:8, 203:15, 203:18 carrying [14] - 37:2, 37:8, 47:3, 73:9, 81:25, 91:24, 116:18, 128:4, 136:1, 136:14, 159:13, 159:14, 173:14, 183:15 **Carrying** [1] - 159:19 cars [3] - 91:14, 180:12, 194.15 cartoon [3] - 22:9, 91:17, 154:21 case [286] - 4:22, 4:23, 8:19, 10:7, 12:5, 12:23, 13:8, 17:1, 17:2, 18:12, 19:5, 20:16, 20:23, 21:11, 26:5, 27:6, 29:11, 30:4, 30:16, 31:1, 31:4, 31:15, 32:7, 32:15, 32:17, 32:22, 32:25, 33:2, 34:6, 34:8, 34:22, 35:11, 36:6, 36:12, 37:2, 37:13, 40:2, 40:24, 41:11, 42:4, 43:5, 44:8, 44:17, 44:25, 45:12, 45:19, 45:23, 46:7, 46:25, 47:12, 47:20, 47:22, 48:16, 48:24, 49:21, 50:2, 50:4, 50:6, 50:18, 51:1, 51:11, 51:12, 52:10, 53:13, 54:22, 55:23, 56:2, 59:9, 61:24, 62:7, 62:16, 63:8, 63:14, 63:20, 63:22, 65:6, 66:16, 66:19, 67:11, 67:12, 67:15, 68:1, 68:3, 68:23, 69:3, 71:6, 75:20, 75:24, 76:2, 78:9, 78:23, 79:25, 80:14, 80:17, 80:25, 81:6, 81:7, 81:11, 81:12, 81:14, 81:18, 81:19, 82:6, 82:9, 83:6, 83:11, 84:20, 84:21, 86:8, 86:10, 86:22, 88:24, 90:19, 92:20, 94:25, 95:25. 96:18. 96:25. 99:1, 100:6, 101:3, 103:7, 105:22, 105:23, 106:20, 107:10, 107:14, 109:10, 110:10, 111:9, 111:17, 112:3, 112:12, 112:20, 112:21, 112:22, 112:24, 114:25, 115:8, 115:20, 115:23, 116:9, 116:12, 117:3, 118:2, 118:3, 118:8, 119:13, 121:8, 123:1, 124:6, 126:2, 126:7, 126:9, 127:7, 127:12, 127:24,

128:4, 128:15, 130:17, 130:19, 130:20, 130:21, 130:25, 131:6, 131:20, 133:1, 134:13, 134:17, 134:20, 135:19, 136:20, 137:16, 138:8, 138:18, 138:25, 139:19, 139:23, 140:14. 141:22. 142:8. 142:13, 142:14, 142:15, 142:21, 143:6, 143:11, 143:13, 145:6, 145:15, 146:8, 146:23, 147:23, 148:2, 148:7, 149:10, 149:14, 149:22, 149:25, 150:11, 150:20, 150:21, 150:22, 151:6, 151:12, 151:13, 151:18, 152:20, 153:3, 154:22, 155:3, 155:10, 155:13, 155:18, 156:7, 156:18, 156:21, 157:2, 157:5, 157:25, 158:23, 159:8, 159:10, 159:23, 160:6, 161:12, 161:24, 162:4, 162:22, 163:6, 163:12, 163:24, 163:25, 164:2, 164:7, 164:13, 164:21, 167:13, 168:5, 171:20, 172:25, 173:9, 173:12, 173:20, 173:24, 173:25, 174:6, 174:9, 174:13, 175:4, 175:12, 175:13, 175:22, 175:23, 175:24, 176:2, 176:8, 176:9, 176:19, 176:21, 176:22, 177:1, 177:12, 179:16, 182:23, 183:1, 184:7, 185:19, 185:25, 192:20, 196:18, 198:13, 199:2, 202:5, 207:10, 207:17, 210:7, 210:8, 211:1, 211:6, 211:13, 213:13, 213:19, 214:1 Case [1] - 215:5 CASE [1] - 1:6 cases [9] - 12:9, 53:6, 99:7, 102:21, 143:25, 151:6, 156:19, 175:21, 213:16 cash [1] - 61:2 casings [4] - 85:19, 85:21, 98:21, 143:21 casual [2] - 168:1, 168:2 casually [1] - 166:12 categorically [1] -

199:21

categories [1] - 31:22

category [1] - 31:24

caucus [1] - 16:22

caught [2] - 165:1, 199:4 caused [1] - 55:1 causes [1] - 57:8 caution [2] - 178:17, 191.19 CD's [1] - 195:5 celebrate [1] - 118:1 celebrated [2] - 82:4, 154.14 celebrating [7] - 78:9, 117:14, 148:25, 149:1, 150:23, 153:12 celebration [4] -117:21, 152:14, 153:18, 154:3 cell [10] - 86:23, 95:23, 109:13, 109:14, 140:18, 156:6, 196:15, 196:16, 201:25, 204:24 center [2] - 62:4, 135:19 century [2] - 3:16, 191:24 CEO [3] - 13:2, 13:3, 36:14 certain [8] - 10:6, 17:23, 95:9, 102:21, 115:14, 115:15, 171:5, 200:17 certainly [26] - 9:1, 11:14. 12:13. 46:25. 47:4. 51:10. 64:22. 69:7. 69:10, 72:18, 112:21, 117:18. 141:4. 148:10. 148:17, 151:22, 161:18, 162:6, 163:7, 164:21, 168:6, 168:7, 177:2, 195:24, 195:25, 200:1 Certainly [6] - 5:11, 42:11, 64:1, 64:7, 99:13, 202:15 certainty [3] - 161:21, 163:6, 179:25 CERTIFICATE [1] certify [2] - 215:3, 215:7 chain [2] - 35:4, 36:11 chains [1] - 36:16 chairs [3] - 101:7, 160:21, 210:10 challenge [1] - 8:24 chance [4] - 2:16, 105:4, 105:25, 149:10 change [5] - 35:22, 103:13, 104:2, 194:20, 205:20 changes [2] - 5:21, 103:24 chap [1] - 184:1 Chapter [1] - 3:3 character [2] - 91:17,

178:25 characterizations [1] -163:8 characterize [4] -162:6, 162:7, 162:11, 171:15 characterized [2] -77:19, 162:5 charge [16] - 25:9, 31:25, 44:10, 52:19, 57:6, 78:5, 83:11, 92:5, 106:5, 124:20, 126:24, 155:22, 166:23, 170:18, 210:17, 213:19 charged [45] - 20:16, 33:23, 39:5, 41:1, 42:17, 43:5, 43:9, 44:1, 44:7, 44:20, 46:4, 50:4, 51:12, 51:16, 51:23, 53:5, 53:23, 53:25, 54:6, 54:11, 55:9, 55:18, 55:20, 56:13, 56:16, 58:4, 58:5, 58:10, 58:24, 60:22, 65:23, 67:13, 67:14, 76:16, 81:7, 106:22, 127:23, 148:9, 148:19, 155:21, 167:14, 170:24, 170:25, 173:14 charges [24] - 30:24, 32:9, 33:21, 46:1, 55:10, 55:11, 57:4, 57:14, 57:23, 58:1, 58:6, 58:16, 58:18, 58:25, 59:4, 71:20, 127:23, 137:19, 142:11, 166:22, 167:10, 167:12, 174:16, 175:4 charging [1] - 212:14 chart [4] - 197:2, 201:16, 201:19, 201:23 charts [3] - 82:22, 197:1, 201:16 cheaper [1] - 31:7 check [2] - 104:21, 111:1 Cheeks [1] - 179:23 Child [1] - 14:21 child [4] - 84:18, 176:15, 176:16, 201:6 children [2] - 84:6, 108:1 **choice** [1] - 113:4 choose [1] - 99:17 chooses [1] - 160:17 Chris [8] - 69:14, 74:11, 88:25, 89:4, 89:8, 89:9, Chuck [1] - 16:3 chump [1] - 194:20 **church** [1] - 193:15 cigarettes [1] - 179:4

Circle [2] - 84:17, 88:14 Circuit [1] - 21:18 circuits [1] - 103:7 circumstances [5] -29:11, 51:5, 107:17, 108:2, 165:8 circumstantial [1] -114:20 citizen [1] - 75:8 citizens [2] - 75:7, 80:7 city [5] - 85:12, 164:3, 164:11, 169:18, 169:20 City [5] - 59:19, 61:18, 66:1, 100:19, 184:7 civil [3] - 175:22, 176:8, 177:12 civilization [1] - 181:7 claimed [1] - 12:2 clap [1] - 152:24 clarification [1] -130:13 Clash [5] - 80:17, 83:23, 172:9, 172:10, 178:11 Clash's [1] - 173:4 class [1] - 16:8 classic [1] - 212:7 Claudus [16] - 82:6, 82:8, 82:15, 82:18, 118:9, 118:12, 118:20, 118:25, 119:4, 119:10, 119:19, 121:25, 122:6, 128:11, 187:23, 188:25 clean [1] - 119:6 clean-up [1] - 119:6 clear [37] - 9:11, 9:15, 10:1, 11:20, 12:14, 21:18, 66:2, 67:9, 76:5, 83:25, 85:5, 85:6, 86:9, 88:1, 93:5, 93:23, 95:11, 96:14, 96:17, 96:24, 98:19, 110:24, 111:17, 114:7, 121:16, 122:5, 126:19, 131:12, 137:20, 138:19, 153:9, 155:20, 157:13, 159:24, 176:11, 176:17 clearly [8] - 20:19, 45:1, 70:16, 92:6, 102:15, 107:13, 122:19 Clearly [2] - 14:17, 38:6 client [4] - 4:19, 23:20, 162:17, 210:24 client's [3] - 9:9, 164:22, 193:9 clips [1] - 153:10 cloaked [1] - 174:21 close [9] - 28:6, 68:23, 69:9. 113:10. 146:24. 149:22, 153:11, 153:13 closed [1] - 7:13

Document 698

closer [3] - 41:13, 61:7, 110:1 closest [1] - 102:16 closet [4] - 65:18, 146:11, 146:16 closing [16] - 5:3, 26:6, 29:14, 29:15, 29:19, 29:20, 101:16, 101:25, 104:19, 160:16, 161:20, 174:22, 179:14, 192:15, 209:23 clothing [1] - 133:16 clown [1] - 153:5 clowns [1] - 153:22 club [2] - 51:19, 51:20 Clyde [1] - 171:16 co [2] - 16:8, 47:16 co-conspirators [1] -47:16 co-teach [1] - 16:8 Coach [1] - 7:11 coached [2] - 186:3 Coalition [1] - 16:15 Coburn [7] - 1:22, 4:13, 5:6, 101:12, 104:1, 213:6, 214:2 COBURN [14] - 4:14, 4:16, 4:18, 4:20, 4:22, 4:25, 5:8, 5:10, 101:13, 101:18, 101:22, 102:4, 213:7, 213:9 cocaine [14] - 60:10, 61:20, 61:22, 62:3, 62:5, 62:12, 63:6, 63:10, 63:16, 64:5, 65:2, 65:9, 105:12, 105:18 cocktails [1] - 125:11 coconspirator [4] -13:9, 50:24, 52:12, 131:18 Coconspirators [2] -47:7, 49:13 coconspirators [4] -37:19, 141:3, 153:3, 154:5 code [1] - 121:5 codefendants [1] -123:12 coincide [1] - 46:13 coincidence [1] -185:24 coke [1] - 64:12 cold [1] - 161:17 collapses [1] - 126:8 colleagues [2] - 30:19, 105.9 collected [1] - 15:11 collecting [1] - 79:22 College [1] - 7:11 college [6] - 35:17,

47:23, 48:17, 171:6, 193:11, 195:11 colloquial [1] - 211:3 color [2] - 36:11, 45:15 colored [2] - 190:1, 192:10 combination [4] - 10:8, 90:22, 100:2, 100:3 combined [4] - 84:23, 85:7, 90:18, 90:19 Combined [1] - 90:18 combining [1] - 42:19 comfortable [3] - 26:3, 100:20. 171:19 coming [7] - 35:1, 81:17, 84:9, 88:12, 114:21, 154:9, 182:15 comma [1] - 20:7 command [3] - 35:4, 36:11, 36:16 comment [1] - 78:17 comments [2] - 24:14, 93:7 commerce [6] - 34:11, 37:23, 37:25, 38:7, 52:23, 142:24 commission [3] -53:14, 55:2, 57:8 commit [19] - 30:8, 31:6, 41:19, 44:11, 44:17, 46:23, 51:5, 55:3, 57:13, 98:20, 102:23, 136:11, 141:2, 141:16, 142:19, 167:15, 167:25, 168:3, 173:24 committed [14] - 2:22, 3:15, 13:24, 40:17, 51:18, 53:5, 53:13, 53:16, 90:17, 90:21, 93:17, 171:24, 173:15, 212:21 committing [5] - 39:20, 40:9, 57:5, 57:17, 58:8 common [25] - 35:1, 37:8, 43:10, 47:16, 51:20, 72:15, 76:10, 76:25, 77:14, 131:19, 144:2, 144:5, 159:13, 159:14, 167:22, 169:21, 177:22, 177:25, 178:18, 178:19, 192:18, 194:19, 203:9, 204:25, 206:18 commonality [1] - 99:7 communicated [1] -151:24 communication [1] -112.7 communications [5] -106:15, 109:18, 110:17,

127:13, 129:22

community [4] - 15:8, 89:13, 151:24, 153:8 Community [1] - 7:11 companies [1] - 116:1 company [6] - 12:25, 13:4, 34:24, 67:3, 67:12, 116:2 comparatively [1] -177:17 compare [9] - 75:13, 172:7, 172:25, 175:16, 175:19, 202:5, 204:14, 204:21, 205:22 Compare [2] - 173:7, 198:25 **comparison** [1] - 17:22 comparisons [2] -143:20 compartment [2] - 62:4, 114:14 complete [1] - 215:9 completed [1] - 2:7 completely [3] - 31:2, 113:6, 192:6 complicated [3] -40:13, 40:22, 42:14 comprehensible [1] -158:15 comprised [1] - 76:11 computer [3] - 101:18, 101:25, 195:6 concede [1] - 201:6 concept [7] - 34:25, 46:17, 50:24, 51:22, 137:24, 147:12 concepts [4] - 32:14, 50:23, 52:25, 203:21 concern [10] - 8:13, 10:25, 21:25, 26:5, 26:9, 50:17, 79:3, 119:1, 175:2, 211:10 concerned [4] - 25:10, 27:12, 84:5, 183:20 concerning [2] - 18:25, 162:16 concerns [2] - 84:10, 210:15 concerted [7] - 111:8, 146:3, 157:4, 157:23, 158:25, 159:2, 159:16 conclude [1] - 84:19 concoct [1] - 123:10 concrete [1] - 84:16 conditionally [2] - 8:15, condone [2] - 203:19, 203:20 conduct [23] - 47:1, 47:10, 47:18, 48:10,

49:6, 71:21, 71:22,

71:23, 144:1, 145:22, 145:25, 146:2, 157:4, 157:23, 158:21, 158:25, 159:9, 166:6, 167:2, 167:3, 177:18, 208:11, 209:3 Conduct [2] - 47:12, 210:8 conducted [2] - 62:3, 212:24 conduit [1] - 118:18 **conference** [5] - 15:19, 16:19, 23:14, 27:9, 212:14 **Conference** [1] - 16:18 confess [2] - 102:23, 109:9 confessed [3] - 62:18, 70:23, 179:22 confession [3] - 62:25, 103:1, 206:22 confessions [3] -88:25, 102:18, 103:3 confident [1] - 171:19 confirm [3] - 92:2, 109:9 confirmation [1] - 72:24 confirmed [4] - 98:22, 117:4, 126:20, 139:15 confirming [2] - 65:12, 90:24 confirms [3] - 72:20, 95:7, 109:3 Confirms [1] - 91:1 confused [2] - 3:19, 164:23 confusing [1] - 58:21 congressional [1] conjecture [1] - 175:2 conjoined [1] - 109:25 conjunction [1] -187:13 connect [4] - 15:9, 202:9, 202:11, 202:21 connected [8] - 38:16, 100:5, 112:5, 122:14, 122:17, 122:18, 144:2, 150:5 connecting [1] - 136:22 connection [8] - 50:17, 73:15, 117:7, 117:9, 130:22, 130:23, 143:15, 144:19 connections [5] -130:16, 142:5, 143:4, 143:8, 145:10 connects [2] - 134:23, 143:12

consequence [1] -

129:17 consequences [2] -151:25, 153:8 consider [28] - 10:13, 10:16, 42:22, 45:4, 46:11, 50:25, 62:17, 63:1, 63:24, 75:3, 87:25, 97:23, 98:5, 109:24, 115:16, 120:18, 120:20, 123:11, 124:2, 126:5, 126:23, 131:8, 137:18, 138:21, 140:2, 141:25, 182:11, 213:2 Consider [1] - 141:18 consideration [1] -211:18 considered [1] - 78:5 consisted [1] - 20:20 consistent [27] - 8:18, 19:24, 70:25, 75:15, 75:18, 75:19, 77:18, 87:4, 95:15, 95:18, 97:21, 99:23, 100:11, 107:5, 113:14, 115:21, 116:3, 116:12, 118:22, 120:23, 122:11, 126:1, 126:2, 131:23, 133:10, 136:12, 141:11 Consistent [1] - 74:2 console [3] - 62:4, 202:24, 202:25 Conspiracies [1] -68:21 conspiracies [10] -47:10, 49:1, 49:2, 51:11, 54:24, 82:22, 145:19, 149:20, 167:14, 167:16 conspiracy [185] -31:14, 31:25, 32:3, 32:9, 32:12, 32:13, 32:17, 32:19, 32:21, 33:17, 33:21, 34:5, 34:18, 35:21, 37:21, 37:22, 38:11, 39:10, 39:17, 41:19, 42:3, 42:4, 42:17, 43:9, 44:11, 44:12, 44:18, 45:6, 45:20, 46:1, 46:3, 46:6, 46:9, 46:10, 46:12, 46:16, 46:19, 47:5, 47:6, 47:8, 47:9, 47:12, 47:13, 47:19, 48:2, 48:3, 48:4, 48:6, 48:11, 48:12, 48:14, 48:15. 48:19. 48:20. 48:21. 48:23. 48:24. 49:3. 49:6. 49:9. 49:11. 49:12, 49:13, 49:14, 49:17, 49:18, 49:19, 49:20, 50:5, 50:7, 51:7,

51:8, 51:9, 51:12, 51:13,

51:17, 51:18, 53:6, 54:1, 54:2, 54:7, 54:9, 56:3, 56:9, 56:16, 56:21, 56:23, 57:25, 58:3, 58:15, 59:1, 59:10, 59:11, 60:23, 60:25, 61:11, 63:2, 63:4, 63:5, 64:9. 66:4. 66:9. 66:11. 67:11, 68:10, 68:14, 68:17, 68:19, 69:1, 69:11, 69:20, 69:23, 71:14, 72:3, 72:17, 72:19, 72:21, 79:15, 79:24, 81:5, 83:2, 86:13, 92:10, 92:15, 99:8, 107:2, 107:8, 107:11, 113:1, 113:15, 116:17, 119:5, 123:14, 127:18, 127:19, 127:20, 127:21, 131:4, 134:13, 134:23, 135:1, 135:9, 136:19, 136:20, 137:18, 141:1, 141:4, 141:16, 141:17, 141:23, 142:4, 144:1, 144:7, 144:13, 148:11, 148:22, 149:17, 149:18, 154:7, 156:24, 159:15, 160:2, 166:16, 166:19, 167:13, 167:15, 167:16, 167:24, 168:3, 168:12, 168:14, 168:23, 173:19, 173:24, 178:8, 186:13, 192:22, 194:24 Conspiracy [2] - 46:22, 49.21 conspiracy's [1] -168:18 conspirator [1] - 51:6 conspiratorial [2] -159:2. 209:2 Conspiratorial [1] -71:22 conspirators [6] -47:16. 49:15. 49:16. 169:14, 169:24, 170:10 conspire [1] - 167:25 conspired [4] - 43:5, 45:10, 106:23, 164:5 constitute [1] - 215:7 constituted [2] - 19:13, 20:7 constitutes [2] - 33:16, 38:23 construct [2] - 111:7, 155:11 construction [1] - 97:15 constructive [1] -147.13 consult [1] - 18:1 consultant [2] - 16:10,

18:3 consulted [2] - 2:5, 16:1 contact [6] - 89:2, 100:11, 100:14, 100:15, 109:16, 144:18 contacted [1] - 78:1 contacts [4] - 71:12, 86:15, 99:22, 109:14 contain [2] - 6:6 content [1] - 202:15 context [18] - 14:9, 14:23, 15:11, 32:13, 48:1, 53:1, 120:18, 134:21, 137:20, 151:17, 154:18, 155:3, 200:14, 201:5, 201:8, 202:15, 213:21, 213:25 Continue [3] - 101:2, 160:22, 210:8 continue [9] - 6:13, 14:14, 14:15, 29:7, 48:4, 101:1, 128:18, 129:14, 210:6 continued [4] - 16:6, 72:14, 73:12, 113:2 continues [4] - 31:16, 48:21, 145:23 continuing [13] - 35:7, 35:15, 46:21, 48:24, 73:10, 76:13, 76:15, 86:20, 113:16, 171:11, 172:15, 195:9, 195:19 contract [9] - 49:3, 81:21, 83:19, 84:8, 188:1, 198:6, 198:20, contradict [1] - 187:1 contribute [1] - 151:16 contribution [2] -14:23, 154:14 contributor [2] - 14:20, 16:14 control [5] - 25:23, 129:18, 129:21, 147:11, 147:14 controlled [1] - 183:9 controls [1] - 105:24 convenient [1] - 77:17 conversation [2] -95:21, 201:2 conversations [2] -94:11, 129:3 convict [5] - 162:19, 175:5, 177:5, 177:15, 209:9 convicted [3] - 121:8, 142:12, 147:8 conviction [4] - 142:25, 147:24, 176:18, 205:4

conviction's [1] - 143:1 convinced [6] - 43:1, 43:3, 43:16, 55:15, 56:24, 148:14 convincing [2] -176:12, 176:17 Cook [7] - 13:10, 22:8, 103:1, 149:7, 149:10, 151:18, 154:21 Cook's [2] - 11:2, 12:12 cooks [1] - 71:17 cooperating [2] - 50:15, cooperation [1] - 29:7 cooperators [1] - 74:18 coordinated [5] - 146:3, 158:6, 158:24, 160:5, 160:7 coordination [1] -159:9 copies [2] - 101:17, 101:21 cops [1] - 197:22 copy [7] - 5:23, 5:24, 10:23, 20:12, 101:15, 104:2, 104:3 copyright [1] - 102:3 core [8] - 35:19, 66:18, 66:20, 67:7, 68:4, 68:13, 69:13, 76:15 Corey [1] - 81:13 Corleone [1] - 36:15 corner [1] - 186:4 Corporal [1] - 62:15 corporal [1] - 62:18 corporation [7] - 13:1, 20:15, 34:24, 36:1, 38:18. 38:20. 39:20 correct [7] - 4:4, 92:25. 105:6, 105:11, 105:14, 106:1, 106:10 corrected [1] - 106:6 correspond [4] - 41:14, 56:12, 87:16, 88:5 corresponding [2] -115:25, 116:3 corresponds [2] - 42:5, 116:22 corroborate [4] - 109:9, 112:19, 126:5, 188:18 corroborated [14] -118:8, 122:6, 125:5, 139:19, 139:23, 140:1, 140:13, 140:15, 145:9, 149:25, 151:11, 179:13, 179:14, 179:16 Corroborated [1] -145:7 corroborates [2] -

139:8, 144:10

corroborating [4] -82:11, 90:9, 125:3, 145:18 corroboration [1] -90:15 Counsel [1] - 2:2 counsel [11] - 2:10, 7:1, 58:19, 102:21, 122:14, 163:4, 166:8, 172:18, 191:16, 206:6, 210:13 Count [51] - 31:24, 32:4, 32:9, 33:18, 33:21, 33:23, 33:25, 42:14, 42:16, 42:17, 45:3, 45:22, 45:24, 46:1, 46:4, 53:1, 55:9, 55:11, 55:20, 56:3, 56:4, 56:16, 57:19, 57:23, 57:25, 58:1, 58:3, 58:6, 58:7, 58:9, 58:10, 58:12, 58:16, 58:17, 58:18, 58:19, 58:24, 59:4, 59:5, 63:6, 64:9, 142:9, 142:11, 147:7, 148:9, 148:19, 155:20, 156:16, 167:14 count [21] - 3:24, 39:2, 42:21. 46:3. 46:14. 53:23, 54:11, 55:17, 56:3, 56:5, 56:25, 58:15, 58:17, 63:4, 63:5, 64:9, 66:9, 94:4, 105:11, 147:9, 173:18 counting [2] - 185:2, 185:3 counts [37] - 30:22, 30:24, 31:20, 32:8, 32:10, 32:11, 42:14, 44:8, 44:10, 46:4, 50:4, 50:20, 51:1, 52:18, 53:4, 54:5, 54:7, 54:10, 54:23, 55:7, 56:1, 56:11, 56:19, 56:22, 57:1, 57:19, 57:22, 58:3, 58:20, 58:23, 74:16, 106:22, 142:10, 160:11, 173:20, 174:1, 209:13 Counts [7] - 32:5, 52:19, 53:25, 55:7, 56:2, 57:23, 58:11 couple [29] - 2:9, 5:18, 12:9, 22:2, 47:24, 65:15, 71:2, 78:2, 80:18, 83:9, 87:17, 93:25, 94:3, 94:4, 105:5, 106:9, 119:15, 123:24, 138:2, 142:10, 162:14, 165:11, 166:4, 167:14, 173:3, 174:2, 195:15, 210:17 course [78] - 2:23, 3:12, 3:13, 12:18, 13:6, 13:22,

22:6, 22:21, 24:22, 29:8, 29:12, 30:3, 31:13, 31:18, 40:11, 55:5, 55:23, 55:24, 57:8, 57:18, 58:7, 58:17, 58:19, 59:5, 61:17, 63:7, 65:6, 65:10, 70:17, 71:13, 72:6, 72:17, 74:23, 75:4, 78:24, 79:24, 80:2, 81:25, 83:4, 84:11, 85:25, 88:11, 88:18, 99:20, 101:16, 112:25, 117:20, 119:6, 124:18, 125:3, 125:8, 126:8, 130:16, 136:13, 138:10, 139:8, 140:24, 142:2, 142:24, 143:25, 146:8, 146:23, 147:2, 148:1, 156:12, 156:22, 165:15, 165:20, 165:25, 166:13, 166:16, 168:7, 173:16, 174:9, 174:12, 188:3, 189:13, 211:9 court [15] - 6:12, 23:3, 24:20, 42:9, 44:22, 68:15, 68:20, 69:2, 155:22, 155:24, 157:3, 158:11, 165:18, 181:10, 208:20 **COURT** [134] - 1:1, 2:2, 2:19, 3:10, 3:25, 4:4, 4:15, 4:17, 4:19, 4:21, 4:24, 5:5, 5:9, 5:11, 5:16, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:15, 6:23, 7:1, 7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 8:1, 8:4, 8:20, 9:3, 9:6, 9:10, 9:23, 11:6, 11:14, 16:23, 17:6, 17:10, 17:14, 17:16, 17:19, 17:24, 18:4, 18:7, 18:14, 18:19, 18:22, 19:3, 19:7, 19:10, 19:12, 19:22, 20:3, 20:7, 21:4, 21:20, 21:23, 22:6, 22:12, 22:14, 22:17, 22:21, 23:1, 23:10, 23:12, 25:7, 25:13, 25:16, 25:23, 26:16, 26:20, 27:1, 27:4, 27:8, 27:10, 27:15, 27:18, 28:1, 28:3, 28:5, 28:12, 28:15, 28:18, 28:25, 29:3, 29:5, 42:6, 60:14, 67:19, 67:22, 99:11, 99:15, 99:17, 101:1, 101:9, 101:12, 101:17, 101:20, 102:3, 102:11, 103:14, 103:19, 104:7, 104:15, 104:22, 105:1, 128:19, 128:24, 129:6,

129:10, 129:14, 132:2,

113:10, 113:21, 114:3,

132:4, 160:12, 160:25, 161:3, 161:5, 176:5, 181:18, 184:10, 191:21, 206:15, 209:14, 210:13, 210:23, 211:2, 211:9, 211:14, 211:18, 212:5, 212:10, 213:1, 213:6, 213:8. 214:2 Court [26] - 2:14, 5:19, 8:3, 8:14, 9:15, 10:11, 14:3, 19:1, 102:25, 131:25, 132:14, 158:19, 158:20, 174:7, 174:8, 175:9, 177:16, 177:20, 178:9, 178:13, 178:19, 210:25, 211:11, 211:22, 212:4, 215:16 Court's [10] - 7:12, 14:2, 29:9, 67:21, 101:23, 173:22, 176:4, 212:13, 213:11, 213:23 courtesy [3] - 68:24, 88:22, 118:4 courthouse [2] - 158:3, 209:1 Courthouse [1] - 1:24 courtroom [23] - 10:5, 11:5, 11:15, 11:17, 23:21, 24:16, 29:4, 31:17, 60:7, 74:20, 74:24, 101:8, 101:11, 104:14, 156:22, 157:24, 158:4, 160:5, 161:4, 174:20, 178:7, 208:11, 210.12 courts [1] - 103:9 cover [2] - 105:16, 161:16 crack [19] - 61:19, 62:3, 62:5, 62:12, 63:6, 63:9, 63:16, 64:5, 65:2, 65:9, 71:8, 71:16, 71:19, 105:18, 105:19, 150:4, 150:5, 150:9, 207:12 cracks [1] - 89:9 crashed [2] - 84:15, 90:12 create [1] - 26:23 created [1] - 102:9 credentials [2] - 14:12, 14:16 credibility [11] - 75:4, 76:7, 163:10, 177:20, 177:24, 178:2, 178:14, 179:7, 181:1, 191:16, 192:11 credible [5] - 111:13, 115:15, 206:8, 206:9 credit [7] - 103:2, 123:19, 124:15, 124:20,

124:21, 124:23, 212:6 crew [7] - 91:3, 95:17, 95:19, 100:14, 106:16, 112:12, 114:23 crime [81] - 3:9, 41:21, 44:13, 46:7, 46:24, 51:3, 51:4, 51:9, 52:5, 52:9, 52:13, 56:5, 56:6, 56:7, 56:9, 57:2, 57:5, 57:11, 62:18, 84:18, 88:23, 89:25, 90:6, 90:11, 90:17, 90:21, 90:22, 91:24, 91:25, 92:7, 92:9, 92:11, 92:12, 97:7, 97:8, 97:9, 97:22, 97:24, 97:25, 98:15, 99:5, 99:6, 99:23, 100:23, 107:12, 107:13, 116:19, 116:24, 117:1, 118:1, 118:2, 118:11, 122:9, 126:25, 127:16, 127:21, 130:10, 130:11, 134:4, 134:9, 134:15, 134:22, 136:17, 138:7, 139:24, 141:3, 142:2, 142:14, 142:20, 145:12, 150:21, 152:22, 156:8, 159:21, 165:5, 167:25, 168:3, 169:13, 208:25 crime's [1] - 51:17 crimes [19] - 13:23, 37:9, 44:15, 44:17, 53:23, 74:21, 74:22, 102:23, 117:22, 141:24, 143:12, 144:2, 144:6, 149:5, 150:18, 168:3, 173:15, 174:1, 212:21 **CRIMINAL** [1] - 1:6 criminal [15] - 12:23, 39:17, 40:5, 47:9, 47:13, 69:1, 71:20, 74:21, 75:2, 75:22, 126:3, 134:19, 174:6, 176:18, 208:11 criteria [1] - 10:9 critical [7] - 17:12, 75:8, 80:11, 81:3, 188:20 critically [2] - 209:11, 209:12 criticism [1] - 12:18 criticizing [1] - 24:3 cross [7] - 13:22, 66:6, 74:23, 138:16, 138:22, 156:2, 179:5 crossed [2] - 164:17, 168.7 crosses [1] - 167:20 Crowe [1] - 1:20 crumbs [2] - 133:12, 134:10

crystal [2] - 85:6,

137:19 cue [1] - 158:6 culminated [1] - 136:13 culminates [1] - 31:16 **culminating** [1] - 76:12 culmination [1] - 24:1 cultural [1] - 16:24 culture [7] - 12:19, 15:1, 15:3, 16:8, 16:11, 16:12 curator [1] - 15:22 curious [1] - 191:17 currency [2] - 182:8, 189.5 customary [2] - 2:4, 94:7 **customer** [1] - 37:6 customers [6] - 13:16, 31:10, 59:24, 72:23, 92:17, 92:19 cut [2] - 200:7, 212:2 cutting [2] - 15:8, 111:24 CV [2] - 9:9, 11:3

#### D

damage [2] - 85:3, 85:4

damages [2] - 175:23,

Damita [8] - 5:21, 93:8,

93:24, 94:14, 94:21,

176:8

107:15, 113:3, 114:5 dance [1] - 83:15 danger [2] - 81:21, 84:8 dangerous [3] - 120:7, 199:12, 199:16 Darius [17] - 50:9, 55:25, 135:24, 135:25, 136:2, 136:6, 136:10, 136:11, 136:18, 136:24, 137:3, 137:4, 137:21, 137:23, 138:4, 138:9, 160:3 darn [1] - 149:22 Darryl [55] - 35:19, 41:16, 55:10, 58:16, 60:21, 63:21, 66:23, 66:24, 69:5, 69:7, 69:21. 71:9, 71:15, 71:17, 72:16, 72:23, 73:13, 77:1, 77:9, 78:1, 81:23, 82:11, 82:19, 92:16, 93:20, 94:11, 96:12, 96:19, 96:20, 98:8, 98:15, 100:17, 106:17, 107:16, 107:18, 109:14, 109:20, 110:18, 111:18, 111:19, 112:7, 112:24,

114:12, 118:23, 122:7, 128:12, 155:13, 159:20, 199:12, 202:9, 202:12, 204:3 data [4] - 87:12, 88:1, 88:4. 90:13 date [4] - 31:17, 165:6, 201:3, 201:5 dated [1] - 119:25 dates [1] - 92:24 Daubert [2] - 10:9, 11:21 Davey [2] - 8:11, 15:2 David [6] - 131:24, 132:7, 132:11, 132:16, 133:6, 133:13 Davis [1] - 1:13 days [4] - 47:24, 92:22, 94:5, 119:15 DC [2] - 15:18, 94:17 **DEA** [2] - 80:25, 152:6 dead [8] - 84:12, 84:15, 89:20, 89:23, 91:21, 97:11, 154:22, 196:22 deal [33] - 30:8, 30:16, 31:6, 47:24, 73:8, 73:17, 74:20, 79:18, 93:12, 100:19, 107:18, 109:3, 109:10, 112:2, 112:16, 112:20, 138:15, 139:8, 143:9, 148:20, 156:1, 189:6, 189:14, 189:23, 194:2, 194:4, 203:17, 203:25, 204:1, 206:5, 207:12 dealer [30] - 50:16, 62:20, 69:21, 70:18, 80:6, 81:13, 92:8, 92:13, 109:22, 110:7, 112:11, 112:23, 121:12, 121:17, 121:20, 135:4, 135:18, 136:6, 137:16, 141:23, 142:3, 183:14, 183:15, 185:9, 187:21, 189:9, 200:8, 202:23 dealers [9] - 65:23, 66:2, 70:3, 81:1, 92:7, 107:9, 110:11, 194:20 dealing [18] - 37:6, 49:22, 56:1, 57:22, 60:3, 63:16, 80:20, 116:1, 121:7, 121:8, 150:9, 156:4, 165:5, 167:16, 167:21, 170:24, 173:14, 193:3 dealings [1] - 185:15 deals [4] - 31:21, 64:20, 189:10, 206:10 dealt [6] - 69:5, 80:18,

121:22, 169:22, 194:5, 194.6 Deandre [1] - 132:10 death [12] - 57:9, 87:14, 94:1, 120:15, 120:19, 150:7, 154:23, 195:25, 211:1, 211:6, 211:13, 213:13 Death [1] - 153:16 debate [3] - 118:5, 138:15, 206:17 debt [2] - 79:22, 139:14 deceive [1] - 189:18 **December** [1] - 61:10 decent [1] - 30:11 deception [1] - 187:4 deceptive [1] - 166:3 decide [7] - 40:25, 45:18, 55:3, 173:18, 173:19, 173:23, 174:25 decided [4] - 29:9, 29:12, 29:19, 81:20 deciding [1] - 181:2 decimal [1] - 105:19 decision [7] - 8:11, 11:12, 55:19, 57:1, 103:13, 113:13, 187:9 decisions [1] - 177:23 decline [1] - 10:1 dedicated [1] - 152:17 Deezo [5] - 94:20, 114:19, 115:6, 203:10, 203:22 **Def** [2] - 12:21, 83:14 defeat [2] - 48:11, 48:14 defend [2] - 102:12, 103:19 defendant [40] - 25:8, 34:12, 34:14, 34:16, 34:17, 35:8, 38:9, 38:14, 38:16, 39:4, 39:23, 40:16, 41:7, 44:4, 47:22, 47:23, 49:7, 49:17, 51:7, 52:2, 53:2, 53:5, 53:8, 54:22, 54:25, 57:5, 57:8, 57:10, 132:21, 148:21, 154:24, 208:7, 208:22, 208:23, 209:3, 209:7 Defendant [4] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 1:21 defendants [120] - 2:4, 5:13, 11:17, 20:21, 20:22, 25:19, 30:25, 31:4, 33:2, 33:23, 36:7, 36:23, 37:4, 37:18, 38:22, 38:25, 39:16, 40:7, 40:20, 41:4, 41:10, 42:19, 43:5, 44:17, 45:7, 45:10, 45:23, 46:10, 47:14, 48:4, 48:5, 48:24,

51:10, 51:13, 51:15, 169:21 208:13 **DNA**[2] - 197:19, detail [5] - 54:4, 74:12, 51:24, 52:19, 53:12, deliberately [2] - 3:7, 89:18, 130:17, 163:3 discrepancies [1] -197:20 53:19, 55:8, 56:13, 210:22 detailed [2] - 124:8, 181:4 DOAR [2] - 84:14, 56:22, 56:25, 58:8, deliberating [1] - 162:9 128.2 discrete [1] - 82:24 115:24 58:13, 59:12, 59:15, deliberations [12] details [1] - 30:15 discuss [11] - 31:1, Dobropolski [27] -60:7, 60:22, 65:22, 66:1, 55:15, 129:19, 129:21, 66:19, 74:12, 126:11, 69:14, 74:11, 76:3, 76:4, detective [2] - 59:19, 66:14, 68:5, 69:6, 70:22, 162:3, 162:4, 166:5, 61:17 136:4, 164:21, 166:23, 88:25, 89:1, 89:2, 89:4, 71:6, 73:18, 74:5, 75:17, 166:6, 170:21, 177:18, **Detective** [4] - 59:21, 174:3, 177:19, 179:12, 89:8, 89:12, 89:14, 90:8, 75:20, 76:10, 76:17, 204:17 207:17, 209:6, 210:4 115:23, 122:15, 196:4 90:10, 90:15, 90:23, 76:23, 79:17, 81:15, 90:24, 91:3, 91:10, 92:1, delivered [1] - 190:23 **detectives** [1] - 109:5 discussed [6] - 2:2, 82:17, 91:8, 92:18, delve [1] - 162:15 35:11, 81:9, 116:25, 117:5, 155:6, 191:15, determination [1] -98:23, 99:25, 100:3, demand [1] - 124:8 204:16, 212:15 191:18, 192:3, 192:5, 175:3 100:5, 100:8, 105:22, demanded [1] - 158:18 discussing [4] - 30:23, 203:16 determining [1] - 45:4 106:20, 106:22, 107:1, demonstrate [1] -31:20, 82:19, 105:7 Dobropolski's [2] detract [1] - 141:20 107:2, 111:9, 112:12, discussion [14] - 18:21, 89:9, 117:3 107:17 developed [1] - 193:24 115:7, 119:13, 126:18, docket [1] - 9:3 18:23, 18:24, 52:8, demonstrates [6] develops [1] - 119:10 126:19, 127:15, 127:19, 83:21, 83:25, 101:3, document [2] - 18:15, 47:18, 76:18, 84:3, device [1] - 87:12 127:22, 140:11, 144:12, 112:4, 119:2, 119:10, 157:19 144:6, 149:24, 168:13 diamond [2] - 170:1, 144:19, 144:20, 145:13, 122:13, 149:8, 160:22, documents [12] - 89:10, demonstrating [1] -170:7 145:18, 145:22, 148:4, 210.7 103:25, 122:18, 124:5, 159:24 diamonds [4] - 170:2, 148:16, 151:25, 157:2, dishonor [4] - 82:24, 124:8, 124:24, 126:4, demonstrative [1] -170:4, 170:6, 170:8 157:9, 157:21, 157:24, 120:15, 120:19, 153:16 146:25, 157:18, 157:22, 102:9 die [1] - 85:23 158:6, 158:13, 158:17, disloyalty [2] - 120:4, 158:2. 158:4 Denham [22] - 69:8, died [2] - 87:16, 93:22 159:9, 159:20, 160:6, 120:12 Doe [1] - 175:22 73:13, 92:14, 94:16, difference [1] - 181:25 160:7, 160:10, 166:7, disparage [1] - 199:10 dog [1] - 152:6 94:20, 95:5, 95:7, different [27] - 11:24, 166:22, 167:11, 167:13, display [2] - 2:6, 67:25 dollar [1] - 182:2 112:21, 113:5, 113:7, 46:15, 57:12, 64:13, 168:6, 168:19, 170:24, 113:18, 113:20, 114:7, disproves [1] - 127:9 dollars [1] - 78:3 82:10, 97:4, 116:1, 182:12, 188:25, 207:23, 114:8, 114:16, 114:19, dispute [7] - 81:12, Dominican [2] - 62:20, 116:2, 125:23, 131:7, 208.1 115:2, 115:4, 115:6, 162:6, 163:8, 164:21, 70:23 137:15, 141:23, 154:18, **Defendants** [3] - 1:9, 122:22, 122:23, 203:4 196:8, 196:10, 200:2 done [31] - 3:6, 3:7, 156:6, 156:7, 162:19, 11:4, 101:11 15:18, 18:9, 18:12, Denham's [3] - 98:6, disputed [1] - 12:25 166:1, 167:1, 167:14, defendants' [4] - 36:25, 18:15, 32:5, 45:1, 50:4, 114:3, 114:12 disrespectful [2] -170:6, 171:5, 190:22, 47:1, 146:4, 159:3 denied [2] - 119:19, 208:5. 208:13 52:20, 57:7, 57:17, 69:2, 192:8, 192:25, 193:1, defense [19] - 6:5, 6:21, 128:15 disrupted [1] - 208:14 73:23, 88:22, 111:20, 197:1, 204:11 30:20, 66:5, 102:21, department [1] - 84:25 dissatisfied [1] - 210:18 112:20, 113:8, 121:21, differently [1] - 212:2 105:9, 111:10, 112:20, deposited [2] - 157:11, 141:22, 142:9, 146:7, distinct [1] - 32:16 difficult [2] - 41:11, 112:22, 113:2, 114:11, 156:13, 156:18, 159:18, 157:16 102:14 **distinction** [1] - 40:22 123:17, 124:17, 126:4, 165:3, 167:4, 167:5, depositions [1] - 4:17 difficulty [3] - 67:24, distribute [7] - 32:9, 127:9, 140:21, 158:12, 173:20, 197:1, 213:20 deprive [1] - 44:25 42:5, 42:18, 46:1, 46:8, 139:10, 160:13 163:4, 191:16 Donte [1] - 60:6 Deputy [2] - 2:5, 26:4 56:10, 56:24 dinner [5] - 87:6, defenses [4] - 111:10, doors [3] - 78:15, descent [2] - 30:1, 30:2 distributed [1] - 64:5 196:21, 200:22, 201:1 158:7, 158:9 84:24, 181:15 describe [3] - 33:7, distributes [1] - 66:25 dire [5] - 9:17, 14:14, defensible [1] - 8:22 89:25, 96:8 distributing [2] - 63:17, dot [3] - 207:1 14:15, 175:17, 211:5 define [4] - 153:18, dot-dot-dot [1] - 207:1 described [16] - 13:2, directed [1] - 101:21 64:12 175:15, 176:13, 177:17 dots [1] - 15:9 70:10, 70:11, 70:14, Distributing [1] - 77:6 direction [4] - 95:6, defined [3] - 3:6, 40:9, doubt [29] - 34:2, 34:4, 71:3, 71:7, 71:14, 71:21, 113:14, 132:14, 132:15 distribution [9] - 46:7, 47:10 72:25, 80:17, 80:20, 34:8, 41:9, 43:2, 45:5, 56:3, 68:6, 71:4, 74:5, director [1] - 15:21 defines [1] - 49:6 45:8, 45:9, 45:21, 55:16, 81:12, 105:10, 118:23, dirty [8] - 108:13, 80:9, 111:18, 167:15, definitely [3] - 165:12, 122:4, 135:11 84:25, 90:20, 91:9, 108:14, 108:22, 117:8, 168:21 184:20, 206:11 91:22, 91:23, 106:23, describes [6] - 69:24, distributors [3] - 77:13, 131:2, 145:1, 145:2, degree [17] - 2:20, 2:21, 136:6, 147:1, 158:15, 69:25, 72:5, 73:2, 74:7, 170:7, 193:24 145:15 43:14, 43:15, 43:18, 159:21, 159:25, 175:3, 132:11 disagree [1] - 19:19 district [2] - 170:1, 44:2, 44:3, 44:7, 54:12, 175:8, 175:14, 176:23, describing [3] - 90:5, disagreement [1] -209:2 54:15, 54:16, 57:16, 177:6, 177:8, 177:9, 90:6, 90:17 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:2 18:24 65:23, 194:5, 194:22, 177:15 description [5] - 33:13, disappear [2] - 48:12, ditch [3] - 52:15, 117:8, 204:13 down [33] - 4:12, 5:3, 106:3, 110:7, 117:16, 144:25 48:13 delayed [1] - 209:20 24:23, 26:1, 31:10, 131:23 diving [1] - 15:2 disavow [2] - 48:11, delete [1] - 7:2 43:22, 53:12, 53:22, designed [2] - 12:8, **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 deletion [1] - 18:9 55:6, 59:22, 62:9, 66:13, 109:17 discharged [1] - 133:16 divorcing [2] - 21:5, deliberate [2] - 43:20, 68:22, 78:15, 79:21, desperate [1] - 133:8 21:6 discourteous [1] -

Document 698

90:5, 90:12, 91:5, 97:17, 100:10, 102:17, 126:16, 129:13, 132:14, 153:4, 153:21, 165:19, 169:1, 169:21, 184:1, 184:3, 190:18 dozens [1] - 64:7 draw [5] - 26:17, 54:17, 140:23, 168:24, 200:18 drawing [1] - 41:24 drinks [1] - 125:11 driven [2] - 107:11, 133:24 driver's [2] - 84:22, 91:12 driving [5] - 62:8, 87:20, 87:23, 107:23, dropping [1] - 151:7 drops [1] - 162:16 **drug** [108] - 30:6, 31:14, 31:21, 32:11, 33:4, 33:9, 42:4, 49:5, 50:1, 50:2, 50:5, 50:12, 50:16, 50:18, 53:16, 56:6, 56:9, 56:16, 56:20, 57:25, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:10, 61:15, 61:16, 62:8, 62:20, 63:2, 65:23, 66:2, 69:21, 70:2, 70:12, 70:14, 73:17, 73:22, 73:24, 74:2, 74:10, 76:20, 76:21, 76:25, 77:18, 78:16, 79:8, 80:9, 80:13, 80:15, 80:25, 81:12, 92:7, 92:8, 92:13, 93:13, 93:18, 94:17, 98:25, 100:18, 105:7, 107:6, 107:8, 107:9, 107:11, 107:18, 109:22, 110:7, 110:10, 111:16, 111:17, 112:11, 112:16, 112:23, 113:17, 121:7, 121:8, 121:12, 121:17, 121:20, 122:2, 135:4, 135:18, 136:6, 136:20, 137:16, 141:23, 142:3, 159:10, 159:14, 159:24, 160:7, 165:5, 167:15, 168:21, 169:18, 169:20, 183:13, 183:14, 183:15, 187:21, 189:9, 192:22, 193:1, 194:24, 200:8, 202.22 **Drug** [2] - 50:12, 80:5 drug-driven [1] -107:11 drug-related [1] - 70:14 Drugs [1] - 38:1 drugs [60] - 30:8, 31:6,

31:8, 37:7, 49:22, 49:23, 49:24, 49:25, 50:6, 50:7, 59:25, 60:4, 62:11, 62:19, 63:5, 65:13, 73:3, 76:10, 77:7, 77:12, 80:18, 93:16, 94:7, 98:10, 98:14, 98:16, 114:13. 121:14. 121:15. 121:22, 130:2, 130:4, 130:12, 136:7, 142:4, 159:22, 163:21, 164:1, 169:22, 170:24, 172:14, 172:15, 172:21, 173:14, 183:6, 183:9, 184:2, 184:4, 184:11, 184:25, 185:4, 185:9, 186:19, 189:12, 193:4, 207:14 dry [2] - 33:19, 33:20 dual [2] - 102:12, 103:23 duct [1] - 137:13 **Duganne** [6] - 63:13, 64:4, 183:5, 183:7, 183:22, 193:20 duration [1] - 39:6 durations [1] - 112:5 during [73] - 29:15, 30:1, 30:3, 31:18, 35:17, 39:1, 40:11, 47:1, 49:22, 55:2, 55:4, 55:24, 57:7, 57:13, 57:18, 58:6, 58:13, 58:16, 58:18, 59:5, 61:17, 64:1, 64:11, 65:6, 65:9, 65:23, 66:6, 70:6, 71:13, 72:17, 73:6, 77:13, 78:24, 79:6, 80:3, 81:23, 82:12, 84:7, 88:7, 89:10, 94:19, 101:16, 108:5, 109:7, 117:4, 118:23, 125:8, 125:16, 125:17, 127:1, 127:2, 130:18, 136:7, 136:23, 139:9, 140:24, 142:2, 143:11, 143:14, 145:12, 146:8, 146:23, 150:11, 161:20, 165:10, 165:20, 166:9, 173:16, 179:14, 193:11, 209:6, 211:5, 211:16 **During** [1] - 31:12 duty [1] - 156:20 Dwayne [18] - 69:8,

73:13, 92:14, 94:16,

112:21, 113:5, 113:7,

114:3, 114:7, 114:12,

114:16, 115:2, 115:4,

94:20, 95:5, 98:6,

122:22, 203:4

#### Е

e-mail [7] - 8:3, 8:9, 18:20, 101:15, 212:14, 213:2 ear [1] - 213:24 early [11] - 33:10, 59:13, 63:18, 69:6, 69:25, 70:1, 73:10, 92:15, 92:23, 92:24, 174:8 easel [5] - 67:18, 68:5, 132:1, 161:2, 209:16 easier [1] - 101:25 easily [1] - 98:14 easy [6] - 38:1, 50:10, 64:22, 114:11, 200:14 edge [1] - 15:8 Edgecombe [2] - 84:17, 88:13 edited [1] - 14:21 editing [1] - 26:10 editor [1] - 14:24 educated [1] - 13:19 Edward [2] - 208:7, 208:17 effect [8] - 21:11, 37:23, 37:24, 48:20, 88:24, 91:2, 103:1, 211:12 effectively [2] - 88:22, 90:24 efficient [1] - 156:5 effort [4] - 166:2, 195:2, 195:7, 204:17 efforts [2] - 117:4, 123:10 eight [2] - 192:7, 197:1 Eight [12] - 32:9, 41:1, 41:14, 42:17, 45:24, 46:1, 46:4, 56:3, 56:17, 57:19, 63:6, 64:9 eighth [1] - 78:16 either [7] - 3:20, 67:4, 171:6, 193:10, 207:4, 207:20, 213:2 Either [1] - 129:10 element [11] - 2:13, 2:15, 37:18, 37:22, 38:8, 39:10, 39:13, 55:17, 147:24, 148:2, 175:6 elements [15] - 33:25, 34:9, 35:20, 45:3, 45:6, 45:20, 46:9, 52:21, 54:8, 54:18, 63:3, 142:14, 142:25, 147:9 eligible [1] - 181:12 eliminate [1] - 113:13 eliminating [1] - 31:8 Ellington [3] - 50:14,

80:24, 203:7

emailed [1] - 102:10 emerges [5] - 33:1, 66:18, 70:1, 72:15, 76:9 emerging [1] - 37:13 emphasis [1] - 162:7 emphasized [1] - 155:9 employed [4] - 34:14, 34:15, 35:9, 35:10 encapsulates [1] -206:25 encountered [1] -213:17 encyclopedias [1] -15:2 **End** [1] - 27:9 end [14] - 5:20, 21:18, 40:24, 48:23, 72:21, 84:17, 90:12, 91:6, 92:19, 113:11, 114:18, 115:22, 133:11, 154:9 endeavor [1] - 195:13 ended [6] - 104:13, 108:20, 123:25, 144:23, 156:7, 196:22 ending [1] - 87:19 ends [2] - 87:21, 142:8 Enemy [1] - 16:3 enforcement [1] - 116:9 engage [9] - 33:22, 34:18, 38:11, 39:10, 40:7, 40:8, 40:25, 42:3, 45:10 engaged [5] - 39:18, 40:19, 43:2, 52:24, 168:21 engagement [1] - 39:24 engaging [3] - 37:4, 74:9, 87:23 engineer [2] - 12:1, enter [1] - 11:4 entered [1] - 11:17 entering [2] - 132:17, 132:19 Enterprise [2] - 32:13, 46:18 enterprise [96] - 18:25, 19:14, 20:7, 20:8, 20:13, 20:16, 20:20, 21:8, 31:15, 32:1, 32:3, 32:11, 32:18, 32:19, 32:21, 33:16, 33:22, 34:10, 34:11, 34:15, 34:18, 34:20, 34:22, 34:25, 35:5, 35:7, 35:9, 35:10, 35:12, 35:20, 36:4, 36:5, 36:10, 37:13, 37:20, 37:24, 38:10, 38:12,

39:4, 39:14, 39:18,

40:10, 40:11, 40:15,

40:19, 40:25, 41:6, 43:2, 44:5, 45:9, 46:17, 52:22, 52:24, 53:3, 53:9, 53:11, 53:15, 60:24, 60:25, 66:5, 66:8, 66:11, 67:10, 67:12, 67:16, 68:14, 68:15, 69:11, 69:19, 76:9. 76:18. 79:14. 79:24, 81:5, 83:2, 86:13, 148:11, 148:15, 148:19, 148:23, 149:17, 149:18, 159:3, 164:4, 170:25, 171:13, 172:5, 172:8, 172:11, 173:16, 173:19, 173:24, 212:17, 212:25 enters [3] - 29:4, 104:14, 161:4 entertaining [1] -119:23 entertainment [2] -12:20, 153:15 Entertainment [12] -12:24, 19:16, 19:23, 20:14, 20:16, 20:23, 21:14, 36:3, 45:14, 45:19, 67:2, 67:7 entire [9] - 3:19, 35:10, 39:1, 72:18, 113:22, 125:8, 125:12, 135:17, entities [1] - 21:3 entitled [1] - 162:20 entity [19] - 19:15, 19:20, 19:22, 19:25, 20:9, 20:15, 20:22, 21:4, 21:6, 21:9, 21:15, 36:1, 67:1, 68:10, 148:5, 148:15 entry [1] - 61:11 envelopes [4] - 157:9, 157:11, 157:13, 157:15 environment [1] - 85:7 epilepsy [1] - 27:2 **Epps** [1] - 130:19 equivalent [2] - 36:4, 67:13 **Eric** [2] - 80:17, 172:8 **Ernest** [4] - 33:9, 69:5, 72:5, 80:19 errors [1] - 105:5 escape [3] - 52:6, 52:15, 133:10 Esquire [10] - 1:16, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 1:19, 1:19, 1:20, 1:21, 1:22, 1:22 Essence [1] - 15:16

essence [1] - 100:9

41:15, 44:21, 50:20,

essentially [13] - 35:1,

148:23, 165:12, 172:25,

51:19, 53:20, 54:18, 56:8, 112:23, 126:21, 142:1, 142:13, 206:22 Essentially [2] - 53:11, establish [1] - 126:21 established [1] - 34:8 establishes [1] - 53:18 establishing [1] - 59:12 estimate [1] - 104:10 et [1] - 215:5 evaluate [3] - 149:14, 182:18 evaluating [6] - 62:16, 63:1, 139:18, 141:19, 149:23, 182:20 evening [11] - 86:18, 87:1, 92:23, 95:11, 95:15, 107:19, 114:6, 123:22, 125:8, 210:11 event [4] - 139:7, 153:20, 160:18, 189:3 events [4] - 149:5, 162:12, 190:22, 191:25 everyday [1] - 177:25 evidence [194] - 10:14, 27:6, 30:15, 30:21, 31:2, 31:3, 32:15, 32:16, 32:25, 33:2, 34:1, 34:3, 34:8, 35:11, 40:14, 40:16, 41:3, 41:8, 41:13, 45:7. 45:18. 45:22. 46:13. 47:14. 47:22. 48:16, 48:18, 48:21, 49:8, 51:14, 52:10, 52:15, 54:3, 59:6, 59:7, 59:9, 59:10, 59:20, 60:7, 60:15, 63:8, 63:24, 64:2, 64:18, 65:3, 66:10, 66:11, 66:13, 66:16, 66:19, 66:21, 67:15, 68:3, 68:11, 73:14, 79:23, 81:25, 82:2, 85:14, 86:1, 86:10, 86:22, 87:9, 87:11, 88:15, 88:18, 90:19, 90:20, 93:12, 95:25, 96:16, 99:4, 99:5, 99:8, 100:6, 103:8, 106:3, 106:19, 106:22, 106:25, 107:2, 107:19, 108:17, 108:24, 110:13, 110:25, 111:14, 113:18, 114:18, 114:20, 114:21, 115:16, 115:20, 116:18, 118:8, 118:10, 119:4, 122:8, 123:1, 123:11, 124:6, 124:17, 125:15, 125:20, 126:22, 127:11, 127:16, 127:25, 128:16, 129:18,

130:10, 130:25, 131:13, 132:9, 133:1, 133:21, 140:3, 143:22, 143:24, 145:17, 148:3, 149:14, 151:6, 151:11, 151:15, 152:20, 155:4, 155:10, 156:23, 157:1, 157:4, 157:15. 157:22. 158:23. 158:24, 158:25, 159:21, 159:25, 160:6, 160:22, 161:13, 161:23, 162:5, 162:7, 163:6, 163:9, 166:1, 166:23, 168:5, 168:12, 170:14, 171:2, 171:20, 171:25, 172:24, 174:12, 175:1, 175:25, 176:12, 176:18, 177:3, 177:4, 184:21, 185:8, 185:11, 188:4, 190:16, 192:19, 193:25, 194:1, 195:3, 195:12, 195:14, 196:5, 196:13, 196:14, 197:4, 197:16, 197:18, 198:2, 198:8, 198:16, 198:25, 199:1, 199:2, 202:5, 205:22, 206:8, 209:2, 209:11 evident [1] - 141:4 evidentiary [2] -148:17, 158:21 evidently [3] - 85:15, 107:22, 125:9 Evidently [1] - 117:13 evolved [2] - 137:22, evolves [1] - 137:11 evolving [2] - 136:10, 160:3 Exactly [1] - 17:24 exactly [19] - 3:10, 5:24, 9:13, 30:2, 68:2, 70:24, 88:5, 103:25, 116:22, 118:1, 118:2, 139:2, 139:24, 139:25, 140:9, 184:13, 200:21, 201:6 examination [7] -12:17, 32:2, 62:6, 66:6, 138:16, 138:23, 156:2 examined [3] - 13:22, 74:23, 143:19 examiners [2] - 143:19, 143:23 example [11] - 12:10, 52:7, 76:1, 81:17, 81:19, 82:6, 90:4, 142:19, 157:6, 179:21, 187:2 examples [10] - 70:6, 71:2, 71:21, 76:19, 79:16, 81:9, 150:1,

162:14, 182:24, 192:8

exceed [2] - 27:22, 27:23 except [4] - 11:17, 23:21, 112:2, 141:7 exception [2] - 97:2, 124:19 exceptions [1] - 146:19 exchanged [2] - 76:24, **exchanges** [1] - 79:5 exchanging [1] -159:12 exclude [1] - 9:16 excuse [2] - 117:8, 189:6 excused [2] - 5:7, 101:6 execute [2] - 113:8, 134:1 executed [4] - 52:11, 61:18, 65:5, 142:2 execution [4] - 85:17, 85:24, 92:8, 133:23 Exhibit [1] - 146:10 exhibit [13] - 5:19, 5:22, 6:2, 6:4, 6:8, 6:9, 6:14, 6:22, 6:24, 7:3, 7:9, 15:24, 185:23 exhibits [8] - 6:6, 6:16, 6:17, 7:3, 7:23, 21:25, 22:2, 60:15 exist [4] - 48:5, 48:21, 49:6, 195:20 existed [4] - 34:10, 45:9, 46:10, 52:23 existence [6] - 38:17, 38:23, 39:1, 47:12, 47:19, 68:18 existing [1] - 37:3 exists [4] - 48:3, 110:13, 111:14, 170:19 exits [2] - 101:8, 210:12 exonerations [1] -102:21 expanding [1] - 113:17 expect [4] - 27:21, 30:11, 98:11, 164:8 expectation [1] - 76:4 expected [2] - 53:15, 126:15 expects [1] - 95:20 experience [6] - 12:8, 12:16, 14:7, 16:13, 103:4, 119:18 experienced [4] -61:15, 82:15, 156:3, 213:18 expert [21] - 8:11, 8:15, 9:24, 10:1, 10:7, 10:12, 11:23, 11:24, 12:5,

13:12, 13:14, 14:7, 15:1,

17:1, 17:20, 17:25, 18:3, 24:4, 24:5, 102:14, 203:7 expert's [1] - 11:21 **expertise** [11] - 8:14, 8:16, 8:18, 8:24, 10:6, 11:25, 12:12, 14:1, 14:6, 15:12, 17:23 experts [1] - 102:18 explain [3] - 6:19, 109:18, 110:17 explained [2] - 115:13, 201:18 explanation [3] -109:25, 113:11, 120:24 explanations [1] -121:3 express [1] - 9:12 expressed [2] - 26:4, 79:3 **expressing** [1] - 118:25 extended [1] - 137:9 extensively [1] - 149:3 extent [1] - 66:24 exterior[1] - 85:3 extreme [1] - 12:10 eyes [3] - 163:18, 174:20, 180:2 Eyewitnesses [2] -199:4, 202:6

### F

face [2] - 197:22, 207:20 faced [1] - 98:1 facing [1] - 31:1 fact [63] - 14:14, 19:15, 19:20, 19:25, 20:9, 20:11, 21:3, 21:6, 21:9, 21:16, 25:8, 41:8, 41:9, 42:20, 48:18, 48:23, 51:4, 66:22, 69:20, 70:4, 73:18, 74:7, 82:2, 83:17, 84:3, 84:23, 86:20, 97:20, 97:23, 102:25, 110:15, 112:15, 115:14, 115:18, 117:14, 119:5, 119:23, 120:3, 124:22, 126:6, 126:12, 135:10, 140:23, 142:1, 142:2, 148:20, 150:12, 151:15, 160:1, 162:25, 164:23, 165:23, 177:8, 184:22, 188:24, 196:12, 196:23, 197:25, 198:6, 199:6, 205:9, 205:14, 211:4 factors [3] - 11:21, 11:22, 13:19 facts [6] - 138:20,

173:5, 174:3 fail [1] - 162:24 fails [3] - 110:19, 113:6, 126:25 failure [1] - 187:4 fair [2] - 25:20, 26:23 fairly [6] - 97:20, 99:18, 134:12, 155:15, 156:4, 177:21 fake [2] - 60:4, 155:8 fall [3] - 154:25, 166:15, falling [1] - 139:22 falls [2] - 173:18, 185:19 false [6] - 13:21, 14:6, 109:24, 110:16, 139:3, 199:21 families [1] - 171:10 family [11] - 33:8, 36:15, 37:11, 66:15, 66:17, 80:4, 86:8, 113:9, 120:18, 125:10 fan [1] - 168:25 far [5] - 4:20, 101:4, 124:24, 168:19, 181:1 fascinating [2] - 149:6, 163:7 faster [1] - 50:22 fat [1] - 197:14 fate [3] - 166:25, 208:3, 208:8 Father [1] - 14:21 father [1] - 15:7 fathom [1] - 182:5 fear [2] - 151:17, 155:12 featured [1] - 15:15 February [1] - 83:8 federal [3] - 56:7, 57:12, 103:7 Feds [1] - 151:2 feed [1] - 26:4 feeding [1] - 72:16 fell [3] - 125:14, 133:25, fellows [1] - 81:9 felonies [5] - 2:23, 54:23, 56:17, 56:18, 58:5 Felony [1] - 54:20 felony [22] - 2:11, 2:13, 2:15, 2:22, 2:24, 3:4, 3:15, 4:7, 27:12, 27:17, 54:13, 54:20, 54:22, 55:2, 55:3, 55:5, 55:16, 55:23, 57:16, 57:18, 57:20 felt [2] - 83:20, 107:22

female [2] - 154:23,

157:16

Document 698

fender [1] - 175:21 few [19] - 14:25, 23:5, 60:9, 61:2, 61:7, 61:21, 87:15, 87:18, 92:22, 96:6, 109:6, 124:23, 130:14, 142:8, 143:6, 150:1, 174:7, 204:11, 211.12 Few [1] - 133:21 fiction [6] - 118:7, 150:12, 153:19, 153:20, 154:3, 192:16 fiddle [1] - 67:25 field [2] - 14:7, 18:3 fields [1] - 11:24 fifteen [1] - 211:23 fifth [3] - 53:7, 92:4, 154.17 Fifth [1] - 38:19 **fifths** [1] - 146:14 fight [5] - 25:24, 83:9, 83:11, 83:15, 197:15 fighting [1] - 161:17 figure [5] - 15:7, 68:7, 105:17, 112:16, 112:22 figures [3] - 67:4, 151:13, 182:3 file [2] - 158:2, 213:2 filed [2] - 9:8, 9:11 filing [2] - 157:8, 158:2 filled [1] - 147:3 film [3] - 24:13, 125:18 final [7] - 22:2, 156:21, 156:22, 177:19, 191:13, 204:19, 210:17 finalizing [1] - 6:4 Finally [6] - 44:19, 58:23. 114:12. 117:20. 127:11. 206:12 finally [5] - 53:7, 55:1, 121:4, 159:23, 194:7 fine [9] - 25:12, 29:2, 65:21, 104:4, 104:12, 122:25, 161:3, 176:5, 211:16 Fine [1] - 99:15 finger [2] - 151:9, 151:13 fingerprints [2] -107:13, 152:22 fingers [1] - 150:14 finish [1] - 170:22 fire [2] - 84:23, 84:24 firearm [20] - 56:6, 56:14, 57:3, 57:6, 57:10, 57:24, 58:1, 58:13, 58:25, 61:2, 61:5, 106:3, 106:6, 108:10, 108:22, 142:12, 142:14, 146:25, 147:6, 147:8

firearms [15] - 56:25, 57:14, 57:22, 58:8, 58:17, 58:19, 99:4, 99:5, 117:16, 130:16, 130:22, 142:23, 143:1, 143:18, 145:10 first [36] - 2:20, 2:21, 3:11, 19:17, 23:5, 24:24, 28:10, 28:19, 29:15, 31:24, 32:10, 35:20, 38:13, 43:14, 44:2, 44:7, 54:11, 55:16, 57:16, 59:13, 59:20, 60:6, 69:20, 73:22, 74:5, 76:22, 83:9, 89:1, 97:9, 167:13, 185:24, 197:7, 197:12, 203:24, 211:15, 212:19 **First** [6] - 28:16, 43:18, 53:10, 54:15, 123:23, 127:12 fit [4] - 11:25, 112:5, 190:3, 198:16 fits [3] - 30:21, 31:2, 183:15 Five [4] - 55:7, 55:10, 206:15. 206:16 five [9] - 30:10, 32:7, 34:9, 83:5, 124:13, 165:8, 196:18, 197:1, 206:14 fixed [1] - 27:16flag [1] - 36:13 flags [1] - 82:23 Flannery [4] - 1:19, 21:23, 29:13, 212:11 FLANNERY [6] - 21:24, 22:7, 22:13, 22:16, 212:12, 213:5 flat [2] - 152:19, 187:3 flaw [1] - 177:3 flesh [6] - 158:18, 207:24, 208:7, 208:18, 209:4. 209:7 flexibility [1] - 82:21 Flexibility [1] - 77:19 flip [2] - 77:16, 106:13 flip-flopped [1] - 77:16 floating [1] - 205:14 Floor [3] - 2:7, 38:19 floor [2] - 83:15, 98:21 floors [1] - 151:7 flopped [1] - 77:16 flow [1] - 82:22 fly [2] - 82:23, 150:6 focus [6] - 2:19, 136:17, 136:24, 137:3, 138:9, focusing [1] - 137:4 folder [1] - 147:2

folks [4] - 168:20, 178:12, 178:15, 193:22 follow [1] - 56:2 following [4] - 32:4, 57:19, 107:21, 113:15 follows [2] - 14:24, 53:24 foolhardy [1] - 161:24 foolish [1] - 208:5 fools [1] - 151:3 **FOR** [1] - 1:2 forbid [3] - 188:3, 196:22, 202:1 force [3] - 44:24, 161:21 foregoing [1] - 215:7 forensic [3] - 99:4, 140:2, 151:8 forensics [3] - 91:25, 98:19, 109:1 foreseeable [3] - 4:5, 4:6, 51:10 forever [1] - 48:5 forged [1] - 157:18 forget [2] - 10:4, 180:8 Forget [3] - 46:21 forgive [1] - 120:11 form [5] - 34:5, 35:19, 46:20, 90:3, 137:15 formal [6] - 20:15, 35:3, 46:18, 46:19, 167:22, 170:17 formed [2] - 48:4, 68:10 Forrester [1] - 62:1 forth [6] - 81:2, 87:9, 91:1, 138:18, 143:21, 166:14 fortunate [1] - 105:25 fortunately [1] - 105:21 forward [2] - 4:24, 15:3 forwarded [1] - 188:8 **foundation** [2] - 9:7, 15:10 four [28] - 27:20, 28:23, 33:23. 55:8. 58:13. 62:21. 92:4. 106:20. 124:13, 124:18, 146:14, 154:17, 157:6, 159:20, 162:19, 164:3, 166:7, 166:10, 166:11, 167:1, 169:9, 169:11, 189:7, 190:22, 207:23, 208:1, 208:3 Four [2] - 7:19, 54:1 four-fifth [2] - 92:4, 154:17 four-fifths [1] - 146:14 fourth [4] - 18:20, 19:1, 19:6, 34:15 Fourth [2] - 21:18, 53:5

Fox [5] - 110:4, 110:22,

110:24, 111:1, 111:6 fragments [3] - 85:18, 106:7, 143:21 frame [18] - 27:23, 31:13, 33:10, 39:8, 64:11, 71:13, 73:7, 78:1, 79:13, 81:23, 84:7, 93:2, 97:16, 108:6, 108:18, 118:24, 136:24, 139:9 **Francisco** [1] - 16:9 frankly [10] - 23:2, 25:7, 48:5, 73:13, 86:21, 94:14, 119:12, 131:18, 139:15, 156:17 Frankly [1] - 5:6 Free [1] - 146:21 freedom [1] - 182:16 frequent [1] - 150:4 frequently [3] - 66:25, 149:24, 159:12 fresh [2] - 93:16 Friday [3] - 174:8, 180:18, 210:5 friend [3] - 62:10, 132:10, 154:23 friend's [1] - 78:19 friendly [1] - 202:18 friends [15] - 30:7, 78:16, 78:18, 80:18, 81:10, 81:22, 120:2, 120:3, 120:18, 120:21, 123:3, 125:10, 146:21, 153:7. 202:12 frightening [1] - 203:21 front [8] - 7:22, 21:1, 23:17, 25:3, 98:21, 134:2, 181:22, 185:23 Fryson [3] - 68:7, 70:8, 72:9 Fuck [1] - 151:1 fucking [2] - 151:9, fucks [2] - 92:3, 154:16 full [2] - 17:11, 158:19 fully [2] - 9:19, 26:7 function [2] - 77:19, 82.21 functioned [1] - 33:3 fundamental [2] -37:17, 125:2 funeral [1] - 107:23 funniest [1] - 185:24 funny [3] - 102:4, 119:24, 119:25 furnace [3] - 65:18, 146:10, 146:12 **furtherance** [15] - 50:5, 51:9, 56:6, 56:14, 56:16, 56:21, 57:6, 57:24, 58:2,

58:4, 58:14, 58:25,

79:24, 92:9 Future [1] - 16:15 future [3] - 10:7, 16:19, 174.18

### G

game [6] - 121:18,

168:25, 195:25, 196:2, 199:12, 199:17 games [2] - 179:4, 207:15 gangster [1] - 155:8 **GARDNER** [1] - 1:8 Gardner [120] - 1:21, 11:4, 18:9, 18:12, 31:1, 33:10, 35:15, 52:8, 55:9, 55:20, 58:24, 59:18, 59:22, 59:25, 60:3, 60:4, 60:19, 60:21, 61:1, 61:3, 61:23, 62:9, 62:14, 62:16, 62:18, 64:11, 64:17, 64:23, 66:21, 69:6, 69:25, 70:11, 70:16, 70:22, 71:15, 71:17, 72:7, 72:8, 72:11, 72:12, 72:22, 73:1, 74:3, 74:9, 78:24, 79:2, 81:14, 81:23, 82:7, 82:18, 95:18, 98:25, 100:15, 100:21, 106:21, 118:24, 122:21, 126:10, 126:12, 126:14, 126:20, 127:2, 127:3, 127:11, 127:16, 127:18, 127:20, 128:1, 128:3, 128:5, 128:8, 128:10, 128:13, 129:3, 129:22, 129:24, 130:5, 130:7, 130:9, 131:1, 131:13, 131:19, 132:22, 132:24, 133:4, 133:20, 134:1, 135:9, 135:12, 135:13, 136:15, 136:21, 137:6. 138:6. 138:11. 138:23. 140:4. 140:12. 140:24, 141:9, 142:11, 142:16, 142:24, 145:11, 164:16, 167:4, 167:7, 168:9, 171:24, 186:12, 193:1, 193:5, 193:13, 194:13, 195:14, 201:21, 208:3 Gardner's [21] - 52:12, 62:9, 62:11, 62:25, 63:1, 63:2, 70:20, 79:18, 100:8, 127:24, 130:3,

130:15, 130:24, 130:25,

131:3, 134:2, 134:12,

137:17, 140:18, 142:5,

159:25

71:11, 73:17, 74:20,

Gary [1] - 60:5 gas [3] - 13:15, 124:17, 124.19 gather [1] - 11:11 gel [4] - 60:20, 61:19, 63:17, 64:7 general [7] - 38:22, 53:7, 86:7, 152:15, 159:3, 178:14, 182:21 generalities [1] -182:25 generally [1] - 40:1 Generally [2] - 31:3, generate [1] - 27:5 genius [1] - 155:2 gentlemen [185] -29:24, 30:3, 30:17, 32:1, 34:1, 34:6, 35:6, 36:9, 37:23, 38:9, 38:15, 39:3, 39:11, 40:13, 41:25, 42:8, 42:12, 42:25, 43:16, 44:19, 45:17, 46:16, 48:2, 49:21, 50:21, 52:9, 53:12, 54:16, 55:14, 56:11, 58:22, 58:23, 59:2, 59:14, 60:17, 60:22, 62:17, 63:3, 64:8, 64:10, 64:16, 66:3, 66:19, 67:9, 67:23, 68:9, 68:18, 70:21, 70:22, 73:4, 73:21, 74:19, 75:5, 75:13, 75:23, 77:25, 78:11, 81:6, 83:10, 84:13, 85:9, 86:5, 86:18, 87:15, 88:6, 88:21, 91:9, 91:23, 92:12, 95:1, 97:13, 99:19, 99:25, 100:22, 104:15, 104:18, 105:8, 105:25, 106:18, 108:16, 111:2, 117:20, 118:22, 122:13, 122:16, 124:11, 127:17, 128:13, 129:13, 129:16, 129:21, 132:5, 133:17, 134:11, 137:14, 138:20, 140:20, 141:2, 141:12, 142:7, 143:8, 143:22, 144:4, 146:2, 146:17, 147:6, 147:12, 147:18, 148:7, 149:13, 150:2, 150:11, 150:15, 150:19, 150:25, 151:3, 151:12, 152:4, 152:12, 152:18, 153:1, 154:19, 155:2, 156:1, 156:25, 157:10, 158:1, 158:2. 158:8. 160:9. 160:12, 161:7, 161:19, 163:19, 164:20, 165:9,

168:2, 169:17, 170:11, 170:15, 172:7, 172:10, 173:17, 174:3, 174:5, 174:24, 180:9, 181:19, 181:22, 182:10, 182:22, 186:22, 187:2, 189:15, 190:8, 191:6, 191:13, 191:24, 192:7, 192:21, 192:24, 193:9, 194:17, 195:8, 195:22, 196:15, 196:25, 197:13, 198:25, 199:9, 199:16, 200:11, 201:9, 201:15, 204:8, 205:11, 205:21, 206:12, 206:17, 207:18, 207:24, 208:25, 209:6, 209:15, 209:18 Gerard [1] - 1:19 get-together [1] -125:11 gig [1] - 189:1 girl [1] - 132:7 girlfriend [6] - 65:12, 84:6, 91:21, 97:2, 117:17, 123:17 given [12] - 28:22, 36:22. 64:21. 123:9. 124:1, 130:24, 133:5, 160:17, 171:2, 174:8, 177:8, 192:12 glad [1] - 5:6 glasses [2] - 190:1, 192:10 glee [1] - 149:2 Glock [6] - 130:20, 133:18, 142:22, 143:2, 143:13, 143:20 glorification [1] -207:14 gloss [1] - 165:23 gloves [3] - 132:11, 133:15, 139:20 GM [2] - 17:22, 17:25 goal [6] - 27:24, 30:21, 47:16, 154:7, 154:9, 212:3 goals [3] - 49:9, 51:12, 53:21 God [4] - 188:3, 192:18, 196:22, 202:1 Godfather [1] - 36:16 Golder [4] - 80:16, 83:23, 172:11, 178:11 Goo [2] - 71:17, 185:16 Goose [17] - 80:2, 135:4, 135:7, 135:11, 135:12, 135:13, 135:16, 135:19, 136:18, 136:21,

136:25, 137:22, 138:3,

138:5, 142:6, 160:3

gosh [1] - 123:4 gotta [1] - 152:10 Government [1] - 1:15 government [69] - 6:3, 6:5, 8:8, 8:9, 8:13, 9:10, 10:19, 10:20, 12:23, 14:14, 18:11, 19:18, 20:2, 26:23, 101:15, 120:8, 156:4, 162:5, 164:5, 165:13, 166:2, 167:8, 168:8, 171:4, 171:12, 171:15, 173:1, 173:8, 175:6, 177:2, 177:14, 178:3, 178:6, 178:13, 179:5, 179:18, 181:21, 182:9, 182:16, 182:23, 183:21, 184:15, 184:16, 184:21, 185:7, 185:11, 189:5, 190:15, 191:14, 192:4, 192:9, 196:25, 197:8, 197:17, 198:13, 201:19, 204:9, 204:15, 204:20, 205:3, 206:2, 206:4, 206:21, 207:7, 207:10, 207:19, 207:21, 209:24 government's [18] -9:12, 10:21, 11:8, 12:25, 68:1, 105:22, 109:10, 112:2, 134:17, 144:10, 175:13, 185:13, 187:4, 188:18, 190:1, 203:7, 206:7, 209:11 Government's [1] -146:10 **GPS** [6] - 87:11, 87:12, 87:16, 88:1, 88:4, 90:13 grab [1] - 152:10 grabs [1] - 204:5 gracefully [1] - 162:15 gram [1] - 63:7 grammatically [1] grams [14] - 60:10, 61:3. 61:19. 62:5. 63:6. 64:8, 105:12, 105:17, 105:18, 172:21, 172:22, 172:23 grand [11] - 75:25, 94:24, 125:23, 188:5, 188:9, 189:24, 192:12. 203:12, 203:23, 205:17, 206:10 granted [1] - 201:5 graphs [1] - 82:23 grave [1] - 178:20 gray [1] - 133:15 Great [1] - 7:8

great [32] - 3:1, 16:25,

30:16, 47:24, 65:23,

Document 698

77:21, 79:18, 80:20, 89:11, 93:12, 108:20, 108:22, 109:3, 109:10, 112:2, 112:20, 113:10, 138:15, 139:8, 143:9, 148:20, 149:2, 156:1, 171:15, 178:16, 178:17, 182:20, 209:17 greatest [1] - 187:2 Green [8] - 5:21, 93:8, 93:24, 94:14, 94:21, 95:6, 113:4, 114:5 Green's [1] - 107:16 Greens [1] - 132:22 grocery [2] - 73:6, 111:21 ground [2] - 133:25, 134:2 group [64] - 19:14, 20:9, 21:7, 21:8, 30:7, 30:9, 31:6, 33:3, 33:14, 33:15, 35:1, 35:19, 36:7, 36:22, 37:10, 38:6, 38:16, 38:18, 38:23, 38:25, 53:15, 53:16, 61:12, 63:9, 66:18, 66:20, 66:25, 67:7, 68:2, 68:4, 68:9, 68:13, 68:24, 71:3, 72:14, 72:16, 73:19, 73:25, 76:10, 76:12, 76:15, 77:15, 78:6, 78:9, 83:1, 84:1, 86:12, 89:15, 93:17, 107:6, 111:9, 117:2, 118:12, 119:17, 128:5, 137:7, 137:22, 137:25, 148:12, 148:25, 149:1, 149:2, 149:19 group's [8] - 38:17, 83:22, 113:17, 138:3, 149:19, 151:19, 158:24, 159:12 growling [1] - 212:1 guarantee [1] - 27:25 guess [10] - 6:5, 7:16, 11:11, 15:22, 27:19, 28:19, 96:6, 187:7, 187:8, 213:20 guessing [2] - 14:8, 14:9 guide [1] - 49:4 Guide [2] - 110:25, 111:3 guilt [9] - 45:4, 52:17, 62:16, 63:1, 70:20, 91:8, 130:16, 134:12, 177:6 guilty [18] - 45:7, 51:2, 51:4, 51:14, 51:24, 52:12, 56:22, 56:25,

127:21, 127:24, 131:19,

131:21, 134:3, 141:1, 141:3, 148:17, 156:16, 160:10 gun [40] - 50:16, 58:4, 61:6. 108:2. 108:6. 108:8, 108:13, 108:21, 117:13, 119:8, 142:16, 144:25, 145:3, 145:12, 145:14, 146:13, 147:1, 147:18, 147:19, 147:22, 153:5, 163:22, 164:8, 168:12, 183:10, 183:11, 183:12, 183:14, 183:15, 183:18, 183:19, 183:20, 183:22, 183:23, 185:8, 203:15, 203:17, 203:18, 203:19 **gun-toting** [1] - 183:11 guns [34] - 38:5, 52:3, 52:16, 59:2, 71:24, 75:19, 78:22, 78:23, 79:6, 79:7, 80:9, 81:2, 121:25, 131:2, 134:4, 142:13, 142:16, 142:18, 142:20, 142:21, 144:1, 144:5, 144:6, 145:15, 145:17, 146:15, 150:13, 159:13, 164:8, 173:14, 187:21, 207:14 guy [20] - 52:10, 70:24, 89:4, 91:14, 91:20, 119:23, 119:25, 120:6, 120:12, 131:15, 169:9, 169:10, 186:4, 187:21, 188:17, 188:20, 189:13, 189:14, 190:19, 203:6 guys [10] - 23:17, 79:20, 93:12, 171:1, 181:10, 188:22, 193:24, 195:18, 196:12, 201:23

# Н

half [8] - 41:25, 111:2, 114:4, 114:6, 200:16, 201:1, 208:19, 208:21 hallmark [1] - 80:15 hallmarks [1] - 174:6 halves [1] - 64:6 Hammerjacks [4] -81:19, 83:8, 197:6 hand [8] - 32:18, 59:24, 59:25, 113:14, 156:17, 186:4 hand-to-hand [1] handcuffs [1] - 182:14 handed [1] - 121:1 handgun [3] - 88:10, 133:19, 146:16

Document 698

handled [1] - 8:22 hands [5] - 67:24, 144:12, 160:12, 208:4, 208.8

hang [1] - 94:20 hanging [4] - 5:1, 74:22, 135:14, 179:3 hangs [1] - 204:20 Hanlon [49] - 1:16, 8:22, 8:23, 9:14, 9:17, 9:19, 10:23, 13:22, 14:20, 21:21, 27:11, 27:19, 29:14, 29:22, 42:6, 42:10, 60:16, 99:12, 101:21, 102:5, 103:24, 104:10, 104:16, 104:22, 129:1, 129:15, 129:16, 129:19, 161:8, 161:15, 162:15, 162:18, 163:5, 166:12, 167:18, 170:16, 174:22, 179:13, 180:1, 180:8, 186:9, 189:20, 192:15, 199:19, 200:5, 200:11, 202:13, 206:25, 212:6

HANLON [30] - 9:1, 9:5, 10:24. 21:22. 27:13. 27:16, 27:22, 28:2, 28:4, 29:23, 42:11, 60:17, 67:20, 67:23, 99:13, 99:16, 99:18, 102:8, 104:4, 104:12, 104:17, 104:25, 105:3, 128:18, 129:4, 129:9, 129:12, 129:20, 132:3, 132:5 Hanlon's [6] - 29:15, 161:20, 165:10, 188:21, 212:18, 213:3

Hanover [1] - 64:14 happy [1] - 11:18 hard [5] - 10:23, 11:20, 24:18, 150:6, 151:9 Hard [2] - 86:7, 146:19 HARDING [18] - 2:18, 3:3. 3:22. 4:3. 6:11. 6:21, 25:15, 26:21, 27:3, 181:17, 184:8, 191:20, 210:21, 210:24, 211:7, 211:11, 211:16, 211:20 Harding [17] - 1:16, 2:10, 6:20, 10:24, 11:7, 23:12, 25:13, 29:25, 67:25, 162:22, 171:22, 187:6, 192:15, 193:23, 210:20, 211:3, 213:16 Harding's [2] - 187:9, 213:12

hardly [3] - 139:4, 141:6, 141:12

harm [1] - 141:17

HARRIS [1] - 1:7 Harris [116] - 1:18, 2:3, 11:17, 22:13, 22:14, 23:3, 25:11, 26:11, 26:24, 29:9, 29:12, 29:21, 30:25, 35:12, 35:24, 39:7, 53:24, 53:25, 55:9, 58:5, 61:10, 61:14, 65:8, 65:14, 66:22, 67:1, 68:25, 69:15, 74:4, 76:17, 78:8, 79:6, 79:14, 82:2, 82:13, 82:14, 82:19, 86:2, 86:6, 86:9, 88:7, 88:9, 88:22, 89:3, 89:7, 89:14, 89:18, 89:20, 90:2, 90:11, 90:13, 90:16, 90:20, 90:25, 91:5, 92:18, 95:17, 97:3, 100:14, 100:21, 106:21, 116:14, 116:17, 116:20, 116:23, 117:5, 117:18, 118:4, 118:10, 118:17, 118:19, 119:4, 119:6, 121:2, 146:14, 146:18, 146:20, 147:7, 147:23, 149:4, 149:16, 151:13, 151:22, 152:21, 153:4, 153:12, 153:14, 153:22, 154:4, 154:13, 154:14, 155:5, 155:7, 155:20, 156:9, 156:16, 156:18, 159:17,

Harris's [29] - 26:17, 33:11, 65:13, 82:4, 86:5, 86:6, 86:12, 88:23, 89:11, 91:11, 91:24, 100:7, 115:25, 116:23, 117:7, 117:10, 117:15, 117:20, 117:22, 119:2, 119:3, 146:7, 147:1, 147:3, 147:10, 147:19, 148:25, 155:19, 184:23 Harvard [3] - 15:14, 16:5. 17:17 Hasim [3] - 84:12, 87:12. 89:23

hassle [1] - 151:5

hate [1] - 195:21

hates [1] - 187:19

Hayes [45] - 69:13,

74:11, 76:1, 79:5, 79:13,

**hat** [8] - 52:10, 131:16,

132:9, 132:17, 132:24,

133:15, 139:21, 204:20

159:18, 164:18, 167:7,

188:11, 191:8, 193:12,

205:7, 206:22, 207:1,

208:2

184:1, 184:2, 184:20,

186:1, 186:5, 188:9,

82:10, 82:11, 88:7, 88:8, 88:12, 108:4, 108:8, 108:12, 108:20, 108:23, 115:17, 117:7, 118:14, 118:21, 119:8, 119:11, 122:4, 144:16, 144:22, 145:1, 145:4, 155:24, 156:10. 178:11. 187:14. 187:15, 187:24, 188:7, 188:12, 188:18, 188:19, 189:4, 189:19, 189:22, 189:23, 189:24, 190:20, 190:21 Hayes's [4] - 88:10, 108:7, 108:13, 156:11  $\textbf{head}~ {\tiny [5]}\textbf{-}74:23,~85:17,$ 91:21, 151:7, 151:12 heading [1] - 5:3 heads [1] - 90:5 hear [27] - 24:8, 24:10, 25:16, 29:17, 29:20, 33:12, 47:21, 67:15, 71:25, 72:24, 77:2, 79:12, 92:13, 92:14, 96:5, 96:20, 96:21, 96:22, 116:5, 156:22, 161:11, 173:22, 190:7, 190:14, 210:18 heard [84] - 11:15, 24:13, 24:16, 24:17, 30:4, 31:18, 31:25, 32:7, 33:7, 34:23, 38:3, 38:4, 41:17, 44:13, 47:23, 50:1, 50:13, 50:14, 54:3, 59:14, 59:20, 61:1, 62:5, 63:20, 64:4, 65:10, 69:4, 69:6, 69:8, 69:9, 69:11, 69:12, 69:13, 80:3, 83:22, 83:23, 92:13,

96:16, 100:1, 101:4, 114:24, 116:12, 117:15, 118:14, 121:14, 129:24, 129:25, 130:1, 143:9, 145:2, 146:7, 146:23, 150:10, 155:22, 158:5, 158:14, 159:11, 161:12, 161:25, 163:10, 164:10, 164:25, 168:5, 169:17, 170:2, 172:9, 172:10, 172:12, 173:2, 174:12, 175:1, 193:4, 194:3, 195:14, 196:1, 198:6, 199:6, 199:12, 205:13, 206:19, 213:18

hearing [6] - 15:18, 26:5, 29:6, 34:21, 116:10, 158:21

hears [3] - 96:2, 96:7,

heart [6] - 37:20, 37:21,

79:25, 80:8, 83:6, 86:12 heck [1] - 123:5 heightened [1] - 178:15 Heights [11] - 19:12, 20:1, 20:13, 36:20, 45:13, 89:13, 150:13, 150:15, 151:10, 151:13 Heights/Randallstown [1] - 49:5

held [3] - 15:24, 53:14, 67:4

help [8] - 30:21, 47:14, 52:15, 76:14, 81:10, 81:15, 81:22, 123:24 helped [4] - 31:9, 31:10, 75:17, 94:4

helpful [1] - 12:14 helpfulness [2] - 10:10, 11:22

helping [6] - 33:3, 49:10, 52:2, 52:6, 77:4, 159:14

Helping [5] - 33:5, 52:3, 52:4, 52:5

helps [2] - 52:13 hence [2] - 111:6, 116:16

Herbert [22] - 118:15, 119:15, 119:16, 119:22, 120:23, 121:5, 121:20, 152:2, 153:9, 187:4,

188:9. 188:13. 188:14. 189:2. 189:11. 189:13. 189:19, 189:22, 189:23, 189:24, 190:2, 190:6

Herbert's [5] - 120:20, 121:24, 155:18, 188:6, 188:14

hereby [1] - 215:3 hereunto [1] - 215:10 heroin [7] - 60:20, 61:3, 65:2, 80:20, 184:24, 185:4, 185:9

herself [2] - 39:21, 139:14

Hickey [7] - 39:8, 61:13, 171:6, 171:16, 171:18, 193:11, 195:10 hidden [3] - 62:4,

65:18, 114:14 hide [2] - 152:16, 203:11

hideous [1] - 209:8 hiding [2] - 98:17, 121:10

high [1] - 42:7 higher [1] - 176:11 Higher [1] - 176:23 highest [2] - 176:10,

176:22

hill [2] - 84:17, 90:12 himself [16] - 55:20, 58:24, 60:5, 60:6, 73:21, 74:25, 89:5, 107:3, 107:22, 111:24, 121:6, 121:25, 126:17, 126:24, 155:21, 200:9 Hip [1] - 16:1

hip [14] - 15:1, 15:3, 15:16, 15:23, 16:4, 16:8, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:20, 16:22, 16:25, 149:9

Hip-Hop [1] - 16:1 hip-hop [14] - 15:1, 15:3, 15:16, 15:23, 16:4, 16:8, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:20, 16:22, 16:25, 149:9

hire [1] - 17:1 hired [3] - 90:21, 180:14 history [8] - 14:25, 93:13, 121:7, 121:17, 144:8, 144:17, 149:1,

149:8

hit [24] - 73:20, 73:22, 82:15, 82:20, 83:12, 83:18, 86:10, 88:18, 89:13, 90:21, 116:14, 116:24, 134:18, 153:11, 153:12, 153:13, 175:22, 197:10, 197:11, 197:25, 198:1, 198:9, 198:10

hits [5] - 24:24, 87:16, 87:18, 121:25, 152:7 hitted [1] - 151:3 hitter [1] - 201:4 hole [1] - 103:10 Holly [14] - 52:10, 52:14, 52:15, 66:25,

77:14, 131:16, 132:9, 132:22, 133:4, 134:1, 134:4, 137:7, 141:9, 142:15

home [22] - 78:13, 78:17, 79:12, 88:12, 89:11, 95:20, 95:23, 111:6, 115:25, 116:23, 132:16, 137:14, 146:7, 146:16, 147:3, 150:6, 195:5, 195:6, 199:8, 199:23, 201:12, 201:13

homes [1] - 85:11 homicide [5] - 73:15, 82:25, 153:17, 199:1, 205:23

Homicide [1] - 120:20 homicides [2] - 167:17, 187:16 honest [4] - 161:24,

166:13, 183:8, 204:12 honestly [1] - 175:11 honor [2] - 120:14, 153:18 Honor [79] - 2:18, 4:14, 4:16, 4:25, 5:8, 5:15, 5:18, 6:11, 7:9, 7:20, 8:2, 8:7, 9:2, 9:8, 10:24, 10:25, 14:4, 15:13, 17:21, 18:6, 18:8, 19:17, 20:25, 21:22, 21:24, 22:7, 22:16, 22:18, 23:8, 23:11, 24:15, 24:21, 25:12, 25:15, 25:21, 26:15, 26:19, 27:7, 27:14, 27:22, 28:24, 29:23, 42:11, 60:12, 67:18, 67:20, 99:13, 101:13, 102:4, 102:8, 103:24, 104:4, 104:12, 104:17, 104:25, 105:8, 128:18, 128:23, 129:4, 129:9, 129:12, 129:20, 132:1, 132:3, 161:1, 161:6, 170:22, 181:17, 184:8, 211:7, 211:21, 212:12, 213:5, 213:7, 213:9, 213:11, 213:15 Honor's [1] - 26:24 Honorable [1] - 1:13 honors [1] - 120:13 hooked [1] - 61:14 **Hop** [1] - 16:1 hop [14] - 15:1, 15:3, 15:16, 15:23, 16:4, 16:8, 16:11, 16:12, 16:13, 16:20, 16:22, 16:25, 149:9 hope [5] - 21:17, 67:24, 104:1, 210:17, 211:24 hoped [1] - 142:9 Hopefully [1] - 99:18 hoping [1] - 101:18 horrible [2] - 103:5, 164:24 hospitals [1] - 96:9 hour [7] - 27:23, 104:11, 111:2, 165:24, 211:23, 211:24, 212:4 hours [4] - 27:20, 87:14, 93:25, 210:17 house [26] - 63:18, 65:11, 93:25, 94:5, 94:18, 114:5, 116:7, 116:11, 116:14, 116:22, 117:10, 117:23, 121:9, 146:24, 183:19, 194:11,

194:12, 199:24, 200:1,

200:23, 204:23, 204:25,

205:2, 205:8

117:16

impact [1] - 172:2

household [1] - 15:7 houses [2] - 85:11, 133:9 Houston [1] - 5:3 **Hub** [2] - 169:9, 169:10 human [6] - 30:10, 43:19, 44:4, 83:5, 97:11, 157:7 humorous [1] - 119:25 hurry [2] - 152:8, 152:9 hurt [1] - 83:16 husband [7] - 50:9, 55:25. 94:6. 94:10. 95:20. 115:4. 137:13

#### ı

husband's [1] - 73:12

I-95 [4] - 61:24, 62:10, 66:1. 70:23 ID [1] - 6:24 idea [7] - 3:14, 17:7, 88:17, 109:15, 109:25, 135:3, 137:24 ideas [1] - 32:16 Identical [1] - 97:25 identical [7] - 64:18, 97:8, 117:1, 157:9, 157:10, 158:17, 159:19 identification [13] - 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 22:1, 22:4, 22:11, 22:14, 99:4, 122:23, 143:19, 146:25 identifications [2] -115:14, 115:15 identified [15] - 59:3, 60:3, 60:6, 84:9, 96:25, 97:1, 97:3, 97:4, 99:25, 100:7, 115:10, 116:21, 122:22, 133:4, 133:25 identifies [3] - 60:5, 115:1, 133:6 identify [8] - 97:5, 97:6, 115:1, 115:4, 115:11, 117:18, 191:3 identifying [3] - 37:7, 115:18, 123:1 identities [1] - 75:16 II [1] - 208:7 III [1] - 208:17 illuminate [1] - 15:5 illuminating [1] - 149:9 image [2] - 33:1, 76:9 imagination [1] -115:12 imagine [1] - 28:6 imitation [2] - 117:13,

impeaches [1] - 74:25 impending [1] - 212:3 implausible [1] - 202:7 implicate [1] - 75:20 implicating [1] - 75:20 implore [1] - 204:10 imploring [1] - 207:16 importance [1] - 148:18 important [27] - 40:14, 45:19, 48:1, 50:3, 50:19, 51:1, 64:2, 74:19, 75:4, 75:10, 78:5, 79:8, 79:9, 90:9, 99:8, 125:20, 126:3, 126:7, 134:20, 136:17, 143:7, 145:25, 147:24, 148:3, 148:24, 157:3, 157:22 Importantly [1] - 45:3 importantly [7] - 36:9, 38:24, 42:24, 69:25, 84:3, 127:5, 128:13 impossible [3] - 90:1, 90:2, 158:10 impressed [1] - 17:19 impressionistic [1] -12:16 imprisonment [1] -48:22 IN [1] - 1:1 in-furtherance [1] -58.14 incarcerated [2] -171:7, 198:7 incident [1] - 81:20 incline [1] - 87:21 include [2] - 68:5, 68:6 included [3] - 3:4, 70:7, 105:12 includes [16] - 43:14. 49:22, 49:23, 49:24, 49:25, 66:21, 66:23, 66:24, 67:1, 77:1, 77:4 including [14] - 19:13, 20:21, 63:10, 65:11, 65:19, 69:5, 76:16, 86:22, 114:5, 114:20, 116:9, 133:4, 143:20, 155:13 incomplete [2] - 98:4, 98:18 inconsistency [1] -141:12 inconsistent [11] -121:2, 121:23, 125:19, 141:7, 186:20, 191:9, 191:10, 192:12, 204:16, 205:9, 205:13

incorporate [2] - 56:4,

Incorporated [1] - 36:2

58:20

incorporated [3] - 21:2, 23:6, 67:2 incorporating [2] -67:4. 67:5 incorrect [2] - 21:13, 60.13 incredibly [1] - 126:3 Incredibly [1] - 149:22 incriminate [3] - 121:6, 121:25, 122:1 incrimination [1] -121:19 indeed [1] - 129:2 Indeed [1] - 67:14 independent [1] - 157:2 independently [1] -80:21 indicate [3] - 85:18, 86:23, 129:2 indicated [3] - 8:14, 60:13, 132:8 indicating [3] - 8:10, 123:19, 133:15 indictment [31] - 18:20, 19:2, 19:5, 19:6, 19:18, 19:22, 21:15, 30:24, 31:21, 32:8, 32:20, 34:4, 34:7, 36:21, 39:5, 41:1, 42:13, 42:17, 50:19, 51:23, 55:21, 65:24, 92:6, 142:9, 145:23, 147:7. 148:9. 155:20. 156:17, 160:11, 165:4 indirectly [1] - 100:21 individual [38] - 30:22, 30:24, 31:20, 34:16, 37:14, 38:8, 38:14, 39:4, 40:8, 40:16, 40:21, 41:4, 41:6, 41:8, 41:12, 45:23, 49:7, 49:16, 49:17, 51:13, 51:24, 53:2, 53:23, 60:10, 60:20, 70:2, 71:22, 72:6, 72:10, 73:16, 76:12, 81:10, 91:13, 91:18, 131:24, 153:6, 157:19 Individual [2] - 37:10, individually [8] - 34:13, 39:20, 40:20, 46:11, 66:14, 70:20, 107:1, 107:3 individuals [20] - 19:14, 20:9, 20:21, 21:7, 21:8, 30:7, 35:1, 35:18, 43:5, 67:6, 69:12, 74:12, 132:12, 133:2, 133:3, 133:22, 167:1, 179:23, 193:1, 212:24 industrial [1] - 97:14

industry [2] - 12:16, 12:20 infamous [1] - 187:23 inference [2] - 26:17, 64.22 inferentially [1] -116:21 inferno [2] - 30:1, 30:11 informal [9] - 33:14, 35:3, 36:18, 37:2, 47:17, 167:19, 170:17, 212:20 information [17] -14:17, 74:13, 74:14, 74:16, 75:25, 90:16, 110:6, 110:8, 111:13, 123:12, 124:8, 126:13, 128:1, 128:2, 128:11, 138:22, 146:5 informed [1] - 109:5 initial [1] - 213:11 injuries [2] - 26:11, 26:12 **injury** [1] - 29:10 inner [1] - 164:3 innocence [2] - 174:10, 174:24 innocent [9] - 109:8, 109:19, 110:19, 174:15, 174:18, 174:19, 174:24, 175:5, 177:14 input [1] - 24:6 insert [1] - 211:12 inserted [1] - 213:18 insertion [1] - 213:12 Inside [1] - 133:14 inside [7] - 54:11, 62:4, 84:12, 106:11, 126:13, 146:16, 169:4 insider [1] - 128:2 insight [1] - 73:17 instance [11] - 56:13, 61:7, 65:1, 65:2, 65:3, 71:3, 71:7, 72:25, 74:8, 77:15, 135:20 instances [7] - 13:23, 41:17, 41:18, 73:2, 74:22, 81:13, 141:22 instead [2] - 113:22, 188.4 Instead [2] - 29:19, 125:11 instinct [1] - 213:11 instruct [9] - 2:14, 26:21, 28:19, 177:16, 178:1, 178:10, 178:16, 178:19, 211:1 instructed [2] - 118:16, 165:10 **Instruction** [1] - 3:5

instruction [26] - 2:12,

2:20, 2:22, 3:5, 3:19, 3:23, 26:25, 167:23, 170:12, 170:16, 170:20, 170:23, 177:19, 177:21, 178:8, 178:14, 182:11, 194:18, 194:19, 195:10, 211:12, 211:17, 212:4, 212:15. 212:23 **instructions** [13] - 4:8, 20:10, 20:12, 101:3, 118:19, 166:10, 173:22, 174:2, 174:4, 174:7, 210:1, 210:6, 213:13 instruments [1] - 144:6 insure [1] - 124:23 intended [3] - 43:23, 44:5, 126:18 intent [6] - 2:25, 3:7, 43:19, 44:25, 46:8, 156:15 intention [1] - 213:23 intentional [1] - 44:3 interaction [1] - 73:12 interactions [1] - 78:25 intercepts [1] - 119:11 interest [3] - 49:10, 89:17, 167:22 interested [2] - 89:15, 119:7 interesting [3] - 4:22, 149:8, 198:5 interfere [1] - 157:3 intermittently [1] - 87:3 internet [1] - 154:19 interpret [1] - 155:3 interrupt [3] - 8:20, 212:5, 212:7 interruption [1] - 42:10 interstate [6] - 34:11, 37:23, 37:24, 38:6, 52:22, 142:24 intervening [1] - 189:3 interview [4] - 14:24, 109:4, 125:22, 128:14 intimate [1] - 50:17 intimidate [1] - 156:15 intimidation [2] -151:19, 155:21 introduce [2] - 7:10, 92.3 introduced [2] - 72:7, 154:16 introducing [2] - 5:20, introduction [1] - 14:24 invasions [1] - 79:12 investigating [1] -88:13 investigation [1] -210:8

investigations [1] -145:21 investigative [1] - 36:23 invitation [2] - 119:3 involve [3] - 32:14, 48:13, 131:8 involved [30] - 33:9, 35:25, 39:6, 41:7, 41:10, 44:5, 51:15, 60:25, 63:5, 69:24, 70:1, 70:4, 72:8, 82:17, 94:15, 113:1, 113:18, 114:19, 115:6, 119:17, 121:8, 130:10, 136:21, 148:22, 149:11, 152:15, 154:4, 154:5, 166:19 involvement [18] -63:21, 64:2, 64:21, 65:4, 69:18, 108:25, 114:22, 116:18, 128:15, 130:25, 133:1, 151:22, 159:25, 164:22, 179:23, 205:22 involves [1] - 49:8 involving [5] - 44:16, 57:4, 64:25, 79:6, 130:19 Irene [1] - 96:10 ironically [1] - 89:13 irrelevancy [2] - 164:1, 164:2 irresponsible [1] - 26:1 irritation [2] - 108:20, 108:23 isolated [3] - 85:11, 85:15, 97:12 issue [23] - 5:17, 8:2, 8:16, 12:5, 14:5, 14:12, 14:13, 27:7, 31:15, 40:3. 43:4, 75:5, 75:8, 98:2, 102:8, 103:15, 112:8, 158:4, 193:16, 193:17, 206:25, 211:21, 213:17 issues [10] - 2:9, 11:25, 12:18, 16:12, 16:22, 47:20, 101:2, 160:23, 192:17, 210:9 items [2] - 65:19, 143:20 itself [15] - 37:3, 41:18, 41:21, 44:18, 46:4, 50:8, 63:7, 78:21, 80:5, 84:11, 84:16, 117:3, 136:9, 156:24, 176:13 J

Jacquetta [3] - 193:20, 194:10, 200:15 jail [24] - 47:23, 48:17, 78:8, 78:10, 78:18,

78:20, 124:25, 156:6, 180:6, 181:8, 181:14, 181:20, 182:5, 182:6, 182:8, 184:2, 184:5, 184:9, 195:14, 195:15, 208:19 Jam [2] - 12:21, 83:14 Jamane [6] - 135:23, 135:25, 140:18, 140:22, 141:14, 141:19 James [1] - 1:21 **January** [6] - 60:9, 63:12, 65:1, 65:16, 105:10, 146:6 Jaquetta [10] - 84:5, 97:2, 108:1, 117:17, 198:4, 198:5, 198:18, 199:21, 201:12, 206:9 jargon [1] - 17:11 Jay [1] - 12:21 Jay-Z [1] - 12:21 Jesus [1] - 202:3 **jive** [1] - 155:9 John [2] - 175:22, 201:3 Johnson [8] - 135:23, 136:1, 136:4, 140:18, 140:22, 140:24, 141:8, 141:14 Johnson's [5] - 135:25, 141:4, 141:6, 141:10, 141:19 join [1] - 51:19 ioined [5] - 42:7, 46:11. 49:8, 76:17, 79:14 joining [3] - 2:3, 2:4, joins [1] - 35:24 joint [5] - 142:15, 142:19, 146:3, 158:4, 159:9 jointly [1] - 142:18 joke [2] - 165:16, 208:11 Jones [15] - 41:16, 50:9, 52:11, 55:21, 55:24, 59:1, 59:4, 77:24, 131:5, 131:14, 136:1, 137:12, 137:21, 141:18, 159:23 journalist [1] - 15:1 **Joyce** [1] - 139:7 judge [6] - 8:9, 138:20, 157:12, 161:14, 165:9, 166:8

Judge [6] - 1:13, 3:1,

judgment [1] - 167:1

jumped [1] - 197:12

jump [2] - 79:19, 79:20

18:18, 19:8, 26:21,

210:21

June [6] - 55:22, 58:24, 61:21, 65:7, 77:23, 131:6 juries [1] - 13:18 jurors [1] - 211:25 jury [54] - 6:10, 6:20, 7:4, 7:22, 10:5, 10:11, 10:13, 10:15, 11:22, 12:15, 13:12, 17:2, 17:3, 17:8, 18:10, 18:16, 20:10, 20:17, 22:25, 23:1, 24:10, 25:9, 26:10, 26:12, 26:21, 27:10, 29:5, 75:25, 94:24, 101:1, 101:5, 103:2, 104:9, 125:23, 160:13, 166:11, 181:23, 187:8, 188:5, 188:9, 189:24, 192:12, 197:14, 203:12, 203:23, 205:17, 206:10, 211:1, 211:4, 211:5, 211:16, 212:10, 212:22, 213:22 Jury [6] - 1:14, 29:4, 101:8, 104:14, 161:4, 210:12 jury's [3] - 6:18, 60:14, 101:6 justice [5] - 31:17, 176:2, 176:7, 177:11, 182:15

## Κ

kangaroo [1] - 24:20

Keep [1] - 153:17

keep [11] - 21:5, 101:1, 124:23, 135:12, 152:24, 160:22, 161:18, 210:8, 212:3 keeping [1] - 155:16 keeps [1] - 165:16 Kelly [6] - 131:23, 132:6, 132:11, 132:16, 133:6, 133:12 Kelsey [1] - 1:17 Kevin [1] - 83:14 key [1] - 77:23 kicked [2] - 194:12, 197:22 kidnapped [2] - 199:13, 199:14 **kids** [3] - 164:3, 164:14, 173:9 kill [22] - 2:25, 3:8, 43:19, 44:5, 82:15, 86:4, 88:16, 109:2, 113:21, 114:3, 114:8, 114:12, 116:17, 118:20, 119:4, 122:6, 135:16, 136:10,

180:16, 197:12, 202:11, 202:19 killed [25] - 43:22, 43:23, 44:5, 54:25, 55:1, 55:5, 58:9, 85:14, 115:3, 135:15, 154:2, 180:3, 195:22. 196:2. 196:6. 197:4, 197:23, 199:12, 199:23, 202:2, 202:3, 207:1, 207:2, 207:3, 207:4 killer [4] - 97:22, 97:24, 180:14 killers [4] - 96:19, 96:24, 116:16, 123:13 **killing** [10] - 3:6, 43:19, 44:4, 55:2, 85:22, 90:6, 91:19, 98:13, 113:25, 196:5 kilograms [1] - 172:14 Kind [1] - 213:9 kind [37] - 4:16, 5:8, 9:17, 24:1, 34:24, 35:2, 35:25. 36:16. 43:22. 47:16. 48:12. 83:12. 102:24, 103:8, 110:12, 113:19, 118:1, 118:2, 119:23, 119:25, 133:9, 139:2, 155:19, 161:20, 161:22, 165:16, 167:18, 167:20, 167:21, 169:4, 175:23, 176:9, 176:12, 178:18, 197:14, 199:17 kinds [2] - 13:19, 53:17 kitchen [4] - 65:18, 146:10, 146:11, 146:16 **Klas** [2] - 146:8, 150:3 knife [5] - 83:10, 83:15, 197:18, 197:19, 197:22 knocked [1] - 199:8 knowing [1] - 78:15 knowingly [1] - 46:12 knowledge [7] - 15:5, 49:11, 61:16, 83:24, 125:17, 144:20, 147:13 known [15] - 18:3, 38:17, 67:1, 68:8, 89:20, 89:22, 107:7, 115:2, 118:12, 127:7, 135:14, 176:23, 196:4, 203:4, 203:14 knows [16] - 13:14, 90:10, 113:9, 114:9, 120:11, 120:12, 138:11, 140:11, 153:6, 167:19, 186:10, 191:2, 191:3, 193:13, 202:17, 204:3 Kurland [10] - 1:22,

18:7, 18:19, 20:3,

102:12, 128:20, 129:5,

129:6, 129:17, 209:24 KURLAND [18] - 18:8, 18:17, 18:21, 18:23, 19:4, 19:8, 19:11, 19:17, 19:24, 20:6, 20:25, 21:10, 103:23, 104:5, 128:16, 128:22, 211:21, 212:9

Case 1:04-cr-00029-RDB

Kurland's [1] - 103:19

#### L

lab [2] - 62:6. 197:20 label [2] - 36:23, 164:18 lack [4] - 9:16, 73:19, 191:16, 195:9 lacking [1] - 197:14 lacks [1] - 202:14 Ladies [10] - 60:17, 67:23, 86:5, 104:17, 122:16, 132:5, 150:19, 181:19, 187:2, 209:14 ladies [175] - 29:24, 30:2, 30:17, 32:1, 34:1, 34:6, 35:6, 36:9, 37:22, 38:9, 38:15, 39:3, 39:11, 40:13, 41:25, 42:8, 42:11, 42:24, 43:16, 44:19, 45:16, 46:16, 48:1, 49:21, 50:21, 52:9, 53:12. 54:15. 55:14. 56:11. 58:21. 58:23. 59:2. 59:14. 60:22. 62:17, 63:3, 64:8, 64:10, 64:16, 66:3, 66:19, 67:9, 68:9, 68:18, 70:21, 70:22, 73:4, 73:20, 74:18, 75:5, 75:13, 75:23, 77:25, 78:10, 81:6, 83:9, 84:13, 85:9, 86:18, 87:15, 88:6, 88:21, 91:9, 91:22, 92:12, 94:25, 97:13, 99:19, 99:24, 100:22, 104:15, 105:8, 105:24, 106:18, 108:16, 111:2, 117:20, 118:21, 122:13, 124:11, 127:17, 128:13, 129:13, 129:15, 129:21, 133:17, 134:11, 137:14, 138:19, 140:20, 141:2, 141:12, 142:7, 143:8, 143:22, 144:4, 146:1, 146:17, 147:5, 147:12, 147:18, 148:7, 149:13, 150:1, 150:11, 150:15, 150:25, 151:3, 151:11, 152:3, 152:12, 152:18, 153:1, 154:19, 155:2, 156:1, 156:25, 157:9,

158:1, 158:2, 158:8, 160:9, 160:12, 161:7, 161:19, 163:19, 164:20, 165:9, 168:2, 169:17, 170:11, 170:15, 172:7, 172:10, 173:17, 174:2, 174:5, 174:24, 180:9, 181:22. 182:10. 182:21. 186:22, 189:15, 190:8, 191:6, 191:13, 191:24, 192:7, 192:20, 192:24, 193:8, 194:16, 195:8, 195:22, 196:15, 196:25, 197:13, 198:25, 199:9, 199:16, 200:11, 201:9, 201:15, 204:8, 205:11, 205:21, 206:12, 206:17, 207:17, 207:24, 208:25, 209:6, 209:18 lady [1] - 139:22

laid [6] - 57:15, 80:8, 100:24, 106:19, 127:8, 170:18

Lakeisha [2] - 123:17, 125:3

landline [1] - 204:24 Lane [5] - 132:15, 132:16, 132:19, 133:7, 133:12

language [11] - 19:24, 20:20, 32:4, 83:1, 96:20, 96:21, 117:6, 157:9, 158:14, 170:13, 213:18

large [1] - 170:4 largely [1] - 103:8 largest [1] - 15:24 Larkin [3] - 107:24, 108:5, 144:23

Lassiter [16] - 82:6, 82:8, 82:16, 82:18, 118:9, 118:12, 118:20, 118:25, 119:4, 119:10, 119:19, 122:1, 122:6,

187:23, 188:2, 188:25

Lassiter's [1] - 128:11 last [27] - 2:3, 2:5, 5:3, 7:6, 18:23, 20:10, 22:19, 23:6, 26:12, 29:10, 39:10, 47:24, 86:24, 87:1, 87:15, 87:17, 88:1,

93:20, 94:6, 113:3, 115:24, 131:6, 141:21, 158:24, 161:10, 196:16, 204:19

lasted [1] - 171:13 late [4] - 92:23, 161:7, 161:10

latex [3] - 132:11, 133:15, 139:20

laughable [3] - 91:17,

189:20, 189:21 laughter [1] - 96:21 Laura [1] - 1:17 law [43] - 4:5, 21:13, 33:17, 34:25, 36:5, 36:9, 36:17, 38:7, 38:24, 40:18, 43:8, 43:14, 47:5, 48:3, 49:14, 49:20, 51:1, 51:16, 51:21, 52:17, 54:21, 56:7, 57:12, 57:15, 59:7, 66:5, 67:3, 68:18, 102:3, 116:9, 127:17, 131:15, 131:17, 131:18, 139:5, 142:20, 148:23, 174:20, 175:20, 176:23, 210:2

**LAWLOR** [9] - 17:4, 161:1, 161:6, 176:6, 181:19, 184:9, 184:11, 191:22, 206:16

Lawlor [14] - 1:18, 28:21, 29:17, 29:20, 160:15, 160:25, 161:5, 176:5, 179:6, 202:3, 209:14, 209:16, 210:21, 211:23

lawyer [4] - 78:2, 161:11, 212:5, 212:7 lawyers [1] - 175:17 lay [3] - 10:16, 126:16, 128.9 lays [1] - 34:4

leader [4] - 37:14, 45:14, 135:20, 137:1 leaders [1] - 37:13 leadership [3] - 16:19, 19:13, 37:12

leading [2] - 87:14, 106:16

leads [1] - 65:17 learned [3] - 13:12, 13:13, 149:8

least [9] - 13:5, 56:1, 60:7, 62:12, 73:9, 88:19, 125:1, 155:17, 155:18 leave [10] - 73:8, 96:13,

101:7, 114:16, 151:8, 152:19, 160:21, 164:9, 165:22, 210:10

leaves [3] - 94:5, 95:4,

Leaving [1] - 151:14 leaving [7] - 35:16, 42:1, 85:23, 86:14, 114:13, 147:1, 156:12 **Lebanon** [1] - 16:12

led [2] - 88:2 Lee [7] - 99:6, 130:19, 130:21, 130:24, 143:14, 144:15

Lee/Epps [8] - 140:14, 143:5, 143:10, 143:11, 143:16, 144:2, 144:8, 145:16

left [13] - 24:16, 84:21, 91:14, 94:9, 117:25, 130:3, 130:4, 130:12, 152:21, 199:23, 200:1, 206.14

legal [13] - 8:6, 20:15, 32:16, 36:1, 39:12, 39:15, 43:12, 45:25, 46:14, 50:23, 164:4, 164:6, 166:4

legalese [1] - 33:19 legitimate [3] - 12:24, 13:1, 13:4

lengths [1] - 77:21 lengthy [1] - 177:21 less [6] - 27:24, 28:22, 45:8, 110:13, 141:14, 161:15

lesson [1] - 120:7 lessons [1] - 120:4 **Lester** [1] - 201:3 letter [17] - 78:11, 82:12, 118:15, 118:17, 118:20, 120:25, 121:1, 187:24, 187:25, 188:2,

lesser [1] - 66:24

level [3] - 15:12, 68:6, 71:5

188:6, 188:8, 188:10,

189:8, 203:12

leveled [1] - 166:1 liability [5] - 50:24, 51:6, 51:18, 52:12, 131:18

liar [2] - 181:2, 189:14 liars [1] - 178:5 liberty [1] - 176:19

lie [7] - 26:12, 122:4, 163:20, 180:19, 203:12, 203:22

lied [8] - 180:16, 180:17, 180:18, 181:3, 189:23, 192:11, 203:14, 206:10 life [23] - 40:11, 49:1,

71:14, 72:17, 81:20, 84:7, 86:22, 121:22, 133:22, 151:10, 163:21, 171:17, 180:5, 181:20, 181:23, 182:5, 182:7, 182:8, 188:14, 195:23, 200:13, 208:15, 210:25

lifestyle [1] - 199:11 lifetime [1] - 213:17 light [6] - 14:13, 30:12,

47:17, 97:14, 102:20,

115:16 likely [1] - 10:6 Likewise [3] - 56:23, 167:5, 170:15

limine [3] - 8:24, 9:11, 10.22

limit [2] - 23:5, 160:17 Limited [4] - 19:23, 20:14, 20:16, 20:24

limited [1] - 20:21 limits [1] - 161:14 line [5] - 11:20, 195:8, 206:24, 212:7, 213:3

lines [1] - 212:23 lingering [1] - 3:13

lingo [1] - 17:11 linked [1] - 159:20 linking [11] - 99:5, 118:10, 122:8, 127:12,

127:16, 133:12, 138:7, 145:17, 145:18, 148:3 links [1] - 119:12

lips [2] - 152:5, 152:11 **Lisa** [8] - 41:15, 54:3, 58:6, 58:9, 83:7, 84:19, 90:6, 195:23

list [12] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:10, 6:14, 6:17, 6:22, 7:4, 7:6, 7:23, 19:19, 22:2 listed [5] - 19:4, 41:14,

100:3, 100:4, 212:20 listen [5] - 204:8, 204:9, 204:13, 205:12

listened [2] - 114:25, 115:12

listing [1] - 47:8 lists [2] - 6:3, 6:5 literally [2] - 65:10,

133:11 live [5] - 151:1, 208:7, 208:18, 209:4, 209:7

lived [3] - 45:15, 194:14 lives [3] - 121:5, 194:9, 194:10

living [3] - 65:11, 65:22, 180:12

Llama [2] - 65:18, 146:9 load [1] - 94:3 loaded [1] - 152:24

loads [3] - 110:10, 114:1, 114:20

loaning [1] - 38:5 loath [1] - 207:6 local [1] - 42:8

located [1] - 110:12 location [3] - 45:17, 97:23, 137:6

locations [1] - 65:5 lock [1] - 152:25 lockdown [1] - 153:1

locked [9] - 69:16, 72:12, 89:3, 91:4, 91:6, 136:23, 137:8, 153:2, 153.7 locks [1] - 181:15 lodged [1] - 105:9 logical [3] - 112:22, 113:4, 116:13 logically [1] - 20:4 Lombard [1] - 1:25 Look [5] - 17:11, 197:2, 197:3, 206:24, 209:11 look [39] - 2:16, 3:3, 3:25, 4:24, 18:15, 27:11, 31:23, 64:20, 80:5, 81:24, 97:8, 109:8, 109:19, 109:23, 110:19, 112:10, 119:20, 120:7, 123:23, 124:15, 124:16, 127:25, 131:10, 133:5, 174:19, 178:9, 180:9, 182:11, 194:18, 197:2, 201:23, 202:2, 204:5, 205:21, 207:16, 209:12, 213:4  $\bm{looked}~ [8]~-~2:10,~2:11,$ 14:18, 196:16, 201:25 **Looking** [2] - 76:21, 88:10 looking [11] - 6:18, 18:22, 19:8, 33:18, 70:3, 71:23, 84:18, 87:20, 88:10, 111:8, 176:1 looks [6] - 22:3, 80:4, 132:18, 154:13, 172:11, 199:2 Loose [1] - 82:21 loot [1] - 114:15 lose [2] - 25:23, 151:13 loses [1] - 86:22 losing [2] - 108:21, 151:10 lost [3] - 138:24, 144:22, 151:9 loud [1] - 153:9 louder [2] - 49:2, 150:14 Love [1] - 151:10 love [1] - 207:7 lover [1] - 141:18 loyal [5] - 82:24, 86:9, 120:2, 152:17 loyalty [4] - 120:3, 120:13, 120:17, 120:21 Loyalty [1] - 82:24 luck [2] - 123:9 lump [2] - 167:9, 168:8 lunch [21] - 6:13, 28:7. 29:16, 29:17, 30:14, 75:12, 99:12, 99:21,

100:25, 104:13, 104:20, 105:4, 106:14, 209:25, 210:1, 211:22, 211:25, 212:3, 212:6, 212:7, 212:10 luncheon [1] - 101:6 Luncheon [1] - 101:10 lungs [2] - 152:5, 152:11 luring [1] - 113:24 lying [1] - 188:5 Lyles [1] - 83:14 Lyles's [1] - 153:21 lyric [2] - 13:21, 120:19 lyrics [37] - 13:9, 13:20, 14:11, 22:9, 33:12, 65:19, 66:16, 82:5, 89:1, 89:7, 92:2, 102:25, 103:5, 117:15, 120:16, 146:17, 146:20, 146:22, 147:3, 148:2, 149:4, 149:7, 149:23, 149:24, 151:15, 151:20, 151:24, 153:18, 155:3, 155:6, 156:13, 156:18, 205:19, 206:16, 207:8, 207:10,

### M

207:15

Mac [1] - 152:24 made-up [1] - 150:22 Madison [1] - 15:25 Mafia [1] - 171:9 Magazine [1] - 15:16 Magginson [1] - 96:11 Magginson's [1] -116:6 mail [28] - 8:3, 8:9. 18:20, 96:11, 96:18, 101:15, 112:4, 114:21, 114:24, 115:1, 116:6, 116:10, 116:21, 117:9, 118:13, 122:14, 122:18, 122:19, 157:11, 190:9, 204:7, 204:9, 205:24, 212:14, 213:2 **mail's** [1] - 96:15 main [1] - 162:2 maintain [7] - 50:8, 50:13, 53:8, 53:19, 76:24, 76:25, 77:18 maintaining [2] - 53:11, maintains [1] - 78:21 Malice [1] - 2:12 malice [12] - 2:15, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:12, 3:14, 3:16, 3:20, 3:22, 4:1,

27:17, 57:10 Mama [5] - 135:23, 140:18, 140:22, 141:14, 180.22 man [44] - 73:20, 73:22, 82:15, 84:15, 86:7, 86:10, 88:16, 88:18, 89:13, 90:21, 91:6, 115:3, 116:23, 116:24, 120:3, 122:1, 132:9, 134:18, 154:22, 158:18, 166:24, 171:17, 171:20, 174:15, 174:18, 174:19, 174:23, 174:24, 175:4, 177:14, 184:18, 191:19, 195:22, 196:6, 197:2, 202:9, 202:19, 208:8, 208:14, 208:18, 208:22, 209:4, 209:7 man's [3] - 116:14, 184:9, 208:12 managed [1] - 106:10 manager [2] - 17:22, 17.25Manhattan [2] - 170:3, 170:5 manner [1] - 215:9 mannerism [1] - 179:12 manufacture [1] -151:21 manufactured [3] -38:5, 143:2, 147:22 map [1] - 80:9 March [9] - 92:23, 92:24, 93:9, 93:15, 106:18, 123:22, 124:9, 130:19, 143:17 marijuana [3] - 60:2, 71:18, 77:16 Mark [6] - 118:15, 119:14, 119:15, 152:1, 153:9, 187:4 mark [3] - 6:24, 7:12, marked [9] - 5:22, 5:23, 5:24, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 21:25, 22:11 marked-up [2] - 5:23, marker [1] - 195:7 marshal [2] - 4:11, marshals [3] - 24:18, 25:24, 155:23 **MARTIN** [15] - 1:8, 5:15, 17:8, 22:18, 22:23, 23:8, 23:11, 23:15, 25:12, 25:21, 26:14, 26:19, 27:6, 103:17, 103:21

Martin [124] - 1:19, 1:20,

5:11, 11:4, 18:7, 22:1, 22:17, 22:21, 25:16, 28:21, 29:13, 29:20, 30:25, 33:10, 35:15, 55:9, 59:18, 59:23, 59:25, 60:3, 60:5, 61:21, 61:23, 62:2, 62:8, 66:22, 69:6. 69:24. 70:11. 70:16, 71:15, 72:7, 72:8, 72:11, 72:13, 72:22, 73:1, 74:3, 74:9, 77:11, 77:12, 78:1, 78:2, 78:3, 78:12, 78:24, 79:2, 79:18, 81:15, 81:22, 82:7, 82:18, 95:17, 95:18, 97:3, 98:25, 100:14, 100:15, 100:21, 106:21, 118:24, 122:8, 122:21, 123:1, 123:9, 123:13, 123:16, 123:25, 124:3, 124:7, 125:7, 125:12, 125:24, 126:9, 126:12, 126:16, 127:1, 127:5, 127:13, 127:22, 128:8, 128:10, 131:2, 135:2, 135:3, 135:5, 135:6, 135:20, 136:16, 136:21, 136:23, 137:7, 139:13, 139:16, 140:4, 140:12, 142:6, 145:11, 153:2, 160:2, 160:18, 164:15, 165:15, 165:16, 167:4, 167:7, 168:9, 171:23, 185:16, 186:12, 190:11, 190:12, 190:18, 191:7, 193:2, 193:6, 193:13, 194:13, 195:13, 195:15, 201:22, 206:5, 208:2 Martin's [18] - 60:9, 100:7, 122:22, 123:7, 124:15, 125:3, 131:4, 135:5, 136:25, 137:2, 138:5, 138:12, 139:7, 139:15, 147:1, 157:14, 206:2, 209:23 Mary [3] - 1:24, 215:3, 215:15 **MARYLAND** [1] - 1:2 Maryland [12] - 1:12, 1:25, 13:1, 20:15, 38:2, 38:3, 43:14, 54:21, 61:24, 67:3, 143:2, 147:22 mask [1] - 78:14 master [1] - 7:6 match [2] - 8:17, 116:2 matched [2] - 118:22, 143:18 matches [1] - 118:8

matching [1] - 116:25 material [2] - 11:9, 105:13 materializes [1] -119:11 materially [1] - 186:20 materials [1] - 105:5 matter [12] - 9:20, 108:20, 110:15, 112:15, 115:14, 131:15, 131:16, 134:8, 142:16, 192:13, 215:4, 215:9 matters [2] - 134:8, 162:10 McCaffity 1631 - 37:9. 37:15, 41:15, 43:25, 44:14, 54:2, 57:22, 57:24, 74:14, 79:7, 82:3, 82:20, 83:7, 84:12, 84:19, 84:20, 84:22, 85:14, 86:4, 86:16, 86:19, 86:22, 86:24, 86:25, 87:2, 87:16, 87:20, 88:13, 88:15, 88:16, 89:17, 92:1, 95:15, 97:9, 97:22, 97:25, 98:24, 99:23, 100:23, 106:3, 107:10, 107:12, 107:14, 113:15, 116:24, 117:1, 118:2, 131:7, 152:22, 153:12, 153:13, 159:17, 195:24, 195:25, 196:7, 196:13, 197:4, 197:11, 198:9, 198:19, 202:9, 202:25 McCaffity's [2] - 87:14, 88:2 McCaffity/Brown [2] -92:9, 195:21 McCoy [6] - 123:18, 125:4, 125:7, 127:4, 206:3, 206:8 mean [33] - 5:23, 9:15, 12:18, 25:17, 40:5, 43:10, 44:23, 48:3, 48:7, 48:8, 91:4, 91:6, 101:22, 102:1, 148:16, 161:24, 173:21, 184:3, 187:7, 188:17, 188:18, 188:20, 191:9, 194:16, 194:18, 194:22, 195:4, 195:8, 200:8, 202:22, 203:14, 212:4, 213:15 meaning [3] - 20:8, 120:21, 199:17 means [17] - 14:6, 19:20, 31:7, 31:8, 40:6, 43:21, 48:9, 52:1, 111:5, 113:16, 120:21, 158:15, 158:16, 169:15, 172:8,

Document 698

177:16, 213:23 meant [1] - 80:22 meantime [1] - 210:6 meanwhile [1] - 135:22 mechanical [2] - 12:1, media [2] - 15:1, 210:7 meet [14] - 39:7, 85:16, 87:4, 87:6, 87:7, 87:24, 87:25, 88:3, 88:15, 94:22, 100:12, 100:18, 112:16, 177:22 meeting [6] - 6:12, 87:7, 94:16, 106:16, 109:21, 184:15 meets [1] - 61:12 member [32] - 34:17, 35:12, 38:11, 39:4, 39:19, 46:12, 48:11, 48:19, 49:7, 49:17, 49:20, 51:7, 51:8, 60:23, 69:8, 69:10, 71:4, 73:24, 79:14, 119:16, 123:14, 127:18, 127:20, 128:3, 135:1, 135:9, 135:10, 137:7, 149:16, 149:17, 149.18 Members [2] - 29:5, 101:1 members [24] - 19:13, 37:1, 47:8, 47:11, 49:11, 51:13, 51:17, 68:4, 68:12, 68:20, 69:13, 72:2, 72:13, 77:15, 80:5, 80:16, 83:22, 92:15, 116:16, 144:13, 148:10, 148:11, 148:25, 173:3 Membership [1] - 39:9 membership [5] -35:22, 35:23, 39:9, 63:2, 131:3 memory [9] - 59:24, 60:5, 60:17, 64:14, 67:5, 96:7, 107:25, 119:15, 126:3 men [19] - 132:14, 132:17, 133:7, 133:25, 134:9, 162:19, 164:3, 165:1, 168:4, 170:13, 171:12, 171:24, 172:2, 172:17, 172:20, 173:7, 173:13, 199:11, 208:3 mention [4] - 6:19, 7:24, 45:8, 189:3 mentioned [15] - 14:19, 15:14, 29:18, 32:24, 42:24, 43:13, 46:2, 46:18, 54:6, 54:7, 54:9, 68:4, 102:5, 128:8,

149:20

mentions [1] - 155:13 message [3] - 96:11, 153:8, 153:9 met [8] - 143:1, 161:25, 172:8, 173:2, 188:13, 188:14, 189:2 Mexico [1] - 172:14 Michael [2] - 1:16, 1:18 Michelle [1] - 157:20 middle [5] - 85:13, 97:12, 97:16, 99:16, 143:10 midnight [1] - 95:21 might [22] - 10:24, 25:3, 25:4, 32:18, 47:17, 75:11, 109:15, 120:15, 136:8, 150:13, 153:15, 163:1, 167:4, 174:17, 175:23, 177:21, 177:23, 177:24, 180:15, 180:16, 198:9 mike [2] - 104:23, 168:1 mild [1] - 141:11 millimeter [7] - 61:4, 107:24, 108:5, 108:8, 108:19, 108:21, 144:22 Mind [1] - 187:19 mind [16] - 5:14, 5:15, 34:23, 74:19, 75:5, 101:2, 104:2, 127:17, 151:10, 160:23, 173:12, 177:17, 182:12, 184:6, 190:21. 210:9 mindful [1] - 119:22 mine [1] - 62:19 minimal [2] - 9:17, 49:19 minimum [3] - 64:24, 73:9, 93:15 minor [4] - 26:11, 26:12, 29:10, 103:24 minute [5] - 11:12, 119:14, 119:20, 123:16, 200:20 minutes [11] - 23:5, 28:13, 87:15, 104:11, 143:6, 149:21, 160:21, 160:23, 183:17, 206:14 Miranda [2] - 62:14, 109.6 misquoting [1] - 120:15 missed [1] - 105:19 missile [1] - 152:20 missing [1] - 88:11 misstate [1] - 165:22 mistake [2] - 105:15, 164:20 mistakes [4] - 105:21, 105:24, 106:9, 165:11

MITCHELL [1] - 1:7

Mitchell [190] - 1:17, 7:19, 8:5, 11:4, 13:1, 18:25, 29:19, 30:25, 35:16, 39:7, 47:25, 53:24, 53:25, 55:8, 58:5, 60:6, 61:12, 61:15, 63:12, 63:15, 63:23, 63:25, 64:17, 64:25, 66:21, 67:3, 69:16, 70:1, 70:12, 70:15, 71:8, 71:12, 72:22, 73:2, 73:9, 73:14, 74:4, 74:9, 77:9, 77:11, 77:12, 79:6, 81:20, 82:3, 82:13, 82:19, 83:16, 83:18, 83:20, 83:22, 84:4, 84:7, 86:1, 86:9, 86:16, 86:19, 86:24, 87:1, 87:17, 88:4, 88:17, 88:21, 89:2, 90:21, 90:22, 91:23, 92:17, 93:3, 95:14, 95:17, 97:1, 98:25, 100:10, 100:13, 100:16, 100:18, 106:15, 106:16, 106:21, 107:3, 107:7, 107:18, 107:22, 108:2, 108:5, 108:9, 108:11, 108:12, 108:18, 109:3, 109:5, 109:12, 109:15, 109:25, 110:14, 110:15, 111:5, 111:15, 111:17, 111:19, 111:23, 112:6, 115:7, 115:25, 116:14, 116:15, 117:4, 117:10, 118:10, 118:15, 118:18, 119:2, 119:25, 122:11, 124:7, 144:23, 144:25, 145:7, 157:20, 159:17, 159:18, 162:18, 163:13, 163:20, 163:21, 163:25, 164:8, 164:10, 164:17, 165:2, 166:7, 167:5, 167:6, 168:10, 171:14, 171:23, 172:19, 172:22, 174:14, 177:5, 177:13, 178:25, 179:2, 179:10, 183:6, 183:11, 183:13, 185:2, 185:3, 185:4, 186:1, 186:2, 186:5, 186:7, 186:10, 186:11, 186:17, 186:20, 190:11, 190:13, 191:8, 193:17, 194:9, 194:22, 195:2, 196:6, 196:7, 196:12, 197:3, 197:10, 197:15, 198:8, 199:14, 199:20, 199:21, 200:3, 200:15, 201:17, 201:18, 201:21, 202:7, 202:10, 205:6, 208:2, 208:7, 208:17, 215:5

Mitchell's [34] - 64:2, 64:21, 84:10, 86:3, 86:6, 100:7, 107:9, 108:25, 109:7, 109:22, 110:2, 111:12, 112:1, 112:12, 112:18, 113:13, 114:22, 115:9, 115:18, 115:19, 116:5, 116:18, 117:7, 117:17, 118:19, 119:3, 145:4, 157:16, 184:23, 185:1, 186:7, 197:19, 197.21 Mitchell/Harris/Martin/ Gardner [1] - 36:2 mix [3] - 11:13, 11:14, 11:19 mixture [1] - 12:17 **MO**[3] - 98:24, 107:11, 116:25 mobilized [1] - 112:13 mobilizing [1] - 95:18 mocking [1] - 117:13 modern [1] - 3:11 Mom [1] - 124:25 mom [3] - 5:1, 184:2, 205:2 mom's [2] - 194:10, 194:12 moment [10] - 4:23, 10:21, 70:22, 82:14, 99:10, 116:13, 117:10, 118:9. 128:19. 129:7 moments [3] - 130:14, 133:6, 186:6 monetary [1] - 113:17 money [40] - 31:10, 49:25, 50:7, 50:10, 60:1, 60:11, 73:1, 77:7, 77:22, 78:4, 78:19, 79:21, 92:22, 93:16, 94:7, 98:9, 98:10, 98:13, 98:16, 123:20, 136:6, 136:8, 138:11, 138:23, 138:24, 139:1, 139:2, 139:12, 142:3, 159:22, 159:24, 176:8, 180:24, 185:3, 185:5, 185:10, 199:17 Montana [6] - 13:2, 82:13, 118:16, 119:14, 119:16, 187:5 Montgomery [87] -33:8, 35:18, 66:23, 69:10, 70:9, 70:14, 72:1, 73:16, 73:19, 74:1, 74:7, 74:25, 75:15, 76:16, 77:2, 79:1, 79:11, 79:17, 81:8, 92:16, 126:10, 126:13, 126:14, 126:20,

128:2, 128:4, 128:20,

129:2, 129:22, 129:25,

130:3, 130:6, 134:16, 135:1, 135:6, 135:10, 135:12, 135:14, 135:22, 136:4, 136:8, 136:12, 137:1, 137:5, 138:6, 138:17, 139:4, 139:16, 139:24, 140:1, 140:10, 140:17, 141:7, 141:10, 141:13, 141:20, 144:17, 145:9, 155:14, 159:11, 160:2, 162:16, 162:17, 162:20, 162:25, 174:21, 178:10, 179:21, 179:22, 180:3, 180:6, 180:13, 180:22, 180:25, 181:2, 181:8, 181:14, 181:21, 185:12, 185:15, 185:21, 186:9, 186:14, 186:17, 186:25 Montgomery's [10] -66:17, 70:25, 80:3, 81:12, 131:1, 137:19, 137:25, 138:14, 138:21, 139:18 month [4] - 30:17, 184:19, 196:11, 196:16 months [8] - 29:25, 34:21, 60:9, 61:8, 124:10, 138:2, 200:16, 200:21 mooshes [1] - 12:20 morgue [1] - 151:9 morning [21] - 2:5, 8:3, 8:9, 21:23, 21:24, 28:11, 28:16, 29:5, 29:23, 30:13, 30:23, 34:21, 42:7, 92:24, 97:17, 103:24, 160:19, 209:19, 209:20, 210:11, 214:3 most [14] - 15:9, 37:17, 41:10, 84:3, 87:11, 90:9, 120:4, 125:19, 126:6, 127:5, 146:18, 178:3, 194:2, 206:19 Most [2] - 100:21, 124:13 mother [11] - 65:12, 84:6, 96:11, 107:25, 139:7, 139:14, 139:15, 157:14, 184:4, 184:9, 184:23 mother's [1] - 204:25 motion [6] - 8:10, 8:23, 9:11, 9:12, 10:22, 11:10 motions [1] - 157:8 motivation [18] - 45:2,

86:11, 86:12, 86:15,

88:16, 90:6, 90:18,

98:12, 107:5, 122:4,

138:10, 141:14, 141:25,

156:12, 178:2, 178:4, 182:20, 203:5 motivations [3] - 77:23, 98:3 114:21 motive [8] - 83:13, 86:2, 86:3, 86:5, 86:6, 159:22, 178.2 mountain [1] - 177:8 mouth [4] - 153:5, 153:6, 153:17, 155:17 move [10] - 2:10, 41:13, 42:13, 42:15, 45:24, 50:22, 52:21, 99:13, 142:7, 209:16 moved [3] - 16:5, 74:15, movement [1] - 102:20 movements [1] - 87:13 movie [28] - 110:3, 110:4, 110:5, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:2, 111:4, 111:6, 111:10, 123:19, 123:24, 123:25, 124:12, 124:20, 124:23, 124:25, 125:13, 125:15, 125:21, 127:1, 127:2, 128:9, 200:11, 200:12, 201:7, 201:11, 201:13 movies [6] - 80:16, 123:18, 124:3, 124:6, 124:11, 207:15 moving [5] - 65:6, 199:22, 200:15, 201:5, 201:8 MR [115] - 2:18, 3:3, 3:22, 4:3, 4:14, 4:16, 4:18, 4:20, 4:22, 4:25, 5:8, 5:10, 5:15, 6:11, 6:21, 9:1, 9:5, 10:24, 17:4, 17:8, 18:8, 18:17, 18:21, 18:23, 19:4, 19:8, 19:11, 19:17, 19:24, 20:6, 20:25, 21:10, 21:22, 21:24, 22:7, 22:13, 22:16, 22:18, 22:23, 23:8, 23:11, 23:15, 25:12, 25:15, 25:21, 26:14, 26:19, 26:21, 27:3, 27:6, 27:13, 27:16, 27:22, 28:2, 28:4, 28:10, 28:14, 28:16, 28:24, 29:2, 29:23, 42:11, 60:12, 60:17, 67:20, 67:23, 99:13, 99:16, 99:18, 101:13, 101:18, 101:22, 102:4, 102:8, 103:17, 103:21,

103:23, 104:4, 104:5,

104:12, 104:17, 104:25,

105:3, 128:16, 128:18,

128:22, 129:4, 129:9, 129:12, 129:20, 132:3, 132:5, 161:1, 161:6, 176:6, 181:17, 181:19, 184:8, 184:9, 184:11, 191:20, 191:22, 206:16, 210:21, 210:24, 211:7, 211:11. 211:16. 211:20. 211:21, 212:9, 212:12, 213:5, 213:7, 213:9 MS [25] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:1, 6:24, 7:5, 7:9, 7:16, 7:19, 7:24, 8:2, 8:7, 9:8, 9:22, 10:25, 11:11, 14:4, 17:5, 17:13, 17:15, 17:18, 17:21, 17:25, 18:6, 103:12, 103:16 mud [1] - 191:12 mug [1] - 197:3 Murder [1] - 43:13 murder [171] - 2:12, 2:13, 2:15, 2:21, 2:22, 2:24, 3:4, 3:15, 3:23, 4:5, 4:6, 27:12, 27:17, 37:9, 37:16, 41:18, 41:19, 41:21, 43:9, 43:14, 43:15, 43:18, 44:1, 44:2, 44:3, 44:10, 44:11, 44:13, 45:2, 50:25, 51:2, 51:8, 52:5, 52:7, 52:18, 53:6, 53:8, 53:16, 53:21, 54:1, 54:2, 54:3, 54:8, 54:9, 54:10, 54:11, 54:12, 54:13, 54:15, 54:16, 54:20, 55:5, 55:10, 55:11, 55:13, 55:16, 55:21, 55:22, 56:15, 57:4, 57:11, 57:13, 57:15, 57:16, 57:17, 57:19, 57:20, 57:24, 58:2, 58:6, 58:10, 58:12, 58:16, 58:18, 59:1, 59:4, 73:23, 76:11, 77:23, 79:2, 80:1, 82:1, 82:3, 82:7, 83:7, 84:11, 88:13, 88:20, 89:17, 89:18, 90:4, 91:12, 92:1, 92:5, 92:6, 92:22, 94:5, 98:20, 98:24, 99:6, 106:3, 106:17, 106:24, 107:21, 108:17, 108:25, 109:9, 109:15, 110:1, 110:3, 113:1, 113:16, 114:19, 117:11, 118:11, 126:11, 126:16, 130:18, 130:23, 130:24, 131:4, 131:5, 131:11, 131:24, 132:8,

133:3, 133:14, 133:17,

134:3, 134:12, 134:21,

136:9, 137:21, 138:2, 140:5, 140:17, 141:2, 141:17, 141:21, 141:22, 143:5, 143:11, 143:14, 143:15, 143:16, 144:2, 145:6, 146:2, 152:23, 154:1, 159:17, 159:19, 159:24. 164:22. 179:22. 179:23, 179:24, 188:1, 199:2 murder/robbery [1] -136.7 murdered [3] - 55:24, 87:22, 117:15 murderer [14] - 52:2, 52:3, 52:4, 52:5, 52:6, 89:18, 90:3, 162:25, 180:7, 180:19, 181:3, 208:18 murderers [4] - 131:14, 133:20, 178:5, 192:11 murders [48] - 30:10, 31:16, 31:22, 32:5, 32:7, 41:2, 41:15, 43:25, 44:1, 44:7, 44:8, 44:15, 47:3, 50:4, 50:21, 51:11, 51:25, 52:20, 53:13, 53:18, 54:1, 54:5, 54:24, 56:8, 56:12, 58:14, 74:14, 75:1, 76:13, 79:7, 79:25, 80:1, 81:7, 83:5, 92:5, 93:18, 131:6, 131:8, 142:7, 143:15, 160:8, 164:22, 164:24, 165:4, 165:7, 195:21, 203:9 museum [2] - 15:23, 15:24 **Music** [1] - 16:15 music [8] - 16:16, 16:25, 86:8, 88:23, 88:25, 118:5, 150:24, 206:19 must [14] - 34:1, 34:3, 35:6, 38:9, 40:14, 45:4, 63:21, 96:2, 125:16, 142:23, 142:24, 153:16, 175:4, 197:4 muttering [1] - 24:19 mutual [3] - 46:23, 47:4,

# Ν

mysterious [2] -

112:11, 112:16

47.17

**NA** [2] - 72:10, 80:20 **name** [15] - 36:10, 36:13, 36:22, 36:24, 45:13, 45:18, 89:9,

94:19, 118:16, 119:16, 120:5, 123:8, 147:1, 157:18, 200:12 name's [2] - 120:9 named [17] - 62:15, 62:20, 70:24, 72:10, 81:10, 81:13, 91:21, 109:22, 110:7, 112:11, 112:16, 132:10, 135:3, 154:22, 156:10, 157:19, 179.19 names [7] - 60:4, 79:18, 100:3, 110:11, 118:13, 152:2, 158:19 nanosecond [1] -101:23 Napoleon [1] - 150:16 narcotics [18] - 32:9, 42:5, 42:18, 46:2, 46:8, 47:2, 50:10, 56:4, 56:24, 59:16, 64:12, 70:15, 77:11, 92:22, 94:3, 98:7, Nassau [1] - 7:11 Natasha [16] - 63:20, 69:7, 72:20, 72:24, 93:4, 93:9, 93:23, 94:2, 94:4, 94:6, 95:20, 98:5, 98:9, 107:15, 114:24, 115:17 **nationally** [2] - 18:1, 18:2 nature [2] - 23:15, 159:3 near [4] - 64:14, 90:12, 185:4 necessarily [10] -35:23, 40:8, 40:21, 41:7, 48:23, 123:24, 129:25, 130:1, 148:16, 198:20 necessary [3] - 31:9, 33:4, 68:19 need [49] - 2:17, 2:24, 7:21, 13:15, 13:16, 13:17, 13:18, 27:15, 35:8, 36:18, 36:24, 39:3, 44:8, 45:5, 49:17, 55:13, 67:14, 76:14, 80:25, 104:23, 107:22, 124:24, 128:24, 139:3, 141:13, 142:10, 152:16, 153:10, 167:21, 169:19, 170:17, 174:19, 176:3, 176:25, 177:5, 177:10, 179:11, 179:12, 181:1, 181:8, 187:8, 189:4, 192:17, 195:17, 203:12, 211:2 needed [10] - 13:13, 37:5, 37:20, 50:7, 80:22,

138:23, 139:17, 144:25,

159:4

needs [14] - 8:5, 35:3, 80:12, 119:8, 124:2, 136:24, 138:11, 169:10, 169:11, 177:14, 189:5, 189.6 negative [1] - 165:23 neglected [1] - 7:10 neighborhood [4] -45:15, 87:20, 87:23, 132.23 neighborhoods [1] -194:5 net [2] - 16:15, 167:12 neutral [1] - 27:14 neutrality [1] - 16:15 Never [1] - 153:16 never [32] - 12:7, 12:8, 12:23, 12:25, 49:3, 49:4, 49:15, 82:25, 103:6, 119:10, 120:19, 120:24, 125:14, 153:10, 153:11, 153:13, 163:21, 163:22, 186:19, 188:10, 188:11, 188:13, 188:14, 192:2, 193:18, 193:24, 199:23, 212:5, 212:7, 213:17, 213:20 Nevertheless [1] -113:1 new [5] - 37:7, 54:20, 137:4, 181:15, 205:18 **New** [26] - 16:18, 37:7, 49:23, 62:21, 68:7, 70:8, 70:10, 70:12, 70:15, 70:16, 70:17, 70:19, 70:24, 72:1, 74:6, 74:8, 74:10, 77:1, 77:5, 169:25, 186:10, 186:12, 186:16, 186:19 news [2] - 161:13, 161:14 next [16] - 16:9, 18:17, 22:23, 55:7, 91:19, 92:5, 154:23, 155:1, 165:15, 169:12, 176:10, 182:14, 183:24, 200:15, 201:8, 210:16 nice [2] - 75:11, 93:16 Nice [1] - 184:1 nigga [5] - 89:8, 152:8, 152:9, 152:10 niggas [3] - 152:6, 152:7, 154:11 night [51] - 2:3, 2:5, 7:6, 18:24, 20:11, 22:20,

23:6, 26:13, 29:10,

85:13, 86:20, 87:3,

95:12, 96:9, 97:12,

97:16, 99:2, 100:18,

87:24, 93:10, 95:10,

Obviously [9] - 27:20,

100:20, 107:16, 109:14, 110:3, 110:5, 110:16, 112:7, 112:14, 112:16, 112:17, 113:3, 114:4, 122:11, 122:17, 125:12, 153:20, 187:16, 187:18, 187:19, 187:20, 196:9, 196:13. 197:15. 197:23. 199:22, 200:21, 200:23, 200:24, 200:25, 201:18 nine [5] - 7:23, 41:13, 42:16, 42:25, 192:8 Nine [1] - 156:16 nines [1] - 150:19 ninth [1] - 42:3 nizzle [1] - 152:19 **NO** [1] - 1:6 nobody [2] - 17:8, 184:20 non [1] - 192:16 non-fiction [1] - 192:16 **None** [4] - 36:17, 192:10, 193:1 none [5] - 115:11, 182:5, 182:6, 185:25, 191:23 nonetheless [1] -137:15 normal [1] - 166:11 **NORTHERN** [1] - 1:2 notable [2] - 64:16, 111:8 notarized [1] - 157:19 note [5] - 63:3, 87:15, 101:7, 160:21, 210:10 **notes** [4] - 6:18, 165:17, 165:20, 165:21 nothing [19] - 17:1, 80:12, 80:17, 103:23, 104:1, 110:8, 112:1, 115:6, 120:17, 136:8, 152:7, 156:2, 196:14, 198:23, 199:13, 201:14, 202:15, 203:11 nothing's [1] - 194:25 notice [3] - 6:4, 29:8, 165:20 noticed [2] - 6:2, 22:1 noting [2] - 64:10, 139:18 notion [8] - 47:21, 53:10, 110:22, 124:7, 171:2, 193:6, 196:6, 208:10 Notwithstanding [1] -174:21 notwithstanding [2] -148:20, 177:2 November [3] - 1:11, 61:3, 215:5

nowhere [1] - 64:14 nozzle [2] - 117:24, 153:25 number [26] - 5:25, 7:18, 9:3, 11:24, 22:12, 24:2, 34:10, 34:11, 37:3, 52:22, 61:19, 89:10, 103:9, 128:6, 147:6, 155:6, 170:4, 171:3, 181:25, 187:17, 187:18, 187:22, 187:23, 189:7, 192:4, 198:19 Number [13] - 18:9, 24:2, 50:23, 51:6, 54:25, 76:24, 110:21, 113:7, 116:23, 120:2, 120:23, 133:2, 137:20 Number(s [1] - 215:5 numbers [1] - 185:23 numerous [8] - 64:7, 73:2, 75:1, 75:2, 76:23, 155:13, 169:17, 199:13 nut [1] - 188:19

## 0

Oberlin [1] - 15:14 object [3] - 14:15, 60:12 objected [4] - 8:8, 8:14, 10:20, 14:16 objection [2] - 21:12, 105:9 Objection [4] - 128:16, 181:17, 184:8, 191:20 **obligation** [1] - 163:15 observe [1] - 42:9 obsessed [1] - 103:14 obsessiveness [1] -102:13 obstruct [1] - 159:1 **obstruction** [7] - 31:17, 157:25, 158:1, 158:4, 158:11, 158:22, 182:15 obstructions [1] -157:25 obtain [2] - 49:24, 81:2 obtaining [3] - 77:14, 78:2, 88:18 Obvious [1] - 117:13 obvious [15] - 23:24, 96:19, 97:10, 97:20, 119:21, 121:4, 123:24, 127:12, 129:15, 133:21, 133:22, 134:12, 155:15, 159:22 obviously [10] - 11:22, 27:18, 28:3, 123:6, 128:25, 134:5, 173:21,

176:18, 193:13, 201:18

129:1, 129:2, 129:15, 165:21, 168:1, 170:22, 174:5. 199:25 occasional [1] - 23:21 occasionally [3] - 32:2, 33:7, 36:15 Occasionally [1] -77:14 occasions [1] - 199:13 occurred [6] - 41:6, 55:2, 55:14, 102:15, 161:19, 209:1 October [3] - 59:18, 60:20, 61:8 odd [4] - 103:16, 124:10, 125:1, 126:23 odds [1] - 142:8 **OF** [3] - 1:2, 1:5, 1:11 offense [6] - 57:3, 57:6, 57:8, 168:17, 175:6, 175:7 offenses [3] - 75:2, 175:7, 177:5 offer [2] - 17:2, 81:18 officer [1] - 183:18 Officer [1] - 183:25 officer's [1] - 183:20 officers [2] - 66:7, 132:21 official [1] - 215:8 Official [1] - 215:16 oft [1] - 15:5 often [6] - 42:8, 47:6, 50:16, 68:19, 155:7 old [4] - 171:4, 171:14, 171:21, 184:5 Old [2] - 131:25, 132:14 Oliver [9] - 41:15, 54:2, 82:3, 82:20, 83:7, 86:4, 89:17, 159:17 Once [4] - 43:3, 98:1, 122:9, 173:18 once [15] - 48:3, 48:19, 51:19, 55:3, 97:19, 97:24, 117:21, 125:14, 131:3, 131:10, 136:5, 141:10, 148:10, 173:23 One [39] - 5:19, 14:19, 31:24, 32:4, 32:5, 33:18, 33:21, 33:24, 33:25, 42:14, 42:16, 45:3, 45:22, 47:20, 53:1, 56:4, 65:2, 68:17, 71:9, 104:18, 105:3, 106:2, 117:12, 119:20, 121:8, 125:23, 131:12, 143:12, 148:9, 148:19, 156:1, 156:2, 166:6, 167:14,

176:7, 176:10, 178:1,

191:7, 204:12 one [185] - 2:23, 7:14, 7:17, 12:7, 12:8, 12:19, 13:2, 15:12, 16:6, 21:8, 24:2, 24:24, 28:14, 28:15. 31:11. 32:15. 32:22, 32:23, 32:24, 33:13, 33:15, 34:10, 34:13, 34:17, 37:3, 38:1, 42:18, 42:21, 43:15, 43:16, 44:3, 44:9, 46:11, 47:5, 47:14, 47:15, 50:3, 50:23, 51:6, 52:22, 55:3, 55:14, 55:18, 56:11, 56:18, 56:19, 57:1, 57:2, 57:13, 59:13, 59:20, 60:22, 60:24, 61:25, 63:3, 63:4, 64:23, 67:3, 69:8, 71:7, 71:19, 71:20, 71:24, 72:1, 72:15, 73:21, 74:24, 75:5, 75:14, 75:17, 76:24, 77:22, 78:20, 80:22, 81:1, 81:4, 81:5, 86:17, 87:3, 88:19, 91:10, 92:8, 92:17, 92:19, 96:17, 97:9, 98:3, 98:18, 99:10, 100:11, 100:16, 102:18, 104:5, 104:19, 105:8, 105:19, 110:16, 110:21, 111:16, 113:2, 113:7, 116:16, 116:23, 120:2, 120:24, 121:5, 122:10, 123:21, 124:15, 124:19, 125:13, 126:19, 127:5, 130:9, 130:21, 130:22, 131:7, 131:8, 131:13, 132:17, 133:2, 133:20, 133:22, 134:17, 135:11, 137:4, 137:20, 139:1, 140:14, 141:7, 142:16, 143:13, 144:9, 145:14, 147:6, 147:24, 148:1, 150:11, 151:10, 154:12, 155:6, 155:23, 156:23, 157:18, 158:6, 158:17, 159:5, 164:23, 166:24, 168:12, 169:9, 172:17, 176:1, 179:25, 180:2, 181:7, 183:8, 185:24, 187:15, 187:17, 188:7, 188:17, 189:13, 189:14, 190:21, 191:17, 192:3, 192:5, 192:25, 194:4, 195:15, 196:22, 197:7, 198:19, 200:2, 206:23, 207:13, 211:5 one's [4] - 97:17, 120:17, 120:18, 132:24 ones [1] - 23:7 ongoing [2] - 127:20,

131:4 open [9] - 13:14, 23:3, 73:6, 101:2, 160:23, 202:24, 203:2, 210:8 opened [4] - 187:25, 188:8, 188:10, 188:11 opening [4] - 192:15, 193:23, 207:13, 212:18 operate [5] - 72:3, 80:12, 81:3, 82:22 operated [12] - 12:7, 33:14, 34:5, 66:14, 68:2, 71:3, 75:17, 75:18, 80:11, 80:21, 83:1, 145:20 operates [6] - 39:22, 47:9, 78:6, 78:21, 79:10 operating [5] - 66:2, 67:16, 70:3, 77:4, 77:6 operation [8] - 35:11, 47:13, 135:19, 135:21, 137:1, 137:22, 137:23, 138:2 operations [4] - 37:4, 37:10, 37:14, 76:12 operator [1] - 37:24 opinion [7] - 9:24, 10:16, 90:3, 130:7, 130:9, 130:11, 191:7 opportunities [5] -64:19, 78:7, 78:12, 78:17, 114:1 opportunity [8] - 8:5, 93:17, 96:5, 104:20, 133:5, 136:5, 137:4, 210:13 opposed [2] - 23:16, 28:11 **opposite** [1] - 192:6 opposition [1] - 8:23 option [2] - 43:17, 55.19 oral [1] - 8:10 orchestrated [1] - 44:15 order [12] - 49:24, 50:13, 76:25, 77:7, 77:22, 88:3, 102:11, 110:17, 128:9, 139:10, 177:4, 183:25 ordinary [1] - 80:7 organization [59] -19:12, 20:1, 20:14, 30:7, 35:2, 35:5, 36:20, 36:25, 37:2, 45:13, 46:19, 46:21, 49:5, 53:21, 68:13, 69:15, 69:22, 71:22, 73:18, 75:17, 75:18, 76:11, 77:19, 77:20, 78:21, 80:8,

80:10, 80:14, 83:17,

136:13, 144:7, 148:8, 148:13, 171:8, 172:6, 172:9, 172:12, 173:1, 173:2, 173:3, 173:8, 173:10, 185:17, 186:11, 186:14, 189:11, 193:7, 193:18, 194:7, 194:8, 194:16. 194:21. 195:9. 195:18, 212:20 organizational [1] -193:8 organizations [4] -21:2, 68:21, 80:15, 81:3 originally [5] - 93:14, 105:17, 106:5, 126:18, 190.10 origins [1] - 144:11 Oriole [1] - 169:14 Orioles [2] - 168:25, Orleans [1] - 16:18 ostensible [1] - 9:24 otherwise [3] - 125:5, 182:2, 185:19 ought [2] - 102:1, 102:9 ounce [1] - 64:6 ounces [2] - 62:21, 64:6 ourselves [1] - 102:9 outcome [1] - 49:10 outside [6] - 38:2, 38:3, 85:2. 131:22. 143:2. 147:22 overcome [1] - 177:14 overemphasize [1] -148:18 overheard [1] - 94:11 overhears [2] - 94:22, overlap [1] - 11:23 overlapping [1] - 144:5 overlooked [1] - 15:5 overpowering [4] -130:24, 131:13, 151:16, 155.4 overreached [1] -182:23 overreaching [4] -185:14, 187:3, 191:14, 197:17 Overruled [1] - 191:21 owe [1] - 199:17 owed [3] - 62:24, 199.15 own [23] - 14:15, 15:7, 15:8, 42:18, 72:9, 75:21, 80:16, 81:8, 90:12, 90:23. 111:16. 121:7. 121:23, 139:6, 145:1, 150:14, 167:2, 167:3, 180:1, 202:11, 203:7,

204:4, 213:15 Р P-5A [1] - 173:5 P-5B [1] - 173:5 p.m [7] - 2:1, 101:6, 101:9, 101:10, 160:24, 214.4 packaged [1] - 64:7 packages [2] - 94:3, packaging [2] - 63:16, 105:13 packing [1] - 199:23 pads [3] - 101:7, 160:21, 210:10 page [1] - 110:25 pages [2] - 4:9, 215:7 paid [8] - 161:8, 161:9, 178:6, 178:23, 179:17, 179:20, 181:5, 181:20 pain [1] - 25:17 painfully [1] - 121:4 pains [1] - 171:15 pair [1] - 96:22 pairs [1] - 59:16 palm [3] - 91:11, 91:13, 91:20 panel [3] - 16:19, 16:20, 16:21 panels [1] - 16:4 pantry [1] - 146:12 paper [4] - 126:16, 126:21, 128:9, 146:25 papers [1] - 16:14 paraded [1] - 178:4 Paragraph [3] - 19:2, 19:10, 20:20 paraphrasing [5] - 91:7, 123:6, 178:16, 204:23, 207:3 parcel [4] - 42:20, 78:6, 135:17, 160:2 Parcheesi [1] - 201:13

parent [2] - 176:15,

parental [2] - 176:20,

parents [1] - 194:14

parole [1] - 181:12

Parson's [1] - 157:14

Parsons [2] - 139:7,

Part [2] - 11:8, 151:18

part [79] - 11:12, 11:13,

11:14, 11:19, 16:1, 32:6,

150:15, 151:13

Park [4] - 49:5, 89:13,

176:16

176:24

157:17

33:11, 35:7, 35:19, 35:20, 36:3, 36:7, 38:23, 40:14, 41:6, 41:22, 42:16, 42:20, 44:11, 45:10, 46:2, 49:25, 50:19, 51:11, 53:4, 64:12, 64:13, 67:7, 67:12. 68:10. 68:14. 71:24, 78:5, 78:6, 79:8, 79:9, 80:11, 81:3, 86:11, 89:15, 89:16, 111:6, 126:7, 126:15, 127:21, 127:24, 129:22, 135:16, 135:19, 136:19, 137:21, 138:3, 138:7, 138:13, 143:25, 148:9, 148:13, 148:15, 148:16, 151:19, 151:20, 151:22, 159:12, 160:1, 166:2, 170:3, 170:25, 171:8, 171:11, 171:12, 171:17, 172:5, 172:8, 172:9, 188:25, 194:24 partially [2] - 136:15, 166:18 participant [1] - 54:25 participants [1] - 206:1 participate [6] - 39:13, 39:17, 39:24, 40:21, 43:6, 53:17 participated [3] - 41:5, 53:19, 54:22 participation [1] - 49:19 particular [22] - 11:25, 12:6, 31:12, 33:6, 35:4, 36:10, 37:4, 38:11, 43:17, 47:14, 74:16, 87:14, 87:25, 88:3, 90:4, 91:10, 135:20, 139:1, 144:16, 159:1, 183:24 particularly [5] - 6:3, 85:13, 102:20, 109:24, 168:3 partly [1] - 118:13 partner [1] - 167:25 partner's [1] - 200:23 partners [3] - 42:20, 169:13, 169:16 partnership [7] -167:24, 168:18, 168:19, 168:23, 170:12, 170:14, 173:25 parts [3] - 15:18, 81:5, party [8] - 77:3, 83:14, 119:5, 125:10, 153:21, 153:23, 176:2, 176:7 passenger [3] - 61:5, 61:6, 84:21

past [3] - 31:17, 65:6,

142:7 path [1] - 167:20 paths [2] - 164:17, 168:7 patience [1] - 29:7 Pattern [1] - 39:14 pattern [21] - 33:22, 37:3, 38:12, 39:14, 39:24, 40:3, 40:6, 40:7, 40:9, 40:12, 40:20, 40:21, 41:7, 43:6, 95:16, 99:22, 100:9, 121:17, 212:15, 212:21, 212:24 pattern's [1] - 42:22 patterns [1] - 66:2 paucity [1] - 171:2 Paul [2] - 1:19, 15:20 Pause [1] - 129:8 pay [5] - 123:20, 124:16, 139:10, 180:16, 182:16 paying [2] - 156:3, 165:21 payola [1] - 16:15 peg [2] - 103:11 penalty [4] - 211:1, 211:6, 211:13, 213:13 Pennsylvania [11] -38:4, 63:13, 63:15, 63:18, 63:24, 64:1, 64:13, 64:21, 65:25, 93:2, 111:21 people [86] - 13:17, 13:23, 14:25, 15:10, 17:6, 17:16, 25:3, 26:8, 32:14, 33:2, 44:17, 46:6, 46:20, 46:23, 48:16, 48:17, 49:12, 49:24, 50:12, 69:2, 72:15, 75:11, 76:15, 79:19, 80:6, 85:23, 87:4, 89:23, 93:24, 94:21, 97:5, 99:3, 100:22, 102:23, 106:19, 113:3, 120:4, 121:13, 122:11, 124:13, 127:10, 135:15, 146:15, 149:5, 149:11, 150:17, 150:22, 150:23, 151:7, 152:1, 152:12, 152:13, 152:14, 152:17, 153:4, 153:6, 155:13, 156:5, 158:12, 168:21, 169:5, 169:22, 169:23, 170:4, 175:22, 177:22, 177:24, 180:3, 182:9, 182:16, 184:25, 185:1, 185:10, 185:25, 186:5, 190:15, 191:3, 196:5, 196:23, 197:24, 198:23, 200:7, 200:9 People [2] - 83:15,

151:12 people's [4] - 91:19, 117:23, 118:13, 133:9 per[1] - 82:10 perceived [1] - 162:12 perfect [5] - 15:10, 163:22, 174:15, 200:8, 204.2 perfectly [4] - 26:14, 97:20, 104:8, 114:7 Perhaps [4] - 4:10, 23:10, 42:6, 87:11 perhaps [3] - 141:7, 168:11, 168:21 period [9] - 30:17, 35:17, 39:1, 39:5, 67:16, 77:12, 124:18, 137:9, 193:6  $\textbf{permission} \ [\textbf{1}] \textbf{-} \ 7 \textbf{:} 12$ permit [2] - 9:13, 10:13 permitted [2] - 10:15, 13:11 perpetrate [1] - 3:9 perpetration [3] - 2:23, 3:8, 3:15 person [37] - 3:8, 18:2, 35:9, 38:18, 39:19, 43:22, 44:24, 51:2, 51:4, 57:9, 67:5, 84:9, 86:24, 87:1, 88:3, 107:13, 110:9, 113:8, 125:8, 142:12. 142:18. 147:8. 147:18. 147:21. 156:10. 157:11, 157:12, 163:2, 163:22, 166:25, 168:13, 180:11, 185:5, 191:7, 191:8 person's [3] - 15:12, 49:18, 197:20 persona [1] - 183:12 personal [2] - 147:11 personally [5] - 41:5, 51:5, 51:15, 127:17, 181.1 perspective [1] - 23:19 perspectives [2] - 15:6, persuaded [1] - 10:11 persuasive [2] - 100:5, 143.22 Pete [1] - 94:19 petty [1] - 194:20 **Ph.D** [2] - 12:2, 16:23 phenomenon [1] -209:1 Phipps [1] - 59:21 phone [73] - 73:14, 86:15, 86:19, 86:25, 87:2, 87:8, 87:17, 87:24, 88:1, 88:4, 88:5, 89:9,

Document 698

90:18, 90:23, 91:25, 93:7, 94:11, 94:22, 95:3, 95:14, 95:22, 95:24, 96:1, 96:12, 96:13, 96:20, 99:9, 99:22, 100:2, 100:20, 106:14, 109:13, 109:14, 109:18, 112:7. 112:10. 112:11. 114:6, 114:20, 115:21, 115:22, 116:1, 116:2, 116:13, 122:10, 123:12, 127:1, 127:13, 128:6, 140:18, 196:6, 196:8, 196:10, 196:15, 196:16, 196:24, 198:22, 199:3, 200:1, 200:2, 201:15, 201:16, 201:24, 202:2. 202:3, 202:8, 204:21, 204:24, 205:25 phones [6] - 86:23, 99:24, 100:4, 100:6, 100.8 **photo** [7] - 97:13, 185:22, 185:25, 186:6, 186.15 photograph [1] - 146:9 photos [1] - 85:9 phrase [2] - 21:8, 36:20 physical [1] - 140:3 physically [2] - 18:12, 159:7 pick [3] - 37:7, 96:1, 186:14 picked [6] - 50:8, 73:1, 89:21, 198:6, 198:10 picks [3] - 186:1, 186:5, 186:7 picture [2] - 72:5, 186:7 piece [10] - 84:16, 118:10, 125:20, 148:3, 149:6, 157:3, 157:15, 158:24, 198:15, 200:10 pieces [1] - 146:25 pin [1] - 112:21 piss [1] - 150:14 pitched [1] - 201:4 place [22] - 44:16, 52:6, 66:8, 73:5, 88:2, 97:12, 104:6, 106:11, 139:24, 143:16, 147:16, 150:15, 162:7, 169:8, 169:12, 169:15, 170:9, 170:10, 196:9, 209:21 places [5] - 49:24, 98:17, 149:5, 150:17, plain [2] - 107:20, 193:6 plainly [1] - 112:25 plan [15] - 52:13, 109:15, 111:20, 122:5,

134:22, 135:16, 136:10, 136:11, 136:14, 136:18, 137:11, 160:1, 160:3, 160.4 Planned [1] - 92:6 planned [11] - 41:17, 44:15, 54:24, 55:24, 80:1, 80:2, 90:22, 137:10, 142:6 **planning** [14] - 47:3, 79:12, 91:24, 93:10, 94:10, 94:22, 109:20, 128:3, 134:14, 134:21, 135:10, 141:25, 157:23, 211:23 plans [7] - 6:12, 93:20, 94:14, 95:1, 99:3, 100:11, 107:16 plant [2] - 17:22, 17:25 plants [1] - 18:2 platform [1] - 148:25 play [5] - 95:13, 148:10, 190:22, 190:24, 200:13 played [1] - 207:13 playing [3] - 27:13, 179:4, 201:13 plea [8] - 23:15, 24:21, 25:4, 76:4, 172:13, 173:4, 182:1 pleasant [1] - 210:11 pleased [2] - 4:19, 163:16 pleasure [1] - 163:14 pled [1] - 141:1 plenty [7] - 12:22, 36:11, 36:12, 36:19, 124:1, 158:3 plot [1] - 131:4 plus [1] - 152:17 pockets [4] - 96:22, 123:5, 128:7 Point [5] - 101:15, 106:4, 106:11, 188:21, point [40] - 2:24, 9:10, 11:6, 22:4, 24:12, 25:14, 25:24, 29:15, 36:4, 74:1, 74:15, 76:5, 82:15, 96:4, 99:11, 102:14, 105:19, 111:11, 113:2, 120:24, 122:6. 125:5. 130:15. 139:16, 139:23, 140:1, 140:2, 140:15, 140:16, 141:7, 151:9, 166:18, 173:11, 184:6, 184:12, 187:1, 197:9, 206:23, 212.19 pointed [2] - 66:5, 66:6

pointing [2] - 75:23,

106:19

points [7] - 32:1, 75:16, 123:24, 127:12, 131:16, 139:19, 183:4 Police [2] - 132:21, 184.7 police [26] - 66:7, 66:10, 80:7, 82:9, 84:25, 88:13, 96:10, 109:4, 109:13, 109:22, 110:8, 110:23, 112:8, 121:9, 121:10, 125:22, 128:14, 130:2, 130:3, 130:7, 133:10, 183:10, 183:17, 184:25, 200:6 political [1] - 12:18 politics [3] - 12:19, 12:20, 16:8 poll [1] - 17:9 poor[1] - 184:5 **pop** [4] - 118:7, 150:13, 180:23 popped [1] - 151:8 Poppi [8] - 62:20, 68:7, 70:8, 70:18, 70:24, 72:16, 77:1 Porter [1] - 15:20 position [16] - 8:7, 21:12, 25:18, 53:3, 53:8, 53:10, 53:14, 53:15, 53:19, 67:4, 97:6, 100:17, 109:11, 110:8, 149:13 positions [1] - 97:20 positive [2] - 84:10, 123.7 possess [4] - 42:4, 56:5, 142:18, 177:6 possessed [1] - 56:21 possessing [1] - 56:13 possession [25] - 46:8, 57:3, 57:6, 57:14, 57:23, 58:1, 58:9, 58:12, 58:17, 58:19, 58:25, 108:5, 108:7, 142:11, 142:14, 142:15, 142:19, 142:21, 142:25, 147:6, 147:8, 147:9, 147:13, 147:17, 147:20 possibility [2] - 5:3, possible [7] - 28:17, 30:15, 82:20, 94:16, 142:1, 206:3, 210:18 possibly [4] - 28:21, 81:18, 127:6, 127:7 post [1] - 146:2 post-murder [1] - 146:2 postponed [1] - 158:21 posture [1] - 28:20

powder [2] - 61:22,

150:14 Power [5] - 101:15, 106:4, 106:11, 188:21, 213:3 Powerful [2] - 90:17, 116:17 powerful [12] - 87:11, 90:15, 108:24, 119:4, 123:1, 130:10, 130:22, 145:17, 149:16, 158:25, 159:21 powerfully [4] - 72:20, 74:25, 84:4, 144:3 Powers [2] - 7:11 practical [1] - 68:17 precise [1] - 116:13 precisely [5] - 50:16, 64:17, 100:13, 107:12, 117:10 precision [1] - 90:1 predicate [7] - 2:23, 3:15, 56:17, 56:18, 57:2, 57:21. 58:4 **Prefer** [1] - 28:15 prefer [5] - 5:12, 6:16, 28:10, 28:12, 28:14 preference [1] - 4:1 preferred [1] - 6:8 premarked [1] - 22:9 Premarked [1] - 22:13 premeditated [6] - 2:21, 3:23, 43:20, 57:11, 57:15, 57:20 premeditation [2] -44:6, 57:11 premise [2] - 8:21, 10:19 premises [1] - 166:4 preparation [2] - 79:1, prepare [1] - 14:17 prepared [3] - 9:15, 101:5. 157:12 preponderance [1] -175:25 Preposterous [2] -121:22, 121:23 presence [3] - 123:7, 127:7, 147:13 present [14] - 26:24, 73:3, 101:11, 125:21, 132:13, 133:3, 140:21, 141:8, 160:16, 186:20, 186:25, 187:12, 190:1, 198:13 presentation [6] -15:20, 15:23, 103:25, 106:4, 188:21, 212:22 presented [9] - 100:6, 150:3, 177:4, 183:21,

185:11, 190:10, 190:16, 201:23, 204:15 presenter [1] - 102:7 presenting [3] - 189:18, 189:19. 206:7 presents [1] - 185:7 president [2] - 36:14, 67:4 pressed [1] - 128:6 presumption [4] -174:10, 174:21, 174:23, 177:15 pretty [11] - 9:11, 9:15, 12:14, 24:18, 27:11, 28:6, 149:22, 161:14, 163:7, 188:20, 211:4 preventing [1] - 158:20 previews [1] - 125:17 previous [2] - 15:19, 142:5 previously [4] - 14:16, 142:12, 143:4, 147:8 price [1] - 120:12 primarily [2] - 194:14, 196:17 print [5] - 20:12, 91:11, 91:13, 91:20, 101:21 printed [1] - 101:17 **printout** [1] - 104:3 prisoners [1] - 26:5 private [1] - 152:16 privilege [1] - 163:14 problem [19] - 9:25, 27:3, 28:2, 28:4, 28:9, 37:5, 41:11, 98:1, 105:1, 111:12, 113:20, 115:17, 121:19, 125:2, 135:25, 140:23, 184:24, 185:21, 211:22 problems [3] - 113:5, 192:11, 209:20 procedural [1] - 5:19 proceed [7] - 9:13, 26:18, 29:9, 29:12, 29:15, 101:5, 104:16 proceeding [3] - 9:20, 11:25, 159:1 Proceedings [2] - 2:1, proceedings [6] - 2:7, 42:9, 159:2, 208:14, 215:4, 215:8 process [1] - 88:18 prodding [1] - 161:11 produce [1] - 124:13 produced [1] - 13:4 producer [3] - 187:21, 187:22. 189:9 produces [1] - 123:19 producing [1] - 12:25

proves [7] - 47:12,

47:18, 90:20, 91:8,

product [3] - 13:16, 102:6 production [1] - 149:11 profane [1] - 103:5 professor [1] - 12:2 professors [1] - 16:7 proffer [4] - 9:9, 11:16, 184:15, 203:12 proffered [1] - 22:8 profitable [3] - 30:8, 113:8, 136:5 program [4] - 15:20, 16:1, 16:2, 16:6 **Projectiles** [1] - 98:20 prolong [2] - 13:25, 22:18 **promise** [1] - 42:13 promptly [2] - 209:19, 209:22 Proof [1] - 123:6 proof [22] - 54:21, 84:10, 91:22, 91:23, 123:11, 168:14, 168:15, 174:10, 174:11, 175:2, 175:19, 175:24, 176:25, 177:15, 196:23, 197:14, 198:11, 198:19, 209:9, 212:16, 212:19 proper [2] - 12:5, 104:5 property [4] - 44:23, 44:25, 144:7, 147:19 proposal [1] - 3:20 proposed [4] - 2:14, 5:21, 11:20, 210:14 proposition [2] - 51:21, 181:16 prosecuted [2] - 75:25, prosecution [1] - 30:20 protect [2] - 81:1, 115:3 protected [2] - 31:9, 31.11 protecting [3] - 72:1, 81:4, 188:22 protection [11] - 37:8, 76:21, 80:6, 80:10, 81:2, 81:17, 119:6, 159:14, 189:1, 203:15, 203:17 Protection [1] - 82:16 proud [2] - 154:4, 154:5 prove [17] - 32:15, 34:1, 34:3, 40:14, 40:16, 45:7, 51:15, 54:20, 66:4, 68:18, 84:25, 92:1, 124:5, 128:3, 175:13, 198:23, 202:4 proved [1] - 175:6 proven [10] - 34:7, 41:3, 43:1, 45:5, 45:20, 49:3, 59:7, 59:9, 142:4

106:23, 123:15, 127:6 provide [10] - 37:19, 74:13, 74:14, 75:24, 78:7, 111:9, 124:8, 125:15, 139:3, 189:5 provided [4] - 52:16, 71:2, 89:19, 138:22 provides [4] - 73:17, 89:18, 111:13, 128:1 providing [6] - 37:8, 52:3, 74:15, 77:10, 77:12, 77:16 proving [4] - 33:1, 66:13, 68:11, 133:1 proximity [2] - 62:13, 146:24 psychiatrist [1] - 103:6 psychiatrists [1] -102:22 psychologists [1] -102:22 **Public** [1] - 16:3 Publications [1] - 14:22 published [2] - 14:22, 15:17 puffery [1] - 153:24 puffing [1] - 153:24 **pull** [5] - 9:6, 10:21, 131:14, 132:1, 163:18 pulled [2] - 131:17, 132:10 pulling [1] - 77:7 punishment [1] -211:10 purchase [3] - 70:15, 73:3, 177:23 purchased [3] - 71:8, 71:9, 125:21 Pure [1] - 195:6 pure [1] - 106:2 purely [1] - 107:11 purpose [7] - 35:2, 53:7, 53:18, 74:9, 76:10, 139:1, 154:7 purposes [7] - 10:7, 33:16, 36:24, 48:11, 66:9, 134:12, 139:2 pursuing [3] - 64:18, 192:3, 192:5 pushed [2] - 102:13, pushing [1] - 24:18 Put [1] - 153:25 put [38] - 6:4, 12:12, 13:11, 16:14, 19:18, 22:19, 23:18, 36:23, 80:14, 86:16, 90:25, 91:1, 95:25, 100:17,

110:8, 110:25, 111:23, 117:5, 120:5, 120:10, 124:17, 124:22, 134:20, 135:22, 136:17, 141:17, 146:20, 153:14, 156:5, 158:3, 184:21, 186:7, 192:9, 197:9, 197:18, 198:1. 207:11 puts [1] - 141:16 putting [13] - 82:8, 96:3, 117:6, 117:23, 117:24, 118:13, 126:24, 135:8, 137:20, 138:6, 139:14, 152:7, 182:22 **PYNE** [6] - 28:10, 28:14, 28:16, 28:24, 29:2, 60:12 Pyne [5] - 1:21, 28:8, 105:9, 105:14, 209:23

Document 698

### Q

qualifications [8] -

9:16, 9:22, 10:8, 10:20, 11:2, 11:13, 11:21, 14:1 **qualified** [1] - 10:6 qualify [1] - 17:20 quality [1] - 205:12 quantities [4] - 64:5, 64:6, 105:20, 105:23 quantity [7] - 60:20, 62:3, 64:9, 65:9, 98:8, 105:10 quarters [1] - 64:6 questioned [2] - 187:6, 187:15 questioning [1] -188:12 questions [1] - 128:15 quick [4] - 5:18, 167:8, 167:19, 206:23 quickly [8] - 9:6, 27:11, 42:15, 52:22, 59:17, 174:4, 183:3, 210:15 quiet [1] - 82:23 quite [7] - 3:25, 89:5, 92:6, 133:11, 134:5, 159:6, 183:23 Quite [2] - 173:21, 175:11 quote [2] - 14:19, 20:8 quoting [2] - 66:15,

# R

151:17

racket [1] - 195:17 racketeer [3] - 194:23, 195:4, 208:18 racketeering [103] -

31:15, 31:25, 32:6, 32:8, 32:10, 32:13, 32:21, 33:16, 33:21, 33:23, 34:5, 34:19, 35:7, 35:21, 36:4, 36:5, 38:12, 39:2, 39:10, 39:14, 39:15, 39:24, 40:4, 40:6, 40:9, 40:10. 40:12. 40:15. 40:17, 41:1, 41:12, 41:18, 42:3, 42:21, 43:1, 43:2, 43:3, 43:7, 43:10, 43:13, 43:17, 44:2, 44:8, 44:12, 44:18, 44:19, 45:6, 45:9, 45:11, 45:20, 46:3, 46:14, 52:18, 52:20, 52:24, 53:9, 53:16, 53:18, 54:5, 54:18, 55:21, 56:4, 56:8, 56:15, 56:20, 56:24, 57:24, 58:14, 59:1, 59:11, 61:12, 68:18, 68:21, 69:10, 76:18, 86:13, 93:18, 134:13, 136:19, 137:18, 139:5, 145:24, 148:8, 148:19, 167:15, 171:7, 171:21, 172:8, 172:11, 172:24, 173:1, 173:8, 173:10, 173:15, 173:23, 173:25, 186:14, 194:16, 194:21, 212.15 Racketeering [1] -42:16 racketeers [3] - 172:3, 172:4, 195:19 Rahman [1] - 196:3 Rahman's [3] - 84:13,

87:12, 89:24 Railroad [1] - 24:20 railroad [1] - 24:9 railroaded [1] - 23:24 rain [1] - 162:16 raise [3] - 40:3, 67:24, 104:23 raises [1] - 28:18 ran [2] - 121:9 Randallstown [1] -94:13 Randallstown/Park [5] - 19:12, 20:1, 20:13, 36:20, 45:13 rap [24] - 13:4, 13:6, 13:9, 13:21, 16:25, 33:12, 65:19, 67:11, 67:15, 68:10, 82:4, 102:14, 103:4, 118:5,

118:6, 147:3, 148:1,

148:7, 149:7, 149:9,

207:15

156:13, 164:18, 195:12,

raps [1] - 117:22 Raps [3] - 117:23, 117:24, 117:25 rarely [2] - 156:5, 157:6 rat [2] - 120:11, 156:11 rather [4] - 39:19, 132:18, 168:20, 174:4 ratted [1] - 152:3 ratting [1] - 82:8 raunchy [1] - 103:5 razor [1] - 61:22 reach [2] - 57:1, 175:3 reaching [1] - 55:19 react [1] - 23:25 reacted [1] - 37:6 read [8] - 2:13, 20:11, 170:11, 170:15, 170:20, 170:21, 170:22, 177:20 Read [1] - 173:5 reading [1] - 192:16 readings [1] - 15:17 reads [1] - 117:12 **Ready** [2] - 78:17, 160:25 ready [14] - 4:9, 4:11, 27:10, 29:7, 29:14, 29:22, 31:7, 78:7, 87:24, 87:25, 100:18, 104:16, 161:5 real [27] - 12:16, 39:21, 48:10, 49:1, 82:22, 83:2, 83:6, 83:21, 85:25, 98:2, 113:11, 149:5, 150:15, 150:16, 150:17, 150:22, 150:23, 152:17, 153:23, 157:25, 158:1, 158:4, 170:18 realities [2] - 47:5, 68:17 realize [1] - 7:10 **Really** [2] - 53:20, 97:16 really [49] - 3:16, 14:13, 17:12, 25:17, 27:24, 28:20, 28:25, 32:25, 43:21, 45:19, 48:2, 53:22, 59:10, 73:20, 76:6, 76:20, 79:25, 89:5, 90:14, 101:24, 102:17, 103:4, 103:10, 109:23, 110:9, 111:14, 112:1, 113:16, 119:21, 124:2, 126:5, 126:22, 146:2, 152:5, 152:8, 152:11, 156:17, 158:8, 162:18, 163:2, 171:19, 172:11,

184:6, 185:17, 187:8,

187:14, 197:8, 212:8

91:12, 91:20, 98:22,

213:10

rear [6] - 84:20, 84:21,

reason [13] - 87:5, 88:11, 89:4, 89:11, 118:14, 118:21, 127:24, 170:19, 177:9, 196:2, 201:9, 202:19 reasonable [21] - 34:2, 34:3, 34:8, 41:9, 43:2, 45:5, 45:9, 45:21, 55:15, 91:9, 91:22, 106:23, 159:21, 159:25, 175:3, 175:7, 175:13, 176:23, 177:6, 177:8, 177:15 reasonably [1] - 51:10 reasons [8] - 2:2, 26:22, 64:19, 71:9, 126:9, 131:9, 137:17, 160:9 rebuttal [2] - 162:22, 209.25 receipt [1] - 123:19 received [7] - 8:3, 14:3, 75:8, 90:16, 90:25, 104:7, 178:13 receives [1] - 96:11 receiving [1] - 120:24 recent [1] - 94:3 recently [1] - 138:24 recess [6] - 101:6, 101:9, 160:15, 160:20, 160:23, 214:3 Recess [3] - 101:10, 160:24, 214:4 recognize [4] - 96:4, 115:5. 158:19. 162:24 recognizes [2] - 51:19, 51:21 recollect [1] - 105:8 recollection [5] - 60:14, 128:20, 128:23, 129:11, 162:12 reconsider [1] - 8:11 record [14] - 2:3, 7:13, 10:18, 11:16, 12:12, 12:25, 18:5, 21:12, 21:17, 22:19, 23:2, 23:14, 68:23, 134:19 recorded [2] - 96:15, recording [5] - 68:24, 96:8, 96:13, 115:9, 115:11 recordings [1] - 158:5 records [26] - 7:11, 74:21, 75:22, 90:19, 90:23, 95:22, 95:24, 111:2, 112:10, 112:12, 114:20, 115:21, 116:2, 116:5, 116:13, 140:19, 196:10, 196:16, 198:22, 198:23, 201:25, 202:2, 202:4, 204:22, 205:25

recovered [4] - 86:23, 98:14, 117:22, 140:3 recovery [2] - 65:17, 106:7 Recovery [1] - 85:18 recruited [2] - 69:14, 69:15 recruiting [2] - 49:12, 192.1 recruitment [1] - 117:4 recruits [1] - 89:14 recuperating [1] - 199:8 red [11] - 52:10, 59:22, 60:2. 131:16. 132:9. 132:17, 132:23, 132:24, 133:15, 139:21, 147:2 Red [3] - 168:25, 169:1, 201:4 redundant [1] - 192:23 refer [1] - 101:24 reference [15] - 18:11, 19:1, 19:19, 19:25, 91:16, 106:5, 123:14, 150:9, 150:10, 150:20, 150:21, 152:11, 152:12, 153:2 References [3] -152:10, 152:14 references [7] - 21:1, 31:25. 33:12. 36:15. 150:4, 202:13, 212:19 referred [6] - 21:2, 21:15, 61:24, 102:5, 173:1, 212:18 referring [3] - 19:20, 19:23, 123:8 reflect [1] - 11:16 reflecting [1] - 158:25 reflective [2] - 60:15, reflects [1] - 159:2 refresh [1] - 129:10 refreshed [1] - 126:3 refusing [1] - 158:19 Refusing [1] - 158:20 regard [1] - 6:2 Regarding [1] - 21:25 regarding [4] - 16:12, 16:22, 178:2, 178:10 regardless [2] - 11:1, 134:5 regrettable [1] - 156:8 regrouping [1] - 105:6 regularly [1] - 124:21 regulations [1] - 49:4 rejects [1] - 112:25 relate [2] - 50:20, 55:7 related [8] - 7:10, 32:14, 32:24, 43:4, 56:13, 70:13, 70:14, 74:13

relating [2] - 54:1, 59:10 relationship [14] - 50:2, 62:20, 64:24, 71:11, 93:14, 107:4, 107:6, 110:14, 111:18, 122:10, 127:13, 135:6, 136:2, 188:22 relationships [4] -62:12, 63:25, 120:20, 148:6 relative [1] - 193:4 release [1] - 146:21 released [1] - 78:8 relevance [2] - 20:23, 143:24 relevant [8] - 61:13, 64:9, 83:13, 98:4, 134:14, 137:18, 147:5, 156:23 reliability [1] - 10:10 reliable [1] - 77:18 reliably [1] - 33:4 relieved [1] - 156:22 reluctantly [1] - 84:6 rely [2] - 71:11, 81:1 relying [5] - 64:23, 80:6, 81.9 remain [2] - 103:14, 160:13 remaining [1] - 56:1 remarkable [1] - 161:21 remarks [1] - 23:6 Remember [7] - 115:22, 121:6, 177:12, 184:1, 193:19, 202:24, 203:7 remember [31] - 9:3, 10:4, 22:7, 59:19, 84:13, 84:14, 110:2, 110:4, 110:22, 111:11, 123:21, 129:16, 129:17, 146:13, 175:17, 183:7, 184:13, 184:24, 185:17, 188:9, 189:10, 200:14, 200:17, 200:20, 200:21, 200:23, 200:24, 201:1, 201:7, 209:5 remembered [2] -110:3, 200:12 remembers [3] -129:16, 129:17, 184:19 reminded [1] - 121:19 remote [2] - 85:13, 104:8 remove [1] - 150:14 repeated [1] - 14:10 report [3] - 104:7, 184:12, 184:13

reported [1] - 130:2

Reported [1] - 1:23

Document 698

Reporter [1] - 215:16 reporter [1] - 165:18 REPORTER'S [1] -215:1 reports [2] - 82:11, 210:7 represent [4] - 163:13, 163:14, 166:7, 168:19 represented [2] - 29:13, represents [1] - 141:21 reputation [3] - 89:4, 89:5, 89:12 request [3] - 101:20. 188:1, 213:12 requesting [1] - 8:10 require [8] - 36:5, 36:10, 46:18, 46:19, 46:22, 49:15, 51:2, 51:16 required [13] - 11:9, 32:19, 36:17, 38:24, 39:16, 39:18, 40:12, 40:17, 40:19, 45:22, 66:4, 66:7 requirement [5] - 35:3, 35:5, 37:12, 39:23, 44:6 requirements [1] -54.21 requires [1] - 48:10 reservation [2] -161:23, 178:20 reservoir [1] - 96:3 residence [1] - 65:8 residences [1] - 100:5 residential [2] - 85:8, residue [1] - 61:22 resolve [3] - 31:10, 81:2. 192:17 resonate [1] - 54:23 resources [3] - 71:23, 76:13, 159:13 respect [9] - 43:7, 47:25, 58:12, 74:2, 110:7, 127:14, 135:24, 157:8, 213:12 respected [1] - 15:8 respectfully [1] - 91:17 respects [2] - 46:17, response [2] - 82:10, 119:24 responsibility [4] -164:12, 165:3, 167:5, 167.7 responsible [5] - 51:18, 51:20, 166:17, 167:2 rest [5] - 61:5, 96:9, 106:16, 174:7, 180:5 result [3] - 63:12, 63:19,

182:1 results [1] - 3:8 resume [2] - 29:16, 160:19 resupplying [1] - 31:7 retain [1] - 85:20 review [2] - 30:18, 210:13 reviewed [1] - 30:5 reviewing [1] - 88:19 revisit [1] - 3:1 revolve [1] - 111:10 revolver [6] - 59:3, 85:20, 133:18, 139:21, 143:2 Reynolds [7] - 33:9, 69:5, 72:5, 72:8, 72:12, 80:19, 178:11 RHODES [25] - 5:18, 5:24, 6:1, 6:24, 7:5, 7:9, 7:16, 7:19, 7:24, 8:2, 8:7, 9:8, 9:22, 10:25, 11:11, 14:4, 17:5, 17:13, 17:15, 17:18, 17:21, 17:25, 18:6, 103:12, 103:16 Rhodes [16] - 1:17, 5:17, 7:8, 7:18, 7:22, 9:7, 18:4, 29:18, 102:12, 163:13, 166:7, 196:17, 202:1, 202:3, 207:13 Rice [13] - 80:13, 83:17, 83:22. 84:1. 172:9. 172:12, 173:2, 173:3, 194:8, 196:3, 197:9, 197:25 Rick [5] - 110:3, 110:22, 110:24, 111:1, 111:6 **RICO** [11] - 37:12, 37:20, 37:22, 46:17, 67:10, 67:12, 67:16, 68:10, 170:16, 192:22, 194.7 rid [8] - 3:14, 108:10, 108:11, 108:13, 109:1, 133:16, 145:5 ride [2] - 150:6, 153:16 right-hand [1] - 186:4 rightly [1] - 81:20 rights [7] - 62:14, 109:6. 176:20. 176:25. 184:14, 184:16, 184:21 rigid [1] - 35:23 ring [1] - 74:5 riots [1] - 152:17 ripped [1] - 202:25 rise [1] - 34:23 risk [1] - 211:8 rivals [1] - 31:8

Road [2] - 131:25,

132:14 rob [7] - 50:12, 98:13, 135:3, 136:18, 141:23, 160.3 **Robberies** [1] - 79:9 robberies [15] - 30:8, 31:6, 41:2, 41:17, 45:1, 47:3, 54:24, 79:12, 79:23, 80:2, 93:18, 107:9, 113:16, 135:2, 159:10 Robbery [1] - 44:21 robbery [38] - 30:6, 33:4, 41:22, 41:23, 41:24, 43:9, 44:20, 44:23, 50:17, 51:8, 53:17, 55:4, 55:24, 76:11, 76:20, 79:22, 80:2, 92:6, 92:7, 98:4, 98:17, 107:8, 107:14, 114:2, 134:24, 135:18, 136:11, 136:15, 137:21, 141:21, 142:5, 171:24, 171:25, 172:3, 202:20, 202:21 robbing [2] - 49:24, 196:4 Robert [1] - 1:16 Roc [5] - 86:7, 92:3, 146:19, 151:7, 154:16 Rock [9] - 191:22, 192:1, 192:2, 192:3, 192:4, 192:5, 195:11 rodents [1] - 152:25 Rodney [13] - 69:13, 74:11, 82:10, 82:11, 88:7, 88:8, 108:4, 108:20, 115:17, 117:6, 118:21, 144:16, 144:22 roll [2] - 184:25, 195:19 rolled [2] - 90:12, 208:20 Rolls [2] - 172:15, 194.16 room [4] - 65:13, 101:5, 124:25, 146:12 Room [1] - 1:24 rose [2] - 190:1, 192:10 rose-colored [2] -190:1, 192:10 rough [2] - 31:22, 34:5 roughly [2] - 31:21, 104:11 round [1] - 103:10 rounds [2] - 150:8, 150.10

Royce [1] - 172:15

Ruger [2] - 117:24,

**RPR** [1] - 1:24

153:25

Rule [2] - 10:9, 11:21 rule [2] - 10:17, 51:19 rules [2] - 11:10, 49:4 ruling [3] - 9:12, 12:13, 14.2 rulings [1] - 25:20 rumor [2] - 190:13, 190:16 Rumors [1] - 199:5 rumors [3] - 190:14, run [4] - 18:2, 72:11, 132:14. 169:23 running [8] - 91:14, 117:25, 131:24, 132:18, 133:7, 153:5, 165:16, 206:13 runs [1] - 204:6

### S

sad [3] - 165:4, 171:17, 172:3 sadly [3] - 168:20, 171:7, 203:9 safety [1] - 183:20 Sally [1] - 175:23 San [1] - 16:8 Sand [1] - 3:2 sat [4] - 24:3, 161:12, 165:15, 175:10 satisfied [1] - 45:22 satisfies [2] - 38:7, 55:17 **satisfy** [3] - 35:5, 212:16, 212:25 Saturday [1] - 153:20 save [3] - 193:1, 193:5, 200:9 saw [20] - 7:17, 8:9, 27:11, 93:11, 94:6, 99:23, 110:3, 110:5, 113:4, 133:7, 133:13, 157:7. 158:24. 180:14. 183:22, 187:19, 188:1, 189:2, 193:24 scales [7] - 94:8, 96:23, 176:2, 176:7, 177:11, 177:13 scalp [1] - 169:3 scared [1] - 96:4 scariest [1] - 180:4 scary [1] - 181:15 scene [13] - 84:13, 84:15, 84:18, 85:24, 88:13, 97:7, 97:8, 97:9, 133:3, 133:14, 152:22, 199:4, 202:7 schedule [1] - 27:19

scheduling [1] - 211:21 scholar [2] - 15:4, 139:4 School [4] - 39:8, 61:13, 171:6, 193:11 school [2] - 42:7, 42:8 science [1] - 118:6 scientist [1] - 197:21 scope [2] - 15:4, 40:1 score [1] - 93:17 screen [2] - 41:14, 86.16 script [1] - 158:14 scripted [1] - 158:16 **SE-4** [1] - 146:10 sealed [1] - 190:23 Seamon [5] - 65:15, 89:11, 146:6, 147:5, 147:24 Search [1] - 65:5 search [15] - 61:18. 62:3, 65:7, 65:10, 65:15, 65:25, 89:10, 105:16, 146:6, 146:7, 147:5, 147:25, 202:22, 203:2 searched [1] - 183:19 seat [9] - 61:5, 62:2, 84:22, 85:1, 85:6, 85:23, 89:24, 97:19, 97:23 second [10] - 32:11, 43:15, 44:3, 44:6, 54:11, 54:16, 55:16, 200:2, 203:25, 211:6 Secondly [1] - 52:24 seconds [2] - 87:19, secrecy [1] - 68:22 secretly [1] - 156:5 section [2] - 85:8, 169:2 see [57] - 3:18, 4:15, 8:12, 9:24, 11:6, 12:10, 15:10, 23:25, 28:20, 28:24, 28:25, 30:1, 30:21, 31:13, 37:2, 37:15, 41:24, 58:20, 60:7, 74:16, 85:9, 87:18, 93:22, 95:10, 95:24, 99:2, 99:3, 99:22, 99:23, 100:9, 100:23, 107:10, 107:17, 111:6, 117:6, 118:1, 118:2, 120:16, 123:21, 125:8, 132:5, 136:13, 159:6, 160:15, 176:3, 177:3, 187:16, 187:17, 187:20, 190:9, 196:16, 196:17, 210:5, 210:11, 211:14 See [1] - 173:7

seeing [2] - 67:24,

seek [2] - 102:22, 110:9

seeking [1] - 53:3 seem [3] - 6:6, 89:5, 155:11 sees [7] - 59:21, 88:13, 114:5, 125:13, 132:16, 132:18, 156:9 seizure [2] - 147:11, 148:1 self [1] - 80:10 self-protection [1] -80:10 sell [3] - 169:6, 169:14, 189:11 selling [9] - 150:4, 169:7, 169:13, 170:3, 170:6, 170:9, 183:6, 184:24, 185:5 **sells** [2] - 150:5 semester [1] - 16:9 semiautomatic [1] -133:18 send [1] - 210:16 sending [3] - 7:4, 153:8, 154:24 sends [2] - 18:15, 118:15 sense [40] - 2:12, 20:3, 32:25, 43:10, 51:20, 83:2, 110:20, 111:15, 111:25, 112:18, 114:11, 114:17, 121:24, 126:22, 131:19. 147:14. 161:22. 164:4. 164:6. 169:21. 177:22, 177:24, 178:18, 178:19, 180:10, 182:21, 184:17, 186:24, 192:19, 194:19, 200:8, 202:10, 202:20, 203:16, 204:2, 204:25, 205:3, 206:18, 213:22, 213:25 sent [4] - 8:9, 78:2, 82:12, 157:12 sentence [1] - 181:9 sentiment [2] - 14:10, 146:22 separate [8] - 21:7, 35:25, 41:21, 46:3, 54:6, 58:10, 157:1, 194:5 separated [3] - 85:10, 95:8, 135:13 separately [2] - 44:10, separating [2] - 70:21, 99:20 September [2] - 60:19, 190:23 Sergeant [1] - 62:15 sergeant [1] - 152:16 series [10] - 30:9, 32:5, 59:14, 59:17, 65:21,

87:16, 87:18, 144:2, 145:10, 159:1 serious [4] - 83:17, 176:20, 195:13, 214:1 seriously [2] - 84:1, 163:16 serve [4] - 181:11, 181:23, 181:24, 182:1 served [1] - 182:2 serves [7] - 59:24, 60:5, 60:17, 64:14, 67:5, 107:25, 119:15 service [3] - 73:23, 178:7, 187:8 session [1] - 184:15 set [16] - 26:3, 27:19, 32:10, 32:11, 67:18, 85:25, 86:14, 98:2, 109:21, 122:12, 131:11, 169:3, 170:5, 200:9, 201:20, 211:22 set-up [3] - 122:12, 131:11, 201:20 sets [4] - 22:9, 105:23, 196:17, 201:25 setting [5] - 15:10, 52:4, 126:17, 200:3, 200:7 **Seven** [3] - 32:5, 52:19, 55:20 several [8] - 12:1, 15:15, 22:9, 97:2, 137:10, 144:10, 145:22, 166:22 shake [2] - 31:10, 79:21 Shakedown [35] -12:24, 19:4, 19:16, 19:19, 19:20, 19:23, 20:6, 20:14, 20:15, 20:23, 21:13, 36:3, 36:6, 45:14, 45:18, 67:2, 67:6, 67:8, 78:9, 88:25, 89:7, 119:17, 148:5, 148:7, 148:12, 148:13, 148:14, 148:17, 148:22, 148:24, 149:4, 151:20, 194:22, 194:23. 195:1 Shakedown's [1] -148:9 shall [1] - 160:20 shame [1] - 164:11 share [2] - 76:13, 77:13 shared [1] - 76:24 Sharing [1] - 71:23 **sharing** [5] - 47:1, 70:7, 71:23, 72:2, 159:13 **Shawn** [7] - 62:9, 64:11, 72:22, 106:21, 127:11, 132:21, 136:15 **SHAWN** [1] - 1:8 sheet [2] - 4:10, 210:14

Sheistyville [3] - 67:9, 78:9, 148:5 **Shell** [1] - 135:3 shell [3] - 85:19, 85:21, 98.21 Shelly [8] - 77:11, 78:12, 106:21, 136:15, 136:23, 136:25, 137:7, 138:11 **SHELLY** [1] - 1:8 Shelton [29] - 53:25, 61:10, 82:4, 88:9, 90:16, 90:20, 100:21, 106:21, 119:3, 155:19, 184:3, 184:11, 184:14, 184:20, 184:22, 187:16, 187:17, 187:19, 187:20, 188:9, 188:10, 189:10, 189:12, 194:12, 204:22, 205:1, 205:7, 208:2 **SHELTON** [1] - 1:7 Shelton's [6] - 184:4, 204:24, 204:25, 205:1, 205:8. 205:19 shift [1] - 136:24 shifts [1] - 138:9 shipped [1] - 38:2 shirt [1] - 133:15 shit [8] - 117:25, 123:14, 127:6, 151:4, 152:7, 152:9, 153:25, 154:2 **Shit** [5] - 123:1, 123:2, 123:3, 123:6, 195:6 **shocking** [2] - 180:17, 180:18 shoot [2] - 108:14, 145.2 **shooter** [8] - 52:9, 52:11, 85:1, 85:5, 85:22, 97:19, 134:6, 134:8 shooting [9] - 130:19, 130:21, 132:12, 132:13, 133:6, 143:10, 144:9, 145:16, 146:15 shoots [1] - 85:16 shop [1] - 63:15 **shops** [2] - 72:11, 170:6 short [3] - 161:18, 176:18, 212:2 shorted [2] - 62:22, 62:24 **shortly** [2] - 187:15, 194:10 **Shorty** [10] - 116:7, 116:11, 116:22, 190:19, 191:5, 191:7, 191:8, 204:23 **shot** [12] - 24:22, 84:15, 84:20, 89:23, 91:21,

97:11, 151:7, 151:12, 153:4, 154:23, 197:3, 207:12 shots [1] - 85:5 **show** [9] - 6:9, 37:10, 40:12, 41:8, 116:13, 120:8, 160:5, 160:12, 197:23 **showed** [4] - 67:25, 68:1, 68:3, 196:11 showing [6] - 7:24, 49:7, 61:14, 87:19, 116:18, 132:9 **shown** [11] - 31:4, 42:23, 46:25, 47:14, 48:22, 126:4, 142:23, 185:21, 185:25, 186:6, 193:21 shows [22] - 15:15, 24:13, 35:11, 47:4, 62:11, 64:24, 65:21, 65:22, 73:8, 74:4, 85:14, 86:11, 87:9, 108:17, 114:22, 131:3, 134:21, 134:22, 143:24, 160:6, 188:22 shred 131 - 125:15. 125:16, 193:25 shut [4] - 84:24, 91:5, 153:17, 155:17 shutting [2] - 152:11, 152:12 sick [1] - 162:25 side [11] - 30:20, 91:12, 91:14, 100:16, 105:9, 112:11, 117:25, 128:22, 128:24, 169:4, 192:19 sign [2] - 42:1, 42:12 signature [2] - 157:19, 215:11 signed [2] - 146:19, 190.23 significant [4] - 12:17, 108:3, 143:11, 144:4 significantly [1] -161:15 silence [2] - 49:1, 68:22 silently [1] - 175:11 Silver [1] - 14:21 similar [11] - 58:11, 72:5, 74:14, 94:14, 117:6, 120:16, 131:10, 141:24, 146:22, 155:11, 170.2 simple [3] - 79:22, 193:6, 206:17 **Simply** [1] - 92:2 simply [18] - 4:5, 9:10, 26:25, 36:6, 36:23, 41:20, 43:18, 44:3,

44:12, 49:8, 52:1, 92:2, 111:13, 112:1, 143:8, 158:1, 211:12, 212:2 simultaneous [1] -157:8 simultaneously [2] -158:6, 158:13 sin [1] - 195:24 sincere [1] - 195:2 sing [1] - 13:23 singing [3] - 78:8, 118:4, 119:18 single [8] - 35:8, 35:9, 125:8, 125:15, 125:16, 125:19, 166:25, 198:15 sings [5] - 86:7, 91:5, 91:13, 91:15, 91:18 sinned [1] - 193:17 sister [3] - 65:12, 119:24, 183:8 sisters [3] - 63:14, 64:4, 183:7 sit [6] - 24:22, 24:25, 161:13, 166:11, 166:25, 169:21 sites [1] - 97:15 sits [2] - 174:18, 174:23 sitting [8] - 85:1, 97:19, 97:22, 125:25, 147:16, 191:25, 200:19, 208:21 **situation** [2] - 82:18, 164:25 **Six** [5] - 7:19, 7:20, 55:7, 55:11 six [8] - 160:14, 169:10, 184:25, 185:1, 185:10, 185:25, 200:16, 200:21 Sixth [2] - 2:7, 38:19 ski [1] - 78:14 Skull [1] - 14:22 sleep [1] - 125:16 slide [2] - 27:17, 60:13 slight [2] - 209:19, 209:25 Slightly [1] - 141:23 slipping [1] - 5:1 Slo's [1] - 152:15 slowly [1] - 90:5 slugs [1] - 150:6 small [10] - 60:20, 64:20, 105:20, 183:13, 193:3, 193:19, 193:20, 193:21, 195:4, 195:7 smashed [1] - 84:16 Smith [16] - 81:13, 84:5, 97:3, 108:1, 117:17, 131:23, 132:6, 132:7, 133:5, 134:1, 175:23, 193:20, 198:4, 198:5, 198:18, 206:9

Document 698

Smithsonian [2] -16:10, 16:11 smoking [1] - 179:4 snare [1] - 142:3 snitch [2] - 120:11, 152.6 Snitching [4] - 120:6, 151:21, 155:12, 155:17 snow [5] - 12:3, 12:6, 12:8, 12:9 society [1] - 165:6 sociopathic [2] -162:25, 180:6 soft [1] - 42:12 Soft [1] - 14:22 sold [7] - 111:19, 163:21, 164:1, 172:13, 180:12, 189:12, 203:24 solid [1] - 11:20 someone [28] - 15:4, 17:20, 17:22, 43:22, 44:4, 55:5, 86:25, 88:3, 96:22, 108:15, 123:2, 128:5, 142:20, 152:21, 157:17, 157:20, 162:13, 178:2, 178:21, 180:15, 180:16, 187:10, 188:4, 199:6, 199:17, 200:4, 207:11, 207:12 Someone [3] - 113:9, 114:15 sometime [1] - 95:21 sometimes [12] - 48:16, 48:17, 58:20, 59:15, 59:16, 71:5, 74:6. 121:13, 121:15, 198:13, 211:3 **Sometimes** [7] - 79:19, 79:21, 79:22, 100:4, 146:11, 146:12 somewhat [4] - 35:13, 65:15, 85:12, 110:21 somewhere [1] - 126:24 son [4] - 132:11, 200:20, 200:25, 201:3 son's [1] - 139:11 song [2] - 13:6, 207:13 songs [7] - 13:5, 149:12, 149:14, 149:15, 150:25, 151:14, 155:9 **soon** [2] - 125:14, 210:18 **sorrowless** [1] - 180:7 sorry [3] - 42:6, 88:8, 104:22 Sorry [2] - 42:10, 176:3 sort [25] - 4:23, 54:23, 57:16. 58:11. 80:14. 85:10, 92:18, 102:10, 102:20, 109:25, 140:23,

158:23, 161:19, 162:15, 163:1, 166:19, 167:22, 170:12, 184:6, 201:16, 201:20, 202:14, 203:20, 206:24 sought [2] - 180:15, 180:20 soul [2] - 80:8, 83:6 sound [5] - 9:7, 10:20, 213:22, 213:24, 213:25 **sounds** [2] - 40:4, 96:21 source [3] - 50:10, 168:11 sovereignty [2] -102:13, 103:23 **Sox** [3] - 168:25, 169:1, speaking [4] - 16:17, 31:3, 153:15, 182:24 speaks [5] - 161:21, 161:22, 163:6, 180:1 **Special** [6] - 50:14, 80:24, 100:1, 143:3, 146:7, 150:3 **specializes** [1] - 112:23 specific [23] - 39:9, 39:11, 43:7, 52:20, 59:15, 70:6, 71:2, 72:25, 74:13, 74:16, 76:19, 77:5, 79:16, 81:9, 120:21, 121:11, 127:25, 138:10, 146:1, 167:17, 170:3, 182:24, 185:13 **specifically** [9] - 19:18, 20:12, 59:2, 74:7, 94:10, 178:1, 178:9, 212:18, 212:23 **Specifically** [2] - 20:14, 144:14 **specifics** [1] - 168:17 specify [2] - 95:22, 129:25 **spectators** [1] - 25:9 speculate [1] - 26:22 speech [1] - 15:18 speed [1] - 42:1 spell [1] - 175:24 Spence [68] - 37:16, 41:16, 41:23, 44:1, 44:14, 44:21, 45:2, 50:9, 52:7, 52:11, 55:22, 55:24, 55:25, 58:23, 59:1, 59:5, 77:24, 79:2, 80:1, 99:6, 130:17, 130:20, 130:23, 130:25, 131:6, 131:10, 131:14, 133:20, 134:21, 135:24, 135:25, 136:2, 136:6, 136:10, 136:11, 136:18,

136:22, 136:24, 137:3,

56:20, 57:7, 83:12,

style [1] - 92:8

106:11, 110:12, 114:16,

157:1, 204:18, 207:25

137:4, 137:12, 137:21, 137:23, 138:2, 138:4, 138:9, 141:18, 141:21, 142:13, 143:5, 143:13, 143:15, 143:25, 144:10, 144:15, 145:12, 145:15, 145:18, 159:23, 160:3, 179:24. 180:20. 180:21. 199:1, 202:5, 205:23 Spence's [2] - 50:9, 136:2 Spence/Lee/Wyche [1] - 145:7 spend [6] - 54:17, 99:9, 99:21, 125:9, 134:7, 169.19 spending [2] - 99:19, 113:22 spends [2] - 96:9, 125:12 spent [5] - 23:18, 93:24, 94:17, 133:19, 171:5 spines [1] - 89:9 spoken [6] - 15:14, 16:1, 16:11, 16:12, 16:21, 17:17 spooked [1] - 197:10 spot [1] - 89:21 spread [4] - 185:22, 185:25, 186:6, 186:15 spreads [1] - 185:22 **square** [1] - 103:11 **squatting** [1] - 194:13 squeeze [2] - 103:11, 198:14 stabbed [2] - 197:16, 197.19 Stadium [1] - 169:2 stadium [2] - 169:4, stage [1] - 72:6 stake [2] - 49:10, 190:25 stand [16] - 2:8, 17:24, 22:8, 33:7, 69:4, 75:9, 76:5, 116:8, 122:2, 159:4, 160:20, 175:1, 179:22, 180:17, 189:17, 203:14 standard [14] - 174:10, 175:19, 175:24, 176:9, 176:11, 176:14, 176:17, 176:21, 176:22, 176:24, 176:25, 177:10, 211:9 standards [2] - 46:14, 175:19 standing [5] - 73:4, 159:6, 159:7, 169:12, 212:8

stands [1] - 42:18

Stanford [2] - 16:4, 16:7 star [1] - 132:23 starkly [1] - 191:10 Stars [1] - 111:3 Start [1] - 86:2 start [9] - 28:22, 59:6, 59:9, 83:14, 104:13, 177:12, 177:13, 209:19, started [3] - 25:17, 111:1, 123:25 starters [3] - 83:21, 86:15, 124:7 starting [1] - 16:9 starts [1] - 50:22 stash [4] - 202:23, 203:13, 203:18, 204:4 state [13] - 14:2, 18:12, 38:6, 43:8, 43:14, 64:13, 64:19, 103:9, 129:15, 181:10, 208:20 State [5] - 16:9, 16:17, 18:11, 61:24, 176:15 statement [15] - 4:4, 21:13, 109:7, 109:8, 109:17, 111:12, 124:16, 132:8, 173:5, 187:17, 187:18, 187:22, 189:7, 200:3, 200:6 Statement [1] - 187:23 statements [5] - 13:9, 88:24, 90:23, 91:9, 192:13 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:5 States [6] - 38:1, 38:2, 178:7, 207:21, 208:17, 213:19 states [1] - 4:5 station [4] - 13:15, 111:3, 124:19, 135:3 stations [1] - 96:10 statute [8] - 19:25, 21:3, 56:5, 57:4, 57:12, 58:9, 170:19 stay [3] - 82:23, 82:24 steal [2] - 121:15, 159.22 stenographically [1] -215.4 stepped [2] - 152:16, 162:16 Stepping [1] - 66:10 steps [1] - 90:11 Stewart [1] - 81:13 stick [4] - 186:23, 190:12, 191:12, 204:18 sticker [1] - 6:25 sticks [1] - 190:9 still [22] - 3:13, 5:1,

9:20, 10:5, 29:12, 42:14,

49:19, 56:25, 95:2, 95:3, 99:16, 104:6, 109:18, 113:9, 127:21, 147:12, 147:17, 151:2, 174:23, 184:4, 205:6, 213:6 stipulated [2] - 143:1, 147:23 stipulation [3] - 94:25, 105:22, 192:25 stomachs [1] - 212:1 stood [2] - 208:8, 208:24 stop [6] - 61:24, 70:23, 150:7, 153:14, 173:11, 205:4 Stop [4] - 120:5, 151:21, 155:12, 155:17 stopped [1] - 165:6 store [3] - 13:15, 124:19, 124:20 story [10] - 109:22, 109:23, 110:16, 110:19, 112:2, 112:18, 112:19, 183:16, 192:16 **straight** [2] - 30:19, 121:1 strap [1] - 86:14 **Street** [3] - 1:25, 65:7, 105:17 street [13] - 70:4, 73:2, 81:2, 84:2, 89:22, 89:23, 120:10, 121:13, 132:18, 133:7, 135:14, 190:14, 195:3 streets [2] - 31:11, 150:19 stretch [3] - 115:12, 191:9, 195:15 strict [1] - 161:14 strike [1] - 10:15 strikes [2] - 139:4, 161:20 strong [1] - 157:15 strongly [2] - 122:18, 213:11 structure [12] - 35:4, 37:10, 37:11, 37:17, 37:18, 46:18, 46:22, 58:11, 82:21, 170:18, 173:13, 193:8 structured [1] - 66:20 Stub [2] - 169:9, 169:10 stub [1] - 124:25 stubs [1] - 124:12 stuck [1] - 108:21 students [1] - 42:7 studio [1] - 155:8 study [1] - 12:17 stuff [14] - 18:9, 24:20,

36:17, 45:13, 45:21,

subject [9] - 26:10, 32:2, 99:24, 114:24, 115:9, 116:20, 128:14, 144:14, 148:6 **submit** [9] - 22:10, 32:20, 134:7, 174:14, 174:15, 208:4, 212:13, 213:1, 213:4 subpoenaed [1] - 120:8 subspecialty [1] - 16:25 substance [1] - 192:20 substantial [2] - 65:9, 114:14 **substantive** [6] - 46:4, 50:4, 50:20, 51:24, 54:5, 56:1 **substitute** [1] - 3:16 success [2] - 72:10, 80:20 successful [1] - 159:15 sudden [4] - 121:20, 202:12, 202:17, 202:19 suddenly [2] - 113:7, 113:11 sue [1] - 175:23 suffered [3] - 26:11, 26:12. 29:10 sufficient [1] - 209:8 suggest [6] - 85:20, 91:16, 109:16, 122:18, 148:21, 212:22 suggested [1] - 12:23 suggesting [3] - 21:4, 114:18, 157:15 suit [1] - 12:21 **summaries** [1] - 95:25 summarize [1] - 165:17 summary [1] - 66:21 sun [1] - 97:17 Sunday [2] - 179:2, 193:16 supersede [1] - 105:21 superseding [7] -18:20, 18:22, 19:2, 19:5, 19:6, 19:18, 21:14 supplemental [1] - 2:14 supplier [8] - 37:7, 63:25, 70:19, 70:23, 72:15, 74:6, 111:23, 199:16 suppliers [12] - 47:1, 64:23, 68:6, 70:7, 71:5, 71:6, 71:23, 72:2, 75:16, 76:14, 76:25, 159:13 **supply** [4] - 31:8, 76:25, 92:21, 113:17

support [2] - 47:4, 72:4 supported [1] - 70:5 supports [2] - 116:15, 196:5 suppose [3] - 6:7, 127:3, 185:16 supposed [2] - 99:2, 126:16 surveillance [6] - 52:4, 52:14, 59:21, 137:6, 137:9, 141:9 survived [1] - 152:17 suspect [1] - 210:4 suspected [1] - 88:17 **suspicion** [1] - 175:2 Sustained [2] - 181:18, 184:10 **swing** [2] - 24:23, 25:24 sympathy [3] - 26:23, 26:25, 27:5 synonymous [1] -67:10 syntactically [1] - 20:4 system [3] - 84:14, 162:10, 174:7 T

tailing [1] - 92:18 talkies [1] - 139:22 talks [19] - 12:19, 78:12, 78:14, 89:8, 95:20, 120:14, 120:24, 125:24, 126:10, 139:20, 139:21, 139:22, 153:4, 153:23, 154:20, 186:9, 195:16 tape [21] - 115:1, 115:13, 115:18, 115:19, 116:16, 117:12, 117:19, 120:10, 123:2, 123:13, 137:13, 151:22, 190:12, 190:22, 190:24, 191:23, 192:5, 204:20, 205:10, 205:12, 205:24 target [4] - 107:8, 112:22, 114:11, 199:18 targets [1] - 50:8 Tased [1] - 25:25 tattoo [1] - 120:14 taught [2] - 120:4, 120:6 Taurus [1] - 61:4 taxes [1] - 156:4 teach [1] - 16:8 teaching [1] - 13:12 team [7] - 134:5, 141:25, 157:7, 157:24, 158:13, 159:4, 159:7 tech [1] - 197:20

technician [2] - 62:6, 151:8 technology [1] - 209:20 television [1] - 110:5 tempting [1] - 112:22 ten [7] - 7:23, 160:20, 160:23, 165:13, 174:13, 192:12, 206:14 tendered [1] - 9:14 term [12] - 10:16, 10:17, 18:25, 34:21, 34:23, 39:15, 73:20, 91:17, 117:16, 120:11, 175:15, 185:13 terminating [1] - 176:20 terms [18] - 33:6, 33:13, 43:11, 43:12, 47:7, 64:2, 75:19, 90:9, 98:3, 99:25, 105:19, 120:23, 121:2, 150:24, 157:4, 180:25, 185:13, 191:14 **Terrible** [2] - 123:9 terrible [1] - 24:24 terribly [1] - 149:9 terrifying [1] - 96:2 territory [1] - 36:13 terror [1] - 133:25 testified [63] - 24:6, 50:15, 59:21, 61:8, 61:25, 62:1, 62:16, 63:14, 69:17, 69:21, 69:22, 73:21, 73:22, 74:2, 75:24, 78:23, 79:1, 79:11, 79:17, 80:17, 80:25, 82:12, 86:8, 88:8, 90:8, 93:4, 93:8, 96:25, 98:6, 108:8, 108:19, 112:24, 115:4, 115:13, 116:8, 116:10, 119:15, 119:18, 121:6, 121:12, 126:14, 132:21, 133:24, 134:17, 140:17, 141:10, 143:3, 143:23, 144:11, 144:17, 144:24, 145:1, 145:19, 155:7, 155:14, 157:17, 183:5, 183:7, 186:19, 188:13, 189:11, 205:16 **Testified** [2] - 84:6, 132:8 testifies [9] - 70:9, 70:25, 72:21, 74:1, 94:14, 96:7, 132:13, 144:22, 145:4 testify [15] - 24:4, 68:15, 68:20, 70:12, 75:21, 100:1, 102:22, 123:18, 129:2, 179:10, 181:22, 182:14, 187:5,

188:15, 188:16

testifying [5] - 12:9, 73:16, 76:7, 153:7, 156:9 testimonies [2] - 75:6, 75.13 **Testimony** [3] - 63:13, 132:6. 134:25 testimony [118] - 8:17, 9:24, 10:15, 10:16, 12:14, 12:15, 13:21, 14:3, 17:11, 30:5, 36:11, 36:19, 38:3, 38:4, 47:22, 61:1, 62:6, 62:11, 63:11, 63:20, 64:4, 64:11, 65:10, 65:20, 66:17, 68:12, 70:2, 70:7, 70:17, 71:1, 72:13, 72:20, 73:11, 77:10, 78:4, 79:10, 79:11, 80:3, 80:24, 82:14, 94:9, 94:13, 94:16, 98:6, 98:11, 99:1, 99:2, 107:15, 107:16, 108:4, 108:12, 109:4, 110:10, 112:25, 114:25, 117:3, 118:14, 118:20, 118:22, 118:23, 119:20, 119:22, 121:16, 121:23, 125:4, 125:6, 129:1, 131:1, 134:16, 137:19, 137:25, 138:14, 138:18, 139:3, 139:13, 140:21, 140:25, 141:5, 141:6, 141:11, 141:19, 143:19, 145:8, 145:10, 148:6, 149:6, 150:3, 155:22, 156:11, 157:14, 162:20, 165:17, 178:20, 178:22, 179:13, 179:17, 179:20, 180:9, 181:4, 181:5, 181:19, 182:16, 182:17, 182:19, 183:21, 183:25, 186:8, 186:21, 186:25, 189:18, 189:19, 190:10, 191:15, 191:18, 202:6, 204:4, 204:16, 205:13 testimony's [1] - 134:20 THE [135] - 1:1, 1:2, 2:2, 2:19, 3:10, 3:25, 4:4, 4:15, 4:17, 4:19, 4:21, 4:24, 5:5, 5:9, 5:11, 5:16, 5:23, 5:25, 6:2, 6:15, 6:23, 7:1, 7:8, 7:14, 7:17, 7:21, 8:1, 8:4, 8:20, 9:3, 9:6, 9:10, 9:23, 11:6, 11:14, 16:23, 17:6, 17:10, 17:14, 17:16, 17:19, 17:24, 18:4, 18:7, 18:14, 18:19, 18:22, 19:3, 19:7, 19:10, 19:12, 19:22, 20:3, 20:7,

21:4, 21:20, 21:23, 22:6, 22:12, 22:14, 22:17, 22:21, 23:1, 23:10, 23:12, 25:7, 25:13, 25:16, 25:23, 26:16, 26:20, 27:1, 27:4, 27:8, 27:10, 27:15, 27:18, 28:1. 28:3. 28:5. 28:12. 28:15, 28:18, 28:25, 29:3, 29:5, 42:6, 60:14, 67:19, 67:22, 99:11, 99:15, 99:17, 101:1, 101:9, 101:12, 101:17, 101:20, 102:3, 102:11, 103:14, 103:19, 104:7, 104:15, 104:22, 105:1, 128:19, 128:24, 129:6, 129:10, 129:14, 132:2, 132:4, 160:12, 160:25, 161:3, 161:5, 176:5, 181:18, 184:10, 191:21, 206:15, 209:14, 210:13, 210:23, 211:2, 211:9, 211:14, 211:18, 212:5, 212:10, 213:1, 213:6, 213:8, 214:2 theater [1] - 124:1 themes [1] - 120:16 themselves [11] - 31:7, 51:3, 51:14, 51:25, 59:16, 70:3, 75:21, 114:2, 144:6, 150:25 theoretically [1] - 48:5 theories [2] - 42:19, 54:10 theory [12] - 34:4, 45:25, 68:2, 112:25, 113:5, 113:20, 187:12, 190:3, 197:14, 198:12, 198:16, 206:7 thereafter [5] - 31:12, 73:11, 78:25, 174:1, 193:10 therefore [1] - 156:16 Therefore [1] - 131:22 thereof [1] - 191:16 They've [3] - 26:3, 115:12, 192:12 they've [6] - 28:22, 29:19, 74:21, 133:16, 140:22, 143:18 thieves [1] - 178:5 thieving [1] - 191:19 thinking [6] - 3:17, 15:3, 135:7, 155:16, 157:23, 200:19 thinks [2] - 23:24, 211:5 Third [1] - 53:2 third [6] - 18:22, 19:5, 19:18, 21:14, 34:11,

187:24 Thirdly [1] - 121:4 Thomas [1] - 1:20 thoughts [1] - 23:2 thousand [1] - 78:3 threat [2] - 44:24, 159:19 three [28] - 16:18, 23:21, 27:23, 54:5, 54:10, 55:1, 55:10, 55:12, 55:13, 55:18, 55:19, 55:22, 60:20, 74:5, 76:20, 80:23, 110:1, 110:2, 110:4, 119:21, 120:1, 124:13, 124:18, 184:19, 187:23, 189:7, 190:21 Three [7] - 18:9, 19:2, 19:10, 20:20, 53:25, 184:14, 184:17 three-day [1] - 16:18 Threw [1] - 184:9 threw [1] - 188:2 thrive [3] - 49:1, 68:21, 68:22 throughout [9] - 26:18, 54:23, 71:14, 72:18, 86:19, 95:15, 159:9, 174:9, 175:11 Throughout [2] - 86:18, 146:24 throw [6] - 184:2, 186:23. 190:8. 191:11. 191:12, 204:17 throws [1] - 184:4 Throws [1] - 184:5 thug [5] - 33:12, 183:11, 183:15, 185:8, 191:19 thugs [1] - 150:5 Thursday [1] - 180:18 ticket [5] - 123:19, 123:21, 124:4, 125:21, 125:25 tickets [7] - 128:9, 169:1, 169:6, 169:7, 169:11, 169:13, 169:14 tie [2] - 205:19, 207:10 tied [2] - 29:6, 203:1 tight [5] - 35:4, 37:11, 131:21, 131:22, 171:10 timeframe [1] - 146:1 timing [2] - 64:20, 145:5 tip [2] - 176:3, 177:13 tips [1] - 176:7 title [1] - 36:10 **TM** [9] - 82:13, 108:9, 118:15, 119:16, 152:16, 155:15, 187:5, 187:25, 195:5 TM's [1] - 155:18

today [11] - 5:6, 5:7, 6:13, 26:13, 28:8, 28:13, 28:23, 29:1, 29:11, 62:23, 160:18 together [67] - 12:20, 30:7, 31:5, 35:1, 46:20, 47:1, 47:2, 47:7, 59:13, 59:19, 60:25, 61:4, 62:23, 76:13, 76:19, 76:23, 77:4, 77:7, 78:19, 79:3, 80:13, 80:18, 81:18, 82:3, 86:19, 87:3, 87:4, 87:10, 89:3, 94:12, 95:16, 106:24, 111:20, 111:21, 111:22, 111:23, 112:6, 112:13, 113:4, 113:25, 114:5, 114:9, 114:10, 117:6, 123:18, 125:11, 135:8, 135:23, 138:6, 138:11, 139:12, 141:10, 146:21, 157:7, 164:18, 167:9, 168:9, 171:3, 171:24, 171:25, 193:2, 193:5, 194:2, 194:5, 203:1, 205:20 toggle [1] - 115:23 toilet [1] - 188:2 tolerance [1] - 25:18 toll [1] - 140:19 tomorrow [12] - 6:16, 25:10, 160:19, 170:22, 174:8, 209:18, 209:22, 210:4, 210:11, 211:15, 214:3 tonight [2] - 7:2, 160:14 **Tony** [6] - 13:2, 82:13, 118:16, 119:14, 119:16, 187:5 Tonya [14] - 41:16, 50:9, 52:11, 55:21, 59:1, 59:4, 77:23, 131:5, 131:14, 136:1, 137:12, 137:20, 141:17, 159:23 took [12] - 27:17, 44:16, 70:12, 70:15, 139:24, 143:16, 154:2, 165:21, 195:5, 196:8, 196:9 tool [1] - 79:8 tools [2] - 139:25 toothpaste [2] - 198:14, 207.11 topic [2] - 8:18, 15:6 total [2] - 41:13, 45:22 totaling [1] - 61:19 totally [4] - 64:13, 97:21, 125:23, 205:9 **Totally** [1] - 136:12 toting [2] - 183:11, touch [5] - 4:23, 122:20,

Page 245 of 247

122:21, 164:12, 179:18 tough [2] - 89:4, 176:12 town [3] - 48:8, 64:20, 169.1 track [1] - 87:13 trade [3] - 61:16, 79:8, 182:16 traded [3] - 108:6, 168:12 trades [1] - 182:9 Trading [2] - 71:24, trading [4] - 38:5, 47:2, 78:23. 79:7 traditional [3] - 10:9, 10:10, 11:21 traffic [2] - 200:1, 200:2 trafficked [1] - 63:10 trafficker [3] - 61:15, 73:23, 111:16 traffickers [3] - 50:12, 80:13 trafficking [29] - 30:6, 31:14, 31:21, 33:4, 33:9, 42:4, 49:5, 50:1, 50:3, 50:5, 50:7, 50:18, 53:16, 56:7, 56:9, 56:16, 56:20, 59:10, 63:2, 64:22, 73:18, 73:24, 74:3, 77:18, 80:15, 122:3, 159:10, 159:14, 160:7 tragedy [4] - 164:21, 164:24, 195:25, 199:10 tragic [1] - 165:8 trail [5] - 126:17, 126:21, 128:9, 133:11, 134:10 training [3] - 86:10, 86:11, 103:3 transaction [2] - 94:17, 111:24 transactions [6] -59:24, 73:4, 73:5, 74:10, 77:3, 77:5 transcribed [1] - 215:8 transcript [4] - 5:21, 94:24. 140:22. 215:8 transmission [1] -104.8 transported [1] -155:23 transporting [1] - 49:23 trap [19] - 84:19, 85:17, 85:22, 85:25, 97:25, 98:2, 100:22, 100:23, 100:24, 106:18, 106:19, 112:19, 131:11, 137:14, 137:15, 137:16, 142:3 trappings [1] - 194:8 travel [2] - 70:17, 74:8

traveled [1] - 142:23 traveling [1] - 70:10 travels [1] - 204:3 Travis [1] - 80:16 treated [2] - 102:25, 212:2 tree [1] - 84:16 tremendous [2] -178:12, 205:3 trial [23] - 17:9, 25:17, 26:8, 26:18, 29:24, 30:1, 31:19, 44:22, 83:9, 161:9, 163:15, 164:9, 165:13, 165:16, 165:21, 175:11, 181:13, 187:5, 190:14, 190:24, 208:12, 208:14, 210:24 trial's [1] - 30:12 trials [1] - 166:11 tricky [1] - 39:12 tried [4] - 23:4, 27:14, 102:17, 213:16 tries [4] - 89:15, 199:20, 201:19, 202:13 trigger [3] - 131:14, 131:17, 132:10 trip [5] - 70:11, 70:14, 186:16, 187:24, 203:23 tripped [1] - 190:5 trips [5] - 38:3, 38:4, 49:22, 70:10, 77:5 Trooper [2] - 62:1 trooper [1] - 62:15 troopers [1] - 61:25 trouble [4] - 82:9, 126:12, 128:5, 128:6 true [16] - 38:21, 103:2, 103:5. 118:7. 127:19. 153:1. 154:22. 166:18. 189:17, 190:2, 198:20, 206:21, 206:25, 207:5 trunk [2] - 202:24, 204:5 trust [2] - 207:21, 207:22 trustworthy [1] - 118:17 truth [13] - 75:9, 75:12, 151:1, 151:3, 151:16, 153:16, 153:19, 155:5, 155:8, 163:23, 190:3, 191:1, 194:25 truthful [7] - 122:2, 122:3, 130:8, 141:15, 151:1, 178:21, 178:22 truthfulness [3] -149:24, 151:14, 151:15 try [14] - 23:18, 27:24. 30:13, 30:14, 33:19, 42:1, 104:24, 112:21,

171:23, 174:4, 183:2,

192:23, 201:19, 207:8 trying [24] - 23:18, 24:9, 83:10, 95:2, 95:3, 102:24, 103:11, 108:25, 109:21, 128:25, 133:10, 136:20, 145:4, 151:2, 163:18. 165:23. 183:16. 189:18, 195:13, 198:14, 198:15, 207:2, 207:10, 207:11 tube [2] - 198:15, 207.12 Tuffy [1] - 81:11 tune [1] - 152:9 turf [1] - 81:10 turn [8] - 50:21, 52:18, 53:9, 59:6, 66:12, 113:19, 116:20, 118:16 turned [2] - 11:9, 102:10 turns [1] - 137:3 TV [4] - 17:5, 110:25, 111:3, 177:23 twelve [1] - 162:8 twice [3] - 121:8, 121:21 Two [11] - 32:5, 52:19, 53:25, 97:11, 110:4, 120:6, 123:21, 133:25, 143:10, 155:12, 201:16 two [71] - 19:17, 24:3, 29:24, 30:17, 31:22, 33:1, 34:11, 34:21, 40:10, 40:14, 40:17, 40:25, 42:25, 43:4, 46:6, 46:20, 46:23, 50:22, 51:5, 54:25, 55:7, 56:14, 56:17, 58:4, 69:12, 79:20, 85:22, 87:4, 89:23, 90:5, 92:7, 93:4, 93:12, 101:9, 110:1, 120:23, 121:11, 123:21, 125:23, 126:8, 132:12, 132:14, 132:17, 133:2, 134:4. 134:9. 143:12. 144:16, 153:2, 153:10, 156:5, 169:10, 175:22, 179:23, 180:3, 183:17, 185:22, 187:18, 187:22, 188:13, 190:21, 190:23, 191:2, 191:3, 196:17, 200:16, 201:25, 206:1, 208:19, 208:20, 208:21 type [2] - 55:14, 136:12 types [5] - 54:10, 55:10, 55:12, 55:13, 55:22 typical [1] - 107:5 typically [2] - 47:7, 47:10

typo [1] - 106:2

Tyree [1] - 81:13 U U.S [2] - 1:24, 155:23 ultimate [3] - 136:1, 136:14, 138:7 ultimately [33] - 30:9, 31:13, 67:1, 67:6, 68:3, 68:9, 70:25, 76:17, 83:4, 95:7, 96:10, 106:17, 110:19, 112:19, 113:6, 119:2, 122:3, 123:10, 124:6, 126:5, 126:25, 127:9, 132:15, 134:23, 136:22, 137:10, 138:9, 138:20, 149:9, 149:23, 155:9, 158:20, 205:11 Ultimately [6] - 72:12, 76:9, 80:4, 126:8, 141:6, 141:19 unanimous [2] - 55:19, 57:1 unapologetic [1] - 15:9 unavailable [1] - 137:8 unbelievable [5] -110:21, 200:4, 200:12, 201:10, 201:14 uncertain [1] - 45:17 uncle [1] - 87:1 uncomfort [1] - 155:15 unconvinced [1] -56:19 under [28] - 24:19, 29:11, 33:17, 34:24, 36:17, 38:24, 40:17, 43:14, 49:20, 51:5, 51:18, 52:12, 52:17, 54:21, 56:7, 57:12, 66:5, 67:3, 74:5, 108:2, 127:17, 131:15, 131:17, 131:18, 147:12, 161:14, 174:23 Under [2] - 48:3, 142:20 undercover [1] - 169:5 underlying [1] - 4:6 understood [3] - 4:11, 9:1. 9:19 underworld [2] - 30:2, 30:6 unexplained [1] -113:25 unfit [1] - 176:16 unfortunate [1] - 172:4 unfortunately [1] -185:23 uniform [1] - 103:8

unilateral [1] - 187:9

unintelligible [2] -

204:12, 205:24 unintentionally [2] -139:8, 165:11 uninvolved [1] - 130:11 unit [16] - 31:6, 33:11, 33:15, 35:7, 35:15, 46:21, 69:8, 72:9, 73:19, 76:15, 80:21, 89:16, 171:11, 172:16, 195:9, 195:19 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:5 United [6] - 38:1, 38:2, 178:6, 207:21, 208:17, 213:19 University [5] - 15:14, 15:15, 16:5, 16:6, 17:17 university [1] - 15:25 unless [5] - 48:21, 90:14, 174:25, 176:1, 190:23 unlikely [2] - 89:22, 89:24 unlucky [1] - 127:8 unqualified [1] - 10:2 unquestionably [2] -76:17, 134:3 unrepentant [1] - 180:7 unsophisticated [1] unspoken [1] - 47:6 untruthful [2] - 178:3, 178:4 unwillingness [1] -177:3 **unwritten** [1] - 47:6 **up** [133] - 5:20, 5:23, 5:24, 6:9, 8:17, 9:6, 9:14, 9:21, 10:21, 15:10, 20:19, 21:18, 22:5, 25:4, 25:23, 26:3, 27:20, 28:13, 36:21, 37:7, 42:1, 47:20, 52:4, 52:14, 60:24, 61:12, 61:14, 61:19, 63:13, 63:15, 63:16, 64:7, 67:18, 69:1, 69:16, 71:17, 72:12, 73:1, 74:4, 74:10, 76:20, 78:14, 79:18, 84:16, 87:14, 87:21, 89:3, 89:22, 91:4, 91:6, 91:14, 91:18, 94:4, 94:16, 95:22, 96:1, 101:22, 104:20, 106:13, 106:16, 108:20, 109:21, 112:2, 113:15, 115:19, 116:2, 117:25, 118:8, 118:21, 118:22, 119:6, 120:9,

122:12, 126:17, 129:23,

131:11, 134:1, 136:23,

137:8, 137:13, 139:5,

139:16, 140:19, 141:13, 143:18, 144:16, 144:23, 150:22, 151:4, 152:12, 152:13, 152:16, 153:2, 153:7, 153:24, 156:7, 159:5, 159:6, 159:7, 160:17, 165:1, 166:18, 169:3. 170:5. 179:1. 179:5, 181:9, 183:6, 184:25, 186:4, 188:15, 196:22, 197:1, 197:23, 197:25, 198:6, 198:10, 199:8, 200:4, 200:7, 200:9, 201:13, 201:20, 202:20, 205:20, 207:24, 208:9, 208:24, 211:7, 213:10 upset [3] - 24:16, 123:4, 180.15 upstairs [1] - 26:3 urban [2] - 15:3, 16:16 **USA** [1] - 215:4 usage [1] - 100:3 uses [4] - 117:16, 124:21, 140:18, 190:19 uttering [1] - 24:17 utterly [3] - 90:1, 90:2, 97:15

#### V

vaguely [1] - 40:4 Valdavia [1] - 194:9 value [3] - 17:2, 148:17, 207:20 values [1] - 120:3 variety [1] - 14:11 various [25] - 16:4, 31:5, 32:1, 33:5, 37:9, 42:21, 50:14, 50:25, 54:8, 54:22, 63:8, 63:10, 65:5, 66:7, 68:6, 68:12, 72:19, 81:7, 99:7, 99:24, 143:19, 143:22, 144:11, 144:12, 148:3 variously [1] - 52:20 vehicle [4] - 61:5, 91:12, 97:14, 123:7 venture [1] - 213:19 verdict [3] - 4:10, 23:4, 210.14 verifying [1] - 150:24 versa [1] - 60:1

version [2] - 190:22,

versions [1] - 205:14

vials [2] - 60:10, 60:11

versus [1] - 182:1

vice [1] - 60:1

205:18

victim [5] - 44:5, 44:25, 55:1, 92:20, 136:1 victims [4] - 54:6, 90:5, 150:20, 150:21 video [9] - 2:6, 26:3, 120:6, 151:21, 155:12, 155:17, 179:4, 207:15 view [3] - 3:11, 67:21, 191:18 violation [3] - 57:14, 58:8, 62:2 violence [12] - 31:22, 49:25, 50:3, 50:6, 50:18, 53:6, 53:23, 56:2, 56:6, 56:7, 73:23 violent [2] - 165:5, 173:15 virtually [2] - 97:8, 139:19 virtue [1] - 118:11 visible [1] - 99:18 visit [3] - 42:9, 73:5, 199:7 voice [32] - 96:11, 96:15, 96:18, 96:24, 97:1, 112:4, 114:21, 114:24, 114:25, 115:5, 115:10, 115:18, 115:19, 116:5, 116:6, 116:10, 116:21, 117:9, 118:13, 122:14, 122:17, 122:19, 122:22, 123:2, 123:13, 190:9, 204:7, 204:9, 204:15, 205:24 voices [13] - 96:2, 96:4, 96:13, 96:15, 96:18, 97:1, 97:6, 115:1, 115:11, 117:12, 191:2, 205:25 voir [5] - 9:17, 14:14, 14:15, 175:17, 211:5 **VOLUME** [1] - 1:11 volume [2] - 169:18, 169:20 voluntary [1] - 102:18

### W

vulgar [1] - 103:5

Wabash [2] - 97:13, 113:24 wait [6] - 5:12, 42:7, 79:19, 137:13, 183:17, 210:5 waiting [2] - 62:10, 113:23 Waiting [1] - 78:18 wake [6] - 81:19, 82:7, 108:16, 108:17, 145:6,

145:21 wakes [1] - 95:22 walk [2] - 117:19, 134:1 walked [2] - 174:20, 201:16 walkie [1] - 139:22 walkie-talkies [1] -139:22 walking [1] - 43:11 wall [6] - 82:25, 159:6, 186:23, 190:9, 191:11, 204:18 wallet [1] - 147:16 wants [3] - 168:8, 169:6, 206:21 warning [3] - 81:23, 82:19, 128:12 warrant [3] - 61:18, 65:7. 65:10 warrants [2] - 65:5, 65:25 wash [1] - 189:25 Washington [1] -203:23 watch [1] - 177:23 watched [2] - 24:3, 24:4 watching [8] - 154:25, 198:14, 201:7, 201:11, 201:13, 207:7, 207:9, 207:11 Watching [1] - 198:13 Wayne [16] - 77:10, 77:11, 77:12, 78:12, 106:21, 123:2, 123:3, 123:6, 123:14, 127:6, 136:16, 136:23, 136:25, 137:7, 138:12 **WAYNE** [1] - 1:8 Wayne's [1] - 137:2 ways [6] - 33:5, 76:20, 76:23, 78:20, 156:24, 162:19 wealth [1] - 194:9 weapon [16] - 45:1, 85:19, 88:19, 98:20, 106:6, 107:22, 107:24, 109:2, 130:18, 133:18, 140:6, 147:10, 147:12, 154:1, 154:3 weapons [11] - 47:2, 56:21, 98:22, 99:7, 130:21, 140:14, 143:10, 144:8, 144:11, 144:18, 144:20 wear [1] - 168:1 wearing [1] - 132:24

weaving [1] - 154:12

152:25, 154:11, 154:12

Wednesday [1] - 1:11

Weaze [4] - 146:22,

weed [1] - 150:5 week [7] - 16:17, 107:21, 108:18, 124:18, 145:6, 184:19, 188:13 weeks [19] - 83:9, 109:6, 110:1, 110:2, 110:4, 110:22, 110:23, 111:11, 124:9, 124:13, 128:25, 137:10, 161:9, 163:15, 165:13, 174:13, 175:18, 190:24, 200:16 weighing [2] - 75:3, 76:7 weighs [1] - 62:5 weight [3] - 105:7, 105:13, 193:23 welcome [1] - 4:13 Wesley [1] - 132:10 West [1] - 1:25 whatsoever [13] - 20:4, 20:23, 110:6, 110:13, 124:21, 161:23, 166:2, 175:12, 186:24, 192:17, 196:8, 197:14, 199:19 wheel [1] - 114:13 Whereof [1] - 215:10 white [2] - 7:2, 16:14 white-out [1] - 7:2 whitewash [1] - 209:11 whole [16] - 2:24, 10:2, 30:22, 39:6, 40:10, 40:15, 100:14, 111:14, 120:11, 157:25, 158:8, 164:25, 183:19, 185:19, 185:24, 206:25 wholesale [1] - 193:24 wholly [1] - 25:25 Whoodi [6] - 154:16, 154:18, 154:20, 154:24, 207:1, 207:2 wife [14] - 63:21, 69:7, 72:25, 92:14, 93:23, 98:9, 114:6, 114:7, 180:21, 191:19, 196:19, 200:22, 202:1, 202:3 William [13] - 33:8, 35:18, 69:9, 70:9, 92:16, 128:2, 134:16, 145:9, 180:5, 180:13, 180:25, 181:8, 185:12 Willie [31] - 53:24, 68:7, 70:8, 71:8, 72:22, 83:16, 84:7, 86:6, 88:3, 90:21, 106:20, 163:19, 163:21, 163:25, 164:15, 171:13, 179:10, 183:11, 198:2, 198:5, 198:18, 199:5, 202:7, 203:19, 204:21, 205:6, 208:2, 208:7,

208:17, 215:4

WILLIE [1] - 1:7 Willie's [3] - 81:24, 204:24, 205:1 willing [5] - 78:14, 78:15, 117:19, 141:15, 170.6 winded [2] - 182:10, 183:3 window [3] - 91:12, 91:20, 109:16 windows [1] - 84:24 wisdom [1] - 15:5 wish [1] - 13:2 withdraw [2] - 48:6. 48:19 withdrawal [5] - 47:21, 48:2, 48:7, 48:10, 48:22 Withdrawal [2] - 48:8, 48:9 witness [38] - 9:14, 9:16, 9:18, 11:23, 30:3, 33:7, 69:4, 75:1, 75:9, 82:10, 90:8, 98:13, 116:8, 120:8, 125:3, 139:3, 142:1, 151:19, 155:21, 156:10, 156:16, 175:1, 178:24, 178:25, 179:1, 179:6, 179:9, 182:13, 187:11, 187:13, 189:15, 194:25, 196:1, 206:4, 206:9 Witness [1] - 215:10 witness's [1] - 75:6 Witnesses [1] - 202:6 witnesses [51] - 50:15, 63:9, 69:4, 69:17, 75:4, 75:24, 76:2, 76:3, 76:8, 96:25, 97:2, 97:4, 98:12, 115:10, 115:20, 116:9, 116:12, 131:15, 132:7, 133:24, 134:17, 144:10, 144:16, 145:3, 145:19, 155:14, 161:25, 162:21, 163:10, 164:10, 165:1, 165:13, 165:18, 169:18, 177:9, 178:3, 178:10, 179:19, 182:19, 190:6, 191:15, 192:10, 193:5, 194:2, 194:3, 194:4, 199:15, 206:1 woman [4] - 84:15, 115:2, 183:5, 184:5 woman's [1] - 133:23 wonder [2] - 205:5, wondered [1] - 83:10 wonderful [1] - 213:8 wondering [2] - 5:2, 101:14 wooded [1] - 85:10

Wooden [2] - 62:15 woods [9] - 52:15, 59:4, 130:20, 131:22, 132:17, 132:19, 133:14, 133:17 Woody [9] - 84:9, 91:15, 91:21, 92:3, 154:22, 198:6, 207:2 Woody/Whoodi [1] -206:23 wool [1] - 163:18 word [18] - 14:8, 21:4, 25:10, 33:8, 34:7, 66:16, 83:3, 129:4, 164:4, 164:6, 165:19, 166:15, 179:13, 188:4, 189:20, 190:19, 191:4, 197:10 words [14] - 20:8, 21:6, 49:2, 58:7, 66:15, 87:19, 88:23, 88:24, 99:6, 120:19, 204:14, 211:12, 211:14 works [5] - 15:11, 38:18, 77:17, 137:5, 155:17 world [12] - 12:16, 39:22, 111:5, 114:4, 114:9, 114:10, 120:11, 154:13, 170:8, 179:24, 181:6 **World** [1] - 16:18 worried [4] - 25:7, 25:8, 95:23 worry [4] - 45:16, 156:25, 175:2, 179:15 worrying [1] - 134:7 worth [8] - 64:10, 75:23, 139:18, 165:25, 182:2, 182:5, 182:7, 182:8 wounds [1] - 97:21 wrap [1] - 207:24 wrists [1] - 182:15 write [5] - 15:16, 47:7, 68:22, 103:4, 165:19 writes [1] - 146:14 Writes [2] - 146:14, 146:15 writing [5] - 118:4, 149:11, 149:21, 154:24, 154:25 writings [3] - 12:11, 14:18, 117:21 written [6] - 8:23, 9:11, 78:11, 89:10, 118:6, 132:8 wrongdoing [1] -

196:23

wrongly [1] - 81:20 wrote [4] - 149:4,

184:12, 195:6, 207:1

Wyche [161] - 37:15, 41:16, 41:24, 43:25, 44:14, 44:21, 45:2, 50:8, 55:8, 55:10, 55:12, 58:12, 58:14, 58:16, 58:18, 63:20, 63:21, 63:25, 64:22, 66:23, 68:7, 69:7, 69:9, 70:7, 71:9, 71:11, 71:12, 71:15, 71:17, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21, 72:23, 72:24, 73:1, 73:3, 73:9, 73:12, 73:13, 73:14, 73:15, 74:5, 74:13, 77:1, 79:7, 80:1, 82:7, 92:5, 92:11, 92:12, 92:13, 92:20, 92:21, 93:3, 93:4, 93:9, 93:15, 93:23, 94:2, 94:6, 94:9, 94:12, 94:15, 94:20, 94:22, 94:23, 95:2, 95:10, 95:15, 95:20, 96:1, 96:2, 96:19, 97:7, 97:13, 97:24, 98:7, 98:8, 98:13, 98:15, 98:24, 99:1, 99:5, 99:16, 100:9, 100:15, 100:17, 106:15, 106:17, 106:18, 106:22, 107:4, 107:7, 107:12, 107:14, 107:18, 107:21, 107:23, 108:17, 108:25, 109:2, 109:14, 109:20, 109:21, 110:1, 110:17, 110:18, 111:18, 111:19, 112:7, 112:15, 112:24, 113:3, 113:11, 113:13, 113:21, 114:3, 114:6, 114:12, 114:15, 115:17, 117:1, 117:14, 118:3, 118:11, 122:10, 126:11, 126:16, 127:14, 130:18, 130:23, 131:4, 131:8, 137:16, 138:1, 143:5, 143:14, 143:15, 143:25, 144:9, 144:15, 145:6, 145:18, 154:1, 159:20, 190:10, 190:17, 199:9, 199:13, 199:22, 200:4, 201:17, 202:8 Wyche's [13] - 64:21, 72:25, 93:7, 93:20, 94:1, 94:13, 94:18, 96:12, 96:20, 98:5, 107:15, 107:16, 114:25 Wyche/McCaffity [1] -141:24

### X

XXVIII [1] - 1:11 XXXVII [1] - 1:11

#### Υ

Yards [1] - 169:2 year [9] - 48:9, 75:8, 83:5, 181:9, 182:4, 182:7, 184:19 years [32] - 12:1, 23:21, 65:16, 107:6, 111:22, 113:8, 115:2, 164:17, 165:25, 168:8, 171:3, 171:5, 171:13, 171:14, 171:21, 181:9, 181:11, 181:24, 181:25, 182:1, 184:13, 184:14, 184:17, 184:19, 191:25, 193:3, 195:16, 208:19, 208:20, 208:21 **vellow** [1] - 139:22 yesterday [8] - 14:13, 22:7, 24:1, 24:11, 24:16, 110:25, 149:7, 151:18 York [26] - 37:7, 38:4, 49:23, 62:21, 68:7, 70:8, 70:10, 70:11, 70:12, 70:15, 70:16, 70:17, 70:19, 70:24, 72:1, 74:6, 74:8, 74:10, 77:2, 77:5, 169:25, 186:10, 186:12, 186:16, 186:19 young [18] - 132:7, 154:23, 165:1, 168:4, 170:13, 171:12, 171:16, 171:17, 171:20, 171:24, 172:2, 172:17, 172:20, 173:7, 173:13, 174:18, 199:11, 208:3 younger [1] - 132:10 yourself [5] - 48:13, 100:17, 102:12, 170:21, 207:16 yourselves [8] - 38:15, 124:12, 138:21, 149:15, 157:12, 204:10, 204:13,

# Ζ

youths [1] - 164:16

205:12

Zajac [3] - 1:24, 215:3, 215:15 Zero [2] - 114:19, 198:11 zero [3] - 25:18, 172:1, 172:2 zeroed [1] - 152:2